

List of Attendees:

Jennifer Warren, Director of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs and Mint Liaison to the CCAC Peter van Alfen, Specially Qualified as a Numismatic Curator and Chairperson of the CCAC

Arthur Bernstein, Representing the General Public Dr. Harcourt Fuller, Recommended by the Speaker of the House

Dr. Christopher Capozzola, Specially Qualified in American History

John Saunders, Recommended by the House Minority Leader

Michael Moran, Recommended by the Senate Majority Leader

Donald Scarinci, Recommended by the Senate Minority Leader

Dennis Tucker, Specially Qualified in Numismatics Kellen Hoard, Representing the General Public

Annelisa Purdie, Representing the General Public Dr. Dean Kotlowski, former CCAC Member April Stafford, Chief, Office of Design Management

A P P E A R A N C E $S$ (Cont'd)
List of Attendees (Cont'd):

Megan Sullivan, Senior Design Specialist
Roger Vasquez, Senior Design Manager

Russell Evans, Design Manager
Boneza Hanchock, Design Manager
Sukrita Baijal, Design Manager

Joseph Menna, Chief Engraver
Greg Weinman, Senior Legal Counsel and Counsel to the CCAC

Brendan Tate, Senior Government Affairs Specialist, Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs Dr. Sheila Chamberlain, Emmett Till Justice Campaign Linn Cornelius, Representative of the Oneida Nation Celia Belmonte, Assistant General Counsel of the Oneida Indian Nation

Denise Desiderio, Senior Policy Advisor for Akin, on behalf of the Oneida Indian Nation

Kristie McNally, Deputy Director, United States Mint

CONTENTS
Page 4

Call to Order \& Roll Call
PAGE 5

Review and Approval of Minutes and Letters to
Secretary from the February 27, 2024
Public Meeting
Public Service Award to Dean Kotlowski 13
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Comments from Chair } & 16\end{array}$
Review and Discussion of the Candidate Designs
for the Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley
Congressional Gold Medal
Review and Discussion of Candidate Designs
for 2026 Native American $\$ 1$ Coin
Review and Discussion of Candidate Designs
for the 2025 American Liberty 24 K Gold Coin
and Silver Medal

P R O C E E D I N G S
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Good
morning. I call to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee, for Tuesday, April 16, 2024. And the time is 10:01.

This is the first of a two-day public meeting. Today's session is scheduled to run until approximately 4:00 p.m., at which point the CCAC will recess until tomorrow's session, that is scheduled to begin at promptly 9:00 a.m.

Please note that this meeting today and tomorrow is recorded. And I want to welcome the public watching live on YouTube. And finally, I do want to remind members to announce your name when you are speaking, for the transcript and for the public listening.

Before we begin, $I$ want to introduce members of the Committee, so please respond, "present," when I call your name.

Arthur Bernstein, representing the general public.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller, recommended by the Speaker of the House. DR. FULLER: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Christopher
Capozzola, the member specially qualified in American history.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: John Saunders,
recommended by the House Minority Leader.
MR. SAUNDERS: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Michael Moran, recommended by Senate Majority Leader.

MR. MORAN: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Donald Scarinci,
recommended by the Senate Minority Leader.
MR. SCARINCI: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis Tucker, the member specially qualified in numismatics.

MR. TUCKER: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen Hoard,
representing the general public.
MR. HOARD: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Annelisa Purdie, representing the general public.

MS. PURDIE: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: And I am Peter van
Alfen, the member specially qualified as a numismatic curator and the chairperson of the CCAC.

I believe we have a quorum.
MR. WEINMAN: We do.
THE CHAIRMAN: The agenda for today's session includes approval of minutes and letters to the Secretary from the February 17, 2024, public meeting, a public service award ceremony for former CCAC member, Dean Kotlowski, who is present with us, review and discussion of the candidate designs for Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley Congressional Gold Medal, review and discussion of candidate designs for the 2026 Native American Dollar Coin, and review and discussion of candidate designs for the 2025 Liberty 24-Karat Gold Coin and Silver Medal.

Before we begin our proceedings, I ask the Mint liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who are
remotely watching this public meeting?
MS. WARREN: Good morning. This is Jennifer Warren. Paul Gilkes from Coin World, senior editor, is on the call, and Mike Unser, founder and editor of CoinNews Media Group.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

For the record, I would also like to confirm that the following Mint staff are in attendance today. So please indicate "present" after I have called your name.

Deputy Director Kristie McNally, U.S. Mint.

MS. MCNALLY: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: April Stafford, Chief of the Office of Design Management.

MS. STAFFORD: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Megan Sullivan, Senior Design Specialist.

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Roger Vasquez, Senior Design Manager.

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Russell Evans, Design Manager.

MR. EVANS: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Boneza Hanchock, Design
Manager.
MS. HANCHOCK: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sukrita Baijal, Design
Manager.
MS. BAIJAL: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Michael Costello,
Manager of Design and Engraving.
MS. STAFFORD: Not present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Joseph Menna, Chief
Engraver.
MR. MENNA: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Jennifer Warren,
Director of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs and Liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Greg Weinman, Senior Legal Counsel and Counsel to the CCAC.

MR. WEINMAN: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: And finally, Brendan
Tate, Senior Government Affairs Specialist, Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs.

MR. TATE: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

And finally, I want to note for the record that we will be joined later in the meeting by the liaisons for the various programs we are reviewing today. For the Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley Congressional Gold Medal, we will be joined by Dr. Sheila Chamberlain, from the Emmett Till Justice Campaign.

And for the 2026 Native American \$1
Coin, we will be joined by Linn Cornelius, representative of the Oneida Nation, referred to us by officials for her family's research and knowledge on the subject of Polly Cooper, Celia Belmonte, Assistant General Counsel of the Oneida Indian Nation, and Denise Desiderio, Senior Policy Advisor from Akin, on behalf of the Oneida Indian Nation.

We welcome you all. We thank you for joining us today, and we look forward to hearing from you on your preferences and thoughts on the portfolios.

So I'd like to begin with the Mint. Are there any other issues that need to be addressed before we start?

All right. Then, the first order of business for this committee is the review and approval of the CCAC minutes and letters to Secretary of the Treasury, from our public meeting on February 27, 2024. Are there any comments on any of the documents?

All right. Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes and letters?

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker; I move.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Is there a second?

MR. HOARD: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen, thank you.

MS. WARREN: Excuse me. This is

Jennifer Warren. When you do that please, say your name, and --

MR. HOARD: Kellen Hoard; second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you,
Kellen.
All those in favor, please signify by
saying "aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any objections to the motion?

All right. Hearing none, without objection, the minutes and letters are approved.

The next order of business is the presentation of the Citizen Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award to Dr. Dean Kotlowski. And I have to say -- oh, and in recognition of his loyal and outstanding service, Deputy Director McNally will be presenting this award to Dr. Kotlowski today.

But before we turn it over to Deputy Director McNally, I would like to say that one of the greatest pleasures of serving on the CCAC is spending time with and getting to know the other members, and although, honestly, there never really seems to be enough time for that.

So, Dean, your good cheer at the table, and great insights into U.S. history, in conversation as well as your critical eye for design in our meetings, has really been sorely missed since we last saw you nearly a year ago today. It really is a great pleasure to have you back, if only briefly. So thank you.

Deputy Director McNally, please.
MS. MCNALLY: Okay. I'm Kristie
McNally; I'm the Deputy Director at Mint, for any of you who I have not met yet. And I'm so excited to be here this morning for this award.

Appointed to the CCAC in December 2018, Dr. Kotlowski served as the member specially qualified in American history. A specialist in $20 t h$ century U.S. political and policy history, he is a professor of history at Salisbury University. He has served as a historical advisor to the National Archives and the Richard Nixon Library. He is the author of "Nixon's Civil Rights: Politics, Principle, and Policy" and "Paul V. McNutt and Age of FDR."

He has been a Fulbright Scholar four
times to Philippines, Austria and Australia. That's quite the accomplishment. During this time in Australia, Dr. Kotlowski showed his dedication to the CCAC by joining remotely for very long CCAC meetings in the middle of the night during his time. He did so with enthusiasm.

As a member of the CCAC, Dr. Kotlowski was extremely committed to the work of the Committee. Specifically, over the years he has served on the working group subcommittees including the FY 2022 to consider theme ideas and provide recommendations back to the full committee.

Dr. Kotlowski's last meeting was April 18, 2023, and today we're honoring him for his service in the CCAC, the Mint, and the Department of the Treasury, by presenting him with the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award, which is beautiful.

The CCAC Public Service Award recognizes the contribution of a member of the CCAC who honorably served the public interest by advising the Secretary of Treasury on theme and design
proposals relating to circulating coinage, bullion coinage, Congressional Gold Medals, and national medals produced by the United States Mint.

The award contains a framed certificate and two bronze medals, a three-inch Alexander Hamilton Secretary Medal, and a three-inch silver or bronze medal, which was reviewed by the CCAC, typically during the tenure of the recipient. Dr. Kotlowski requested a three-inch bronze duplicate of the Congressional Gold Medal, which was awarded for the United States Capitol Police and those who protected the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021.

Today, I am pleased to present the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award to Dr. Kotlowski. Dr. Kotlowski consistently provided inspired and thoughtful input to the development of numerous United States coins and medals, and is highly regarded by his colleagues, and respected for his opinions and insight.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, please join me in thanking and congratulating Dr. Kotlowski for his service to the CCAC.

And I will turn over the microphone for a few words. Hopefully, this is not putting you on the spot, but feel free.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I was known for using the full three minutes. I'll quote John Saunders once. I simply said, "I agree with everything the previous person said." And John said afterwards, "That's the shortest you've ever been."

So in that vein, I've got five words.
I miss you all. Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Dean. Thank you very much. And I have to say that we miss you, all, very much as well. And I'm confident that I speak on behalf of the CCAC, and we are very happy to have you back with us, again, if only briefly, as you're being honored with this award. So congratulations, and again, thank you for your service on the Committee.

All right. I would -- before we begin, I'd like to take a moment to reflect on the work that lies ahead of us today and tomorrow. A lot of the work that we do on this committee is focused on
celebrating or honoring either individuals or groups of individuals who have made significant contributions to our nation through their hard work or sacrifices. Often, as in the case of the designs for the American Women Quarters Program that we've been reviewing over the last several years, there has been a lot of joy and real pleasure in spotlighting both well-known and lesser-known women, and learning of their lives and contributions, sometimes from the very people who knew them vest, their family and colleagues.

## Today, as part of the Native American

\$1 Coin program, we will be reviewing candidate designs honoring Polly Cooper, and bringing her story to greater light. While she is not the first Native American woman to appear in this program, she is from the deepest past. Her actions during the Revolutionary War aiding Washington's troops at Valley Forge predate Sacagawea's aid to Lewis and Clark by several decades. To have both of these native women, both born in the 18 th century, on two sides of the same 21 st century coin will be truly remarkable and
something to celebrate.
We will also be reviewing candidate designs for medals honoring two other women, Secretary of the Treasury, Janet Yellen, the first woman to hold that position, and Mint Director Ventris C. Gibson. While Director Gibson is not the first woman to lead the Mint, she is the first African American to do so.

And on our agenda for tomorrow, we are reviewing the design candidates for a new commemorative coin program celebrating the 250 th anniversary of the United States Marine Corps. While I never served, I do feel great respect, as I'm sure my colleagues do, for those servicemen and women who have served, and I look forward to helping the Marines celebrate this great milestone. On occasion, we on this committee face the darker side of our history as well, where there is no joy and celebration. The lynching of 14-year-old Emmett Till in 1955, is one of the most sickening and profoundly sad events to take place in this country, and one that continues to resonate powerfully, nearly three-quarters of a century later.

It was only in the wake of another horrific murder, that of George Floyd, that the Emmett Till Antilynching Act was passed by Congress, and signed into law in March of 2022 , the first long overdue law to define lynching as a Federal hate crime. Months later, Congress awarded its gold medal to both Till and his mother, Mamie Till-Mobley, an immensely courageous woman, who in the midst of grief became a formidable voice for the cause of Civil Rights, as we shall hear shortly. By the time she died in 2003, that struggle was still far from over. And on that solemn note, I'd now like to move on to first portfolio to be reviewed today, and that is the Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley Congressional Gold Medal. The Congressional Gold Medal was authorized by Public Law 117-334. And April Stafford and Megan Sullivan will now present the candidate obverse and reverse candidate designs for the Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley Congressional Gold Medal.

April, please.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. On August

28, 1955, 14-year-old Emmett Till was kidnapped, beaten, shot, and killed in Money, Mississippi, where he had traveled from Chicago to visit his great uncle. His body was discovered three days later in the Tallahatchie River. His uncle was able to provide eye-witness testimony, but the murderers were still acquitted.

Emmett's mother, Mamie Till-Mobley, had his body brought back to Chicago, and demanded an open casket funeral, demonstrating her love for her son and courage and strength in suffering. In her words, "I wanted the world to see what they did to my baby."

Emmett's funeral drew more than 50,000
attendees. Till-Mobley allowed a photograph to be taken of Emmett's body, which was seen across the country after being published by Jet Magazine and the Chicago Defender Newspaper. Amidst her grief, Till-Mobley traveled to Mississippi to testify at the trial of her son's murderers, and went on a national speaking tour to share her son's story. Her actions became a catalyst for the Civil Rights Movement, as her courageous testimony and Emmett's inextinguishable
light exposed the true depths of the horrors born from racism in this country.

Till-Mobley served as chair and co-founder of the Emmett Till Justice Campaign, which had the dual purpose of reopening Emmett Till's murder case for reinvestigation, and advocating for Federal legislation to ensure that other racially motivated murders during the Civil Rights era were investigated and when possible, prosecuted. In 2003, Till-Mobley completed her memoir, "Death of Innocence: The Story of the Hate Crime that Changed America," and passed away later that same year.

We are presenting these candidate designs to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee today in pursuit of input that can help determine next steps in the development of this medal, be that recommendations to the Secretary of the Treasury, recommended edits to existing designs, or suggestions for new imagery on this subject.

Designs for this medal were developed in consultation with Dr. Sheila Chamberlain, of the Emmett Till Justice Campaign, and a family member of

Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley. We're very fortunate that Dr. Chamberlin is with us today.

Dr. Chamberlain, would you like to say a few words to the Committee?

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes, I would. First of all, I'd like to say my name, Sheila L. Chamberlain. I hope I did that right. Anyway, good morning, everyone. It's a pleasure and an honor to be here to represent my family. I think I have a little bit -- a few years left, but I'm still hanging in there.

The death of Emmett affected all of our family members, different fractions inside of our family. And I'm hoping and I pray that this will unite us, and hopefully our country in a way that is different -- I thank you for your time -- thank the U.S. Mint -- I particularly am humbled to the Smithsonian, and I'm particularly humbled to -- you know, for allowing us to -- call daily, and the privilege -- represent to Emmett. I think we could not have been here without you, and $I$ just wanted to -- without further ado, I'm -- I'm doing okay.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you, Dr.
Chamberlain.
We'll start by reviewing the candidate designs for the obverse. The obverse designs all contain the inscriptions "Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley." And as is customary, I will cycle through the candidate designs, stopping at any preferences identified by our liaisons.

We'll start with obverse 1, 2. This design features Mamie Till-Mobley holding in Emmett Till in her arms as they're both embraced by a pair of wings. This design is the preferred obverse of our liaison, but will need an edit if it is moved forward to the Secretary to make Emmett Till's face rounder, a bit fuller, as this depiction you see is a slightly younger Emmett Till.

Design 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 5, 6. Moving on to the reverse designs, which all feature the inscription, "Let the world see." Reverse 1, 2, 3, 4; this design displays a pile of books, representing Mamie Till-Mobley's plea that her son's story be remembered. In the background, a fist is raised in
solidarity for the fight against racism, and a sign reads, "Justice." The additional inscription is "Act of Congress 2023."

This design is conceptually along the lines of what the liaison would like to see, but they'd like to include other imagery potentially. For example, Mamie Till-Mobley at a podium at the courthouse, following the trial. A requested -- a suggested edit from the liaison for this design includes removal of the raised fist.

Reverse 5, 6; this design depicts a representation of Emmett Till's casket, held in his mother's hands for all to see. The additional inscription is "Emmett Till." This is another reverse preference of the liaison.

Design 7 and 7A. That concludes the candidate designs, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, April. And thank you, Dr. Chamberlain for your presence today and for your comments.

Are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or
these designs for the Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley Congressional Gold Medal before we begin our general discussion?

Dennis, I see your hand is raised.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker. I do have some technical questions about some of the designs and the relief. And $I$ don't know if that's -if this is a good time to ask them or if $I$ should wait for my --

THE CHAIRMAN: It is a technical
question; correct?
MR. TUCKER: They're technical,
relating to the sculpt?
THE CHAIRMAN: Sure, go ahead.
MR. TUCKER: Specifically, I'm
wondering about -- if you look at reverse 3, for example, and reverse 7 and 7A, I was wondering if Joe Menna could maybe talk a bit about how the black fields would be sculpted, if those are recessed, or if they're polished, or maybe just give us some explanation there?

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dennis.

Joe, would you like to respond to that? MR. MENNA: Yes. This is Joseph Menna. We don't do polish on Congressional Gold Medals, but we do incuse fields. In this case, No. 7, the darkest area would be the most recessed. And as the gradient -- as the gradient becomes lighter towards her shoulder, that relief would raise, so it would be coincident with her neck and look natural.

For the other design with the star field, I believe?

MR. TUCKER: Yes.

MR. MENNA: That equally -- just, similar to hidden figures. What you see in black would be recessed. Incused, technically, but we don't polish.

And -- thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Joe.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments?

Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is Arthur Bernstein, with a quick technical question. The name, Till-Mobley, I noticed some of the designs have that last name hyphenated, and some don't.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, sir. They would need be hyphenated, anything that moved forward. There's one or two candidate designs that are missing that hyphen that would need to be addressed. Thank you.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
Any other questions or comments?
All right. So let us begin our
consideration. And I'd like to remind all of the members of the Committee to please keep your comments to five minutes or less, and do please identify yourself prior to speaking.

Mike Moran, would you like to begin?
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Peter.
This -- I'm here. First of all --
terrible feedback -- sending an e-mail. I can hear perfectly, but remote people, it's -- it's bad.

Now, going to the image of -- I'm -I'm fine with the family's choice of obverse -- I can see it working. I also would like -- there's a -- we would about --

April, if you could -- down to about 6, 7, 8 -- it's coming up. Oh, right there. That's a -I like that. I -- it's nice, pleasing. The -- that's the next one, it's number -- I think 10 -- excellent. I think it -- it -- style as well as there's a -- this one is appealing. Difficult subject to portray -portray that -- that expresses -- that drove her, her determination -- gold medal down in Mississippi.

So I'll be -- on that one as well. That's it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mike. Did you have any comments about the reverses at all?

MR. MORAN: Again, I liked -- it's the -- the image in silhouette. That one. I -- I think that's a medal.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
I can't quite tell; you were breaking up a little. Are you finished?

MR. MORAN: Yes, I am.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, Mike.

Art Bernstein, please.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein. With regard to the obverses, design obverse 1, I appreciated the elegance and the simplicity of that design. Obverse 2, the preference, I can certainly support that, and I think it's perfect. Obverse 3, I just wanted to comment that $I$ thought it made clever use of the photograph -- of the photograph from the gravestone of Emmett Till. And I also appreciated the use of the starburst design, which related to the ring that helped identify him.

With regard to the reverses, reverse 6 is my favorite because it embraces the phrasing of Mamie Till-Mobley, and also incorporates the casket. And $I$ think reverse 4 is also fine, although I personally am having a little trouble connecting the books with the idea of remembering Emmett Till. I understand the explanation, but for me, I didn't make that connection. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much,
Art.

Dr. Harcourt Fuller, please.
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'll try to project my voice.
This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. This is a very difficult topic, you know, for -- for all of us to address, but nonetheless, this is why this committee is here to give our advice and opinions about these designs, for the benefit of the public.

Welcome, Dr. Chamberlain.
I think I would like to go with obverse
2; let me start with that, please. Here, you see a loving mother, just embracing her son, which I think has universal appeal. And it, you know, it gives -provides opportunity for empathy as well.

And if we could also go to obverse 4.
What $I$ see here again is a loving mother just supporting her son, which I also think has universal appeal, and elicits empathy as well, and understanding.

And then, if $I$ may go to the reverses;
let's go with reverse 2. While, you know, it's -these are all problematic aspects of our history and culture, you know, lynching, inequality, et cetera, oppression, $I$ really like the design of peeling back the curtain so that the world can see some of the challenges that we have faced as a country, and those that we still have to continue to address. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dr. Fuller. Dr. Christopher Capozzola, if you would, please.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is Christopher Capozzola. I want to also begin by thanking the artists for these designs, and Dr. Chamberlain, and also Congress for this -- for this overview medal.

I do think that it's important, as I was thinking about this portfolio, to think about recognizing both the historic event of 1955, as well as the generations-long legacy afterward, and trying to figure out how to balance that in the design, and to communicate that to public audiences who may know little about what happens after 1955.

For me, I found myself actually drawn to -- to several of the obverses. I thought No. 2, the family's preference, was very good. It is deeply and maybe explicitly religious. I'm not familiar with the extent to which religion was important to Till and Till-Mobley, and might want to hear from the -- from the family about that and to help understand that.

As a portrait, I did also really like No. 4. It very closely tracks photographic evidence that -- for, that we have of -- of them. And again, another question is whether the imagery in the back that inspired the artist would have also been inspirational to -- to Till-Mobley, or whether that would've -- that design would be something she would have recognized, and then found meaningful.

On the reverse, I will say that I actually -- I didn't love any of them, in part because I do think that it's important that a reverse may be the place to do this, to highlight a legacy that is not just about violence and oppression, but is also about resilience and persistence.

And so, what $I$ would like to put on the
table is the idea of 02 , but with maybe a different set of words that would -- that would, you know, reflect some of the work that Till-Mobley did over the course of her career. But of course, 04 and 06 , if the family is interested, I would support those as well. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Chris. Annelisa Purdie, if you would, please. MS. PURDIE: This is Annelisa Purdie. Also $I$ want to start by thanking Dr. Chamberlain for being here and for providing the input on these designs. I know that this is an exciting venture, but also is very difficult, and we appreciate that.

In terms of the obverse designs, I also loved 02 -- 002. I think that it gets to the crux of what this story is about, in terms of a mother fighting for the recognition of her son. And I think that out of all of this -- these designs, this one exemplifies that their relationship was just as valid and just as strong, and something that needed to be -to be fought for. I love the way that the angel wings
framed both of them and the way that he's leaning into her. I'm also very fond of the expressions. It's very inscrutable, almost as if they knew that they would not see each other again, which, unfortunately, they did not.

My only recommendation for this, design-wise, would possibly be to have a border around the coin, so that the wings don't necessarily lean into the edge, and keeps the focus on Mamie Till-Mobley and her son.

Let's see. In terms of the reverse designs, $I$ also was not too enthused about many of them, in large part because the reliefs do not resemble Mamie Till-Mobley that much. In some of these designs, she looks much older than she actually was.

But the one out of these that $I$ found really compelling was 006 , with the casket and the hands. It's very difficult to express the emotions with this event, in large part because they are grounded in an horrific act of violence. But I think that this design, once again, gets to the point of a
mother's love and persistence to fight, and a reminder, as well, that this was resulting in a death, unfortunately, and that she was not going to allow that death to stop her without the risk of the casket being open.

I know that there were some concerns on the part of the family about representing an open casket on any of the coin designs, which $I$ completely agree with. But of all the reverse designs, this is the one that stood out to me the most. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Annelisa.
Donald Scarinci, if you would, please.
MR. SCARINCI: So I'm going to try to do this in five minutes. And even though Dr. Brown is here, he -- Peter remains unknown. I think the charge is out --

MS. WARREN: Just speak loud.
MR. SCARINCI: I'll speak loud.
So even though Dr. Brown is not here to gavel me down at the end of my five minutes, this is a very important coin, and -- or, a medal. And it's very important because, you know, in part, because
it's a recognition of Congress.
So, Act of Congress 2023, needs to be in this medal. I mean, it needs to be there, number one. Number two, I really would love to persuade Dr. Chamberlain because, you know, I always support the -when it comes to the Congressional Gold Medals, part of what's interesting about collecting the series of congressional gold medals in bronze is the fact that, you know, the living descendants of these people, you know, who made history, are having input into -- into the designs.

But having said that, $I$ really want to try to talk you out of 02. It's -- it's just -- it brings, you know, the -- the angel wings just bring a religious component into -- into a medal that is important to all people. And -- and important to Americans who may not be, you know -- you know, of -of a Christian -- necessarily a Christian persuasion. And you know, and -- and $I$ wouldn't want the message to be diluted with so blatant of a -- of illusion with wings, the crucifix on her neck.

You know, and not that that's wrong,
but in this instance, the message is too important. And the message, you know, that we want the world to see, is -- is really stated, you know, and it's so relevant today. And it's stated in reverse 2. And that is the message. I mean, this is what we're talking about. And -- and, you know, and -- and I just think that, you know, while there are some, you know, the obverse designs all fall short to me, but the reverse designs are -- maybe we could have an obverse and a reverse from the reverse designs.

For example, I particularly like 2 as a reverse, and -- and I like a variation -- I just love the, you know, the 7 reverse, with the "Let the world see." That requires, just designing by committee, which we're just not going to do. It requires removing the "let the world see here," putting the Congressional -- Act of Congress there instead.

But if we want to go, you know, with an obverse and a reverse, you know, in obverse 6, the -if we put Act of Congress on the -- on the edge, and just take away some of that negative space by doing that, because it is important. And then, go with
reverse 2 at, you know -- you know, or even reverse 3 . You know, I think -- I think it would make a nicer design.

I don't really like -- I don't really like, on the reverse choice, for Dr. Chamberlain's reverse choice, I don't really, you know, like the books, and the -- I don't know what that's saying, you know, really. You know, I'm glad you want to take the fist out, but $I$ just don't think the reverse works.

So even if you wanted to keep obverse 2, I think there are better reverse choices than reverse 4. In fact, I think the reverse choices are very strong designs, and -- and, you know, and I think it would make a more meaningful and a more significant statement as -- as a medal.

So $I$ just want to say that. And as an aside to the members, to the CCAC members, $I$ think, you know, as we do this portfolio, we were going to say this at the beginning, but $I$ think as we review this series for the next two days, we really should be using the merit -- the merit section. This is a good -- this is -- it helps the artists -- it helps

Joe, first of all. It certainly helps Joe Menna get to take the temperature of how we feel about that, you know, better than the gavel.

Anyway, so I encourage you to use the merit liberally so that Joe can see the ones that really are appealing to us. Anyway --

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Harcourt, I saw that your hand was
raised. Is this a question that can wait until after, or did you want to --

DR. FULLER: It -- it could, possibly. I -- I had follow-ups, but -- but I --

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Dennis Tucker, if you would, please.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
In order to -- in order to keep to my five minutes, I'm going to read from my notes, so I apologize for that.

I would like to start by thanking and recognizing Dr. Chamberlain. She was the first black woman combat intelligence pilot in the United States Army, president of the Los Angeles chapter of the

Tuskegee Airmen, and a senior advisor for Sisters of the Skies. So it's an honor to have your involvement in this very important project. Thank you.

Let me start by saying that medallic art, in its most successful form, brings an emotional response to its viewer. There are many feelings that a medal for Emmett Till and his mother could invoke. Outrage and anger at his murder, a righteousness in the Civil Rights progress that followed, and the findings laid out in the public law that authorizes this medal, which $I$ encourage everyone to read if you haven't.

We see two themes. One, the brutality, violence, and injustice of Emmett Till's murder; and two, the love, strength, and courage of Mamie Till-Mobley. Obverse 2 is the only design that gives a sense of loving comfort and peace. It has a unique depth of emotion and human connection. Not only are mother and son facing each other and hugging, but both are embraced by angelic wings. The presence of the cross shows spiritual salvation.

Donald, I understand what you're saying
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about inclusion, but $I$ feel -- and perhaps Dr. Chamberlain can talk to this -- speak to this subject, but to me, it seems like an important and crucial part of the design.

Most of the other obverses are
traditional portraiture. Obverse 6 gets close to the emotion of obverse 2, but it's tied to a weaker design, in my opinion, for the reverse. The reverse design that best matches obverse 2's quiet, dignified sense of emotion, is reverse 3. Here, Emmett Till and his mother are disconnected physically by his death, but they remain connected spiritually. Even though this design is quiet, it captures Mamie Till-Mobley's strength and courage, not with raised fists or radio microphones, but with the statement, "Let the world see."

This carries the anger, the outrage, the moral resolve. It's a message Mamie Till-Mobley commanded, despite suffering something no parent should ever suffer. And we suffered this as a nation. Our horror and our grief are shown by her covering her face, but we're not let off the hook. The artist
connects Emmett to the message, "Let the world see," by the repetition of sunken relief silhouette, as Joe described, and the starry night occupying Emmett's form, and emphasizing the text.

I like the combination of obverse 2 and reverse 3 because while this combination doesn't ignore the sadness and horror of Emmett's murder, it focuses on love, strength, courage, and hope in the face of injustice. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dennis.
John Saunders, if you would, please.
MR. SAUNDERS: Hi, this is John

Saunders.
In terms of the obverses line, Harcourt kind of stole my thunder, which I agree with him completely. I was drawn to two designs only; design 2 for reasons that people have said already. It shows loving. It shows also that when someone gets killed and murdered in such a foul way as this, it not only obviously affects them, it affects the people around them, who they care about. And -- and, you know, any time we lose any person, we -- it's a loss for society
in general, and for everyone who cares.
I also liked design 4 very, very much.
Particularly, I like the first of the two designs there; either one would be okay. I like the inclusion of the hat. It kind of -- I think it makes Emmett look good. I also think it kind of shows the time when this happens. It's 1950 s when men wore hats and boys wore hats too. So -- and the artistic design of that is -- was very appealing to me. So that's probably my first choice with design 2 as being almost -- well, both great; pretty good designs overall.

In terms of reverse, on an artistic basis, I liked 3 best. I think that was -- was very good art. My -- my one thought is -- it's -- the obverse shows the mother and child and reverse shows mother and child, which is not necessarily bad, but it's kind of repetitive.

If you kick out 03 because of that, 02 would be my favorite next, again, following what Harcourt said. So those were two. I -- I did not like the raised fist in 4 , 'cause hopefully when we
face the terrible things that have been done, terrible injustices like this, it's a time of healing rather than a time of further conflict. And I -- I don't like something that's, you know, anti-healing. I don't know if that's the right way of saying it or not, but $I$ did not like that.

But I liked the art in 03, and I like 02, 'cause then it goes with the obverse design choices well.

THE CHAIRMAN: John, thank you very much.

Kellen, if you would, please.
MR. HOARD: Thank you.
This is Kellen Hoard. For the obverse, I was really drawn to 4 as well. I, you know -- when I was home for spring break and I saw my mother, you know, she and I -- well, anyway, what happened was she and $I$ happened to stand in this exact position, so I was very much just thinking of -- of my mother, as $I$ saw this, especially as -- as her son. And it came across as very comforting and very warm. It showed the warmth of their relationship. They're together;
they are in many ways touching each other emotionally and physically. I was really quite drawn to that.

You guys are persuading me also a little bit on obverse 2 for the same reasons. I think it's really -- we should show the warmth on the obverse. I think that's -- that's an important thing to be, kind of, the first impression -- 4 is kind of the preference there.

On the reverse, you know, my
inclination, what distinguished her was that she didn't, you know, that she took action, that she was strong in the face of tragedy, that she -- there were countless mothers, I think, like her, who experienced injustice and tragedy throughout this period and continuing. And I think that what differentiated her was the fact that she took the world and showed that strength and bravery. So $I$ was inclined to show her work and her action.

And that led me to 1 in the first place, as showing her as this, kind of, powerful, action-oriented person, showing the people that she impacted. Having, kind of, the words really
emphasized there. I thought that was really quite telling and fitting with what $I$ was looking for.

But then, I saw also 6. And for me, 6, you know, it doesn't show her quite as well, but it was a striking design that went so far beyond anything even articulable. But which made me, like that I'm speaking to, made me quite emotional. I thought it was her continuing to encourage the world to see, you know, the injustice that had been done, holding out her hands in that way.

And I think for the public when they see this, that's going to be the one that they don't have to think about, they can just feel quite powerfully. And so, I think, you know, I'm kind of leaning that direction there. So to show the warmth upon the obverse and then to have her continuing offering those lessons in justice in the reverse was really quite compelling to me. So thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Kellen. Thank you very much.

For myself, I also was drawn to obverse No. 2, just again, for many of the reasons that other
members of the Committee have expressed; the warmth, the loving embrace, and so forth. I do have to admit that not -- none of the reverses really spoke to me. I -- I didn't really find any of them particularly compelling or engaging in a way.

I did, however, really like the combination of obverse 6 and reverse 7, which as a -from a design concept, $I$ find the elegance and simplicity of this obverse reverse combination really quite engaging, although the obverse portrait of Emmett, I think, leaves a lot to be desired.

I will leave it at that since $I$ think we do have a little bit of discussion. But before we get to that, I would like to ask Dr. Chamberlain if she could address the question that was raised by Dr. Capozzola about the importance for religion to the family, and to the concept of the design of this medal.

Yeah, go ahead, please.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Does that mean I
speak?
THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, please, if you
would.

MS. WARREN: And if -- I'm sorry, Dr. Chamberlain, if you could get closer to the mic, that would be helpful.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay. Let me try to turn it up.

How about that?

MS. WARREN: A little better, just if you could lean closer to the computer, that would help. Thank you.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay, I'll try and do this.

MS. WARREN: Good.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay. So thank you all very, very much for all of the recommendations. They say when you want something said send a poet, but when you want something done, you send an Army helicopter pilot.

So first of all, we come from a very religious family. The reflections that you do see are from us as a family, as a whole. We come from an age too, the feelings takes on your -- which this, to me,
is a coinage committee of advisors -- "In God We Trust." Of course, maybe that lost -- I'm talking to it.

I am grateful for all of the comments that are made, and I ask -- consideration -- as we started this journey with Alvin Sykes in 2015, this is my third Congressional Gold Medal, as I also helped on the -- Tuskegee Airmen Gold Medal --

MS. WARREN: It's her internet connection.

THE CHAIRMAN: I know. Can she pause maybe?

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: But this is the first time as the sole congressional liaison. It was quite the struggle getting this through a Congress in which we have. But a little team of just four of us, a little -- little helicopter pilot, with three -- other people calling every day, showing up at the Capitol, the impossible beginning. And it was done.

Now, we're at this juncture, and who helped it -- bless her, is Erica Gordon, who was there along with her mother Ollie, who, when we had no one
who allowed us, Ollie Gordon stepped up and helped, 'cause she's part of this team. Ollie, who -- and because of the loss of my cousin, Wheeler Parker, accepting on behalf of -- Congression, I request that she be there as a -- help -- us four.

So as a team, we looked at all of every design. We thank every artist. Each of them were beautiful. It was a -- I wear the $T$-shirt of Emmett because what's going on now with all that is -- seems like we're the only ones who are actually -- of spirit.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Chamberlain -DR. CHAMBERLAIN: So we looked at each and every one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Chamberlain, I'm sorry to interrupt, but we're having a lot of difficulty with the audio. And your words are very important for us to hear, but we're only hearing about every other word at the moment, unfortunately. So what $I$ would suggest is that Megan Sullivan call you on her cell phone, and we can then patch that into the audio so we can actually hear each and every one of
your words, which all of us would very much to hear.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: So if you could just give us a minute, we will --

MS. WARREN: Ask her to mute her computer.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: And -- yeah. If at the same time, you can mute your computer, so there won't be interference with that. We will have that up and running just momentarily.

MS. SULLIVAN: Also if she turns off her camera, that might help.

MS. WARREN: Well, Mike was having the same issue.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Hello?
MS. SULLIVAN: Okay. Mute your --
MS. WARREN: I'm muting her.
MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.
I'm going to put you on speaker on the microphone. Hold on just a sec.

MS. WARREN: Okay, let's give it a
shot.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: How about now?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Better than
before, but not very good.

MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah, can you just turn the sound -- well, I don't know if she's able to hear well enough.

Try that.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Is that better?

MS. SULLIVAN: Can you hear us? I
mean, can you speak?

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: I can hear you perfectly.

MS. SULLIVAN: Okay. You guys --
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, I think that's better. Let's -- go ahead.

MR. WEINMAN: Ask her to speak close to the phone.

MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah, just speak as closely to the phone as you can.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay, how about that?

MS. SULLIVAN: Perfect.

MR. WEINMAN: Good.

MS. SULLIVAN: -- we start?

MS. WARREN: Yeah, go ahead.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay. Are we ready?

MS. WARREN: Yes.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay. So that's
why -- how much did you all hear me -- you heard me speak about Ollie Gordon? Ollie actually lived with Mamie. Her features -- that's why we looked at each and every one. We took three to four weeks going over it thoroughly, and we came up with the No. 2. And there's a reason why.

If you could put No. 2 back up?
MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay. I don't see
it.

MS. SULLIVAN: It's up on our end. I'm not sure why it's not showing up.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay. It just came up. Thank you.

First, with that, we were requesting that Emmett's full name be on there, not just Emmett

Till, but his full name. Second is because this reflects who Mamie was. This reflects strongly who she was. The actual picture that's there that we're requesting with Emmett, that's his childhood picture. We have requested that his face be fuller to what he was during the time of his death, which is a much fuller face. The reason why we chose the wings because we are a religious family, and it reflects who we are, and everything that she went through and what Emmett went through. And also, we considered how it would look with gold, golden wings, reflective of who, and actually what happened with him, and the healing of America towards what they did to my cousin.

Is everybody with me so far?

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yes, ma'am.

MS. WARREN: One second. Dr.

Chamberlain, can you mute your computer, your sound? Because I think this -- our -- we're circling back.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Hold on one second.

MS. WARREN: Thank you.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay, I -- I've muted
the mic.

MS. WARREN: There we go. I think we're good. Well, you can still hear -- feedback, but --

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yeah, there's a feedback. The feedback's been there the whole meeting.

MS. SULLIVAN: It's okay. We can hear you, ma'am.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay, thank you.
Does anybody have any questions so far for why 02 was selected?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, I think you've answered the questions very well. So --

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: In terms of the rear, two were -- two were discussed. That's R6, because those were her hands in the reflection of the coffin. So "Let the World See" was changed to "Nation," and it was much more soft, versus an in your face type thing. And it reflected who she was as a strong woman, who lost a child, and it also reflected each and every one of us as family. And collectively, a lot of us has suffered as well. And we thank the grateful nation
for even -- for doing this, and getting this done.
And we also looked at the back, how -how that would look in gold, as well. And it reflected of a more soft -- softer tone. Does anybody have any questions of me with that?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we do have a question from Dennis Tucker, if -- Dennis, if you'd like to go ahead.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr.
Chamberlain. Can you expand a bit on what you were saying about Emmett Till's full name?

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes.

MR. TUCKER: You mentioned extending the wording to include his full name?

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: His full name, yes.
You see how it just says, "Emmett Till"?
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yes.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: We recommended to have his full name.

MS. STAFFORD: So we would add his middle name, Louis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: Apologies for
neglecting --

THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis, could you --
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: The other one we
looked at was -- I believe it was R4. We did look at that and we had a discussion, but we did recommend that the power sign not be there because that reflected the 1960 s, and not the $1950 s$. And this is when we asked to possibly have Mamie at the podium, with her as she did down in Sumner, when she was there during the trial. That's one.

The other thing was the Act of Congress 2023, and the books. And the books suggest of how far we've come as a nation. So those are the two that the family feels very strongly about.

And hold on, let me see if I forgot anything else. I think I got it all.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Dr.
Chamberlain, thank you very much. And $I$ have to say that I really do appreciate, as I'm sure the rest of the Committee does, the very long and hard journey that bringing this medal to completion has been.

Are there any further questions from members of the Committee?

Harcourt, I believe you had a question earlier?

DR. FULLER: May I? I promise I'll be as brief as possible.

THE CHAIRMAN: Please.

DR. FULLER: Yeah, thanks.
I just wanted, if I may -- this is

Harcourt Fuller. I wanted -- I wanted to follow up on some of the discussions if I may. Just to reemphasize, I think that the spiritual aspect, I think is very important. As Dr. Chamberlain said, the family is spiritual, is religious, and I think that it is very important to highlight that for, you know, in commemorating Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley. I don't think it takes away anything necessarily, from it.

And then, also, $I$ just very quickly, if I may go to reverse -- what is that, reverse 2, R2. What I -- if I may, what I would like to suggest is that we replace those words with more aspirational
words, some of which have already been mentioned here today. Love, strength, courage, peace, resilience, hope, justice. All those words have been mentioned, some by Dennis, et cetera. Because I think that -that those words are more inclusive and show where we would like to go as a nation.

So that's -- those are my thoughts. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Harcourt.

What I would suggest is that we will score the candidate obverse reverse designs first, and then we can see where we are --

I'm sorry?
MS. WARREN: Dr. Chamberlain has her hand up.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
Dr. Chamberlain, if you would, please.
I didn't see your hand.

THR REPORTER: And, Mr. van Alfen, the microphone, please. Microphone, please.

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: I do have to put this
in. I really want the Committee to know that Mamie worked for Willa Brown and Cornelius Coffey. She worked for them from 1941 to 1943. Willa Brown would be the one to create the Tuskegee Airmen. She trained the first 200 in Harlem, New York. Mamie was the teenager working with them. It was the Tuskegee Airman ranger who accepted Emmett's body. Willa Brown was my mentor until her death. So the connection with the airmen is very serious with our family as well. So I don't know if you all knew that connection, but now you know it, and I had to get that in too. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Sergeant -- Sergeant Brown?

DR. CHAMBERLAIN: So in a second, I'd -- that coin would be right now.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Chamberlain, I
really do appreciate you highlighting that connection. As a aviation enthusiast myself, I -- I really find that quite important and fascinating. So thank you for highlighting that.

Are there any additional comments from the Mint, Joe Menna, and Mike Costello?

All right. Hearing none --
MR. MENNA: No, thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: And before we score,
Greg would like to make a comment about the scoring.
MR. WEINMAN: Thank you. You may have noticed, and this is for some of the newer members as well, there is a column on your score sheet that says, "merit," and it has a number of circles on it. That column has been there for some time, however, I'd like to emphasize it right now and ask you to take it a little more seriously, and be sure to fill it out.

Over -- I've noticed as I have complied the score sheets that some members give it a great deal of thought; other members have seen it as something more superfluous. It's not, and it is even more important right now than it has been in the past.

As many of you know, many of these designs are produced by artists as part of our artistic infusion program. That is a program that's been around for some time. They are contract artists;
they're awarded contracts and given task orders for each program that comes up. Their contracts have option years on them. That means that each year, the mint does an evaluation and makes a determination as to who stays with the program. It is an infusion program, so some artists cycle in, artists cycle out.

Your scores, your merit scores are going to be playing a more significant role as a data point in determining which artists' options are exercised for the coming year. And so, I ask you as you score this, as you do your zero through 3 score, also take seriously and check the merit box of those designs that you think are particularly worthy from an artistic or from any -- the criteria that you think, "this is as an artist I'd like to see stay in the program." Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you very much.

All right. So the Committee will now score the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the Emmett Till and Mamie Till-Mobley Congressional Gold Medal. All of you should have your score sheets
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in front of you. And, Mike, you should've received yours electronically.

When you are done, please return them
to CCAC Counsel, Greg Weinman, who will tally the scores and will present the results. And we will take a five-minute break, and be back at 11:20. Thank you. (Off the record.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, we are back.
Before $I$ turn it over to Greg, I just want to apologize to Dr. Chamberlain as well as to our virtual audience for the technical difficulties that we are having today. I know that this is, I'm sure, very frustrating for you, but the team is doing its best to try to resolve the problems that we are having. So I now recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

So, Greg, if you would, please.
MR. WEINMAN: Yes, this is --

Okay. There's feedback if I do this, so I'm going to speak loudly.

Out of the possible 30 points, design
obverse 1 received four. Obverse 2 received 22, making it the high-scoring design. Obverse 3 received four. Obverse 3A received one point. Obverse 4 received 14 points. Obverse 4A received seven, 5 received one, and obverse 6 received six.

Moving on to the reverses. Reverse 1 received seven points. Reverse 2 received ten points. Reverse 3 received 19 points; that is the high-scoring design. Reverse 4 received six. Reverse 5 received zero. Reverse 6 received 17, so close. And reverse 7 received three. Reverse 7 A received one.

Once again, the high-scoring designs were obverse 2 , with 22 points, and reverse 3 , with 19 points.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you,
Greg. Before we have a discussion or any further debate about this, $I$ would like to remind all of us that as April mentioned at the beginning of our review of this portfolio, we are not necessarily needing to make a recommendation to the Secretary today, but rather to help the process further along. So that said, are there any motions or discussions?

Donald, I see your hand immediately
raised, so --

MR. SCARINCI: I think -- I think it's very important that we have "Act of Congress 2023" on this medal. So I would make a motion --

THE REPORTER: Sorry, the microphone.
MR. SCARINCI: I slipped.

I think it's very, very important that we include the language, "Act of Congress 2023" on this medal somewhere. So I'd like to make a motion that -- that Joe Menna's team, you know, insert that in an appropriate place, either on the obverse or the reverse.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. A second?
Donald? Dennis has seconded, sorry. And is there any further discussion or debate about that?

All right. Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion, indicate by saying "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any opposed?
All right, the motion carries. Any
other further discussion?

John.
MR. SAUNDERS: A little discussion on, you know, the liaison's --

THE CHAIRMAN: John, if you could wait just a moment?

MR. SAUNDERS: Hi, this is John
Saunders. The liaison's choice of reverse 4 was -MS. WARREN: Don't put them too close. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's not going to work.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh.
MS. WARREN: It's this one.
MR. SAUNDERS: The liaison's choice of 4 was -- comments about redesign or placement of the raised hand by perhaps a podium with speech being given from the podium. I couldn't vote for 4 the way it was, but I might be able to vote for 4 as redesigned.

The other thing is our second choice, 17, which is a comment $I$ neglected to make the first time was -- when I looked at that, I didn't recognize
it as a casket until after $I$ read that it was a casket. It looked almost like a victory cup or something like that. To me, it's a -- you know, I assume it's because he was a -- a young man, it was shaped that way, and it was just different than what $I$ was expecting. I'm not sure that the public would recognize that as a casket, particularly, looking at it.

And again, $I$ felt that the -- there were two really strong obverse designs. The committee seemed to think the same, but I'm not 100 percent happy with any of the reverse designs. So I mean, to the extent that we get some emphasis here, I would suggest that we -- we -- say -- say that. We're really happy with obverse design, and we're more wishy-washy around the reverse design.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Yeah, thank you.

Kellen, $I$ believe your hand was raised first.

MR. HOARD: Yeah, just -- I want -this is Kellen Hoard. I just wanted to speak to the
high scores there. I think obverse 2, this was a pretty clear high score. It seemed like there was some margin there, and that we should maybe make a motion, relevant to obverse 2 .

But for the reverses, you know, I
thought 3 and 6 were pretty close. And I would encourage the Committee to recommend, or at least, you know, share with the Mint, if we're not going to make a full recommendation, 6 over 3 , for the reverses.

And part of that for me is the reason that we're giving this medal in the first place, is because what she did -- what was different about, and how powerful about what Mamie did was that she wasn't -- that she took action, that she, you know, went out across the country, encouraging the world to see.

And to me, 3 does not represent that.
To me, 3, you know, even though it's an ideal artistic merit, $I$ think it shows -- it doesn't show the action that she undertook. It doesn't show what differentiated her or the reason we're celebrating her. It absolutely is emotional, but the reason that
we're celebrating and commemorating her is because of the action she took. It wasn't just that she, you know, felt these emotions that the hundreds or thousands of women and mothers have felt over the course of American history, through similar tragedies.

So I think, you know, part of what so struck me about 6 is that it does both literally and metaphorically show the -- the action that has instilled that emotional impact; the hands are very compelling. And to me, because 3 and 6 were close, I would be inclined for us to move towards 6 over 3 .

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you, in fact, making
a motion to --
MR. HOARD: Well, let's have further discussion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right.
Dennis, I believe your hand was raised.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Yes, this is Dennis Tucker.

I agree with John. I think reverse 6 does not look like a casket to me. It looks like it could be a plate on a -- a plate on a memorial, or --
or something. But when $I$ look at that, I don't think casket.

The hands, I think, need more explanation than the viewer is given, just from the -the visual aspect. I -- I really do prefer emotional and physical connection that we see in reverse 3 . She's obviously stricken with grief here. She's -she's devastated. And yet, those words in reverse 3, "Let the world see," as I said before, they -- they don't let us off the hook. They -- they express the outrage. They express everything disgusting about Emmett Till's murder.

And what I might recommend is that we, as -- as Kellen said, we recommend the two high vote getters, obverse 2 and reverse 3, making it clear to the Secretary that of this portfolio, these are the candidates that we preferred. However, if -- if Dr. Chamberlain, and the family, and the Mint feels it's -- it's proper to go back to the drawing board, maybe we could see -- and if there's time, maybe we could see another round -- round of designs that -that use this discussion to, kind of, give us a round
two.
THE CHAIRMAN: My understanding -- and, April, you can correct me if I'm wrong -- that we will be able to see further candidate reverse designs or obverse designs at a later date if -- if this is --

MS. STAFFORD: We -- we are prepared to -- to follow the recommendations that we receive from both the CCAC, as well as CFA, and after we speak with family representative about the incoming input. So right now, we're just collecting data.

So any way you could present the feedback for those potential paths would be helpful.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, April.

And again, it doesn't sound like we need to make a recommendation to the Secretary today, but just have further comments.

Kellen, your hand again was raised?
MR. HOARD: Yeah. I was just going to say, given the number of comments about, you know, dissatisfaction with -- to the reverse portfolios, and that we don't have to make a recommendation, could we
move that the Mint look at, revise, add Act of Congress to, et cetera, obverse 2, reverse 3, reverse 6, which was the recommendation of the liaison on both 2 and 6? And that they have further discussions with the family on those, and then come back to us?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I believe we've already had a motion to include Act of Congress description somewhere on the medal --

MR. HOARD: Oh, yeah. I -- it would encompass --

THE CHAIRMAN: -- but that -- right.
MR. HOARD: -- estimate for those three designs, and go speak further with family, confirm that that was what the family's --

THE CHAIRMAN: So your motion would be what exactly?

MR. HOARD: Not to make a formal recommendation, but to ask the Mint to focus on those three designs, as they bring them back to us, and --

THE CHAIRMAN: I don't believe we need the motion for that. We can --

MR. WEINMAN: I'm sorry. I apologize.

What were you --
THE CHAIRMAN: No, I was just saying I don't believe we need to make a motion, necessarily, to just leave the discussion as-is, and move forward, so --

MR. WEINMAN: No. And the discussion
is what it is.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. WEINMAN: We -- and I -- I'm --
just to confirm that a letter from CCAC to the Secretary will still be needed from this meeting --

THE CHAIRMAN: Of course.

MR. WEINMAN: -- whatever the
recommendations are.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
MS. WARREN: Dr. -- I'm sorry --
Chairman? This is Jennifer Warren. We have -- Dr. Chamberlain has her hand up, so --

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MS. WARREN: -- going to grab her real quick.

THE CHAIRMAN: Fine.

John, before we get to you, then we'll
hear Dr. Chamberlain.

Dr. Chamberlain, if you would, please.
DR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes, sir.

In terms of number 3, Mamie was a very active woman. She was not one to sit in a chair and cry. And that's why number 3, I know the effort, but just don't want to be looked at, and -- we're very -we're very much against -- she was not a crier. She was very active.

MR. SAUNDERS: That's a good point.
THE CHAIRMAN: Now, thank you very much for that insight; very much appreciated.

John, I believe your hand was raised?
MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. I -- make a motion
that it's proper to do so -- John Saunders here.
That we recommend the adoption of design 2 on the obverse, and that we ask for further input on reverse. And $I$ don't think that on the further end -- I don't think we should restrict it to the two high vote getters. Again, I would like to see No. 4, which is, you know, the preferred of the
liaison, redesigned with some of the suggestions the liaison has given. And just -- we really -- more reverse designs -- more varieties in reverse designs, in general, rather than restrict it there.

But I would -- I would make them do -we have -- the obverse design.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
So John's motion then, would be to recommend obverse design 2 , but at this time make no recommendation for a reverse design, and await further candidate designs from the Office of Design

```
Management. Is that correct?
```

John, is that your motion?
MR. SAUNDERS: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is there a second for that motion?

Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: I second that; Dennis Tucker.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any --
MS. WARREN: Yes, excuse me. This is Jennifer Warren.

Just for the record, Dennis Tucker seconded it.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Dennis.

Is there any further debate on this motion?

All right. All in favor, say "aye." MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
All right. So the motion carries. Is there --

Oh, John, I see your --
MR. SAUNDERS: One last comment to the Mint. After we've had heard the liaison thought reverse design 3 with the not liking her in tears, which $I$ think is a very valid point, maybe that design could be a different facial expression there. I like the design-work, but it's somehow -- redoing it to take into consideration the comments from the liaison. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. John, thank you very much.

Okay. If all our discussion on this
portfolio has concluded, I would really like to take a moment to express our appreciations to Dr. Sheila Chamberlain for joining us today, and for all of the hard work that she, and her family, and others, have put into brining this Congressional Gold Medal to fruition.

So, Dr. Chamberlain, thank you again very much for your presence today, and your comments. And again, apologies for the technical difficulties we've been having. So take care.

Jennifer, we are a little bit ahead of schedule, so $I$ just want to make sure that we can go ahead with our next portfolio, or should we recess for a few moments?

MS. WARREN: Sure. This is Jennifer
Warren.
Just remember, the group, to state your name before you talking, just again, for the court reporter, for those on the call. Again, we are working on the microphones, so at lunch we will fix that. There's some feedback that's going on with the speakers above us, so they're trying to adjust the
volume so that they would work, but yet not being that loud noise.

So I ask that you pretend that you're outside at a baseball game, and yell as loud as possible. So I appreciate that, and thank you for your patience.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Jennifer. Thank you very much.

So the next portfolio for consideration is the reverse candidate designs for the 2026 Native American $\$ 1$ Coin. April Stafford and Megan Sullivan will present the candidate designs for the 2026 Native American $\$ 1$ Coin.

So, April, whenever you are ready.
MS. WARREN: Can we actually take a ten-minute break? We were trying to get one of the liaisons on.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MS. WARREN: If that would be okay?
THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely --
absolutely.
MS. WARREN: So we will -- we are ahead
of schedule. So we were supposed to start at 12:00. MS. STAFFORD: Okay. If we could just make it five minutes.

MS. WARREN: Five minutes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we will take a
five-minute recess, and we will be back at --
MS. WARREN: Oh, there she is.
MS. STAFFORD: She's here; sorry.
MS. WARREN: She was there, and now, she is -- yes, she is on. Okay.

Scratch that.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We will not take a five-minute recess.

MS. WARREN: Sorry about that.
MS. STAFFORD: No, thank you. I appreciate everyone's patience.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So, April, whenever you are ready.

MS. WARREN: Try it. And then, if you hear feedback, turn it off.

MS. STAFFORD: All right. Every year since 2009, in accordance with the Native American \$1

Coin Act, the United States Mint has annually minted and issued golden-hued $\$ 1$ coins that honor Native Americans and celebrate the important contributions made by Indian tribes, and individual Native Americans, to the development and history of the United States.

The obverse of the coins retain the depiction of Sacagawea, and her infant son, first used in 2000 on the Sacagawea Golden Dollar. You can see that on our screen now. In 2026, the reverse design will commemorate the Oneidas at Valley Forge.

A little bit about this theme. Polly Cooper was an Oneida woman who accompanied a group of Oneida warriors to join the American cause during the Revolutionary War. They traveled hundreds of miles on foot to Valley Forge, Pennsylvania, carrying bushels of white corn to feed the starving troops.

Oral tradition creates Cooper for preparing and teaching the soldiers how to prepare the corn, which, without proper preparation, would have swollen in their stomachs and killed them. After many of her fellow Oneidas returned home, Cooper remained
with the Continental Army, and continued to aid them. This theme coincides with the broader coin theme for 2026, celebrating the U.S.

Semiquincentennial, or $250 t h$ anniversary of the founding of our nation, as authorized under the Circulating Collectible Coin Redesign Act of 2020 .

The United States Mint sought input
from the Oneida Nation and Oneida Indian Nation. And the feedback was that the following designs were strong representations of the subject theme. Design 1, 3, 6, and 7. Now, that input was shared with our legislative liaisons, and the formal preferences we received from the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, the Congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives, and the National Congress of the American Indian, are 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7.

We are very fortunate to have with us today, Linn Cornelius, representative of the Oneida Nation, referred to us by officials for her family's research and knowledge of the subject of Polly Cooper. We also have Celia Belmonte, Assistant General Counsel of the Oneida Indian Nation. And with us here today,
in person, is Denise Desiderio, Senior Policy Advisor for Akin, on behalf of the Oneida Indian Nation.

Can I ask if our guests would like to say a few words to the Committee? And perhaps, I could start with Ms. Cornelius.

MS. CORNELIUS: Good morning. My name is Linn Cornelius. I'm an ancestor to Polly Cooper. She would be the 5th great-aunt from my family. Very interested in the Revolutionary War, with her helping the colonists to provide -- by providing food, blankets, and -- for them. And Polly Cooper showed them how to cook the corn.

Sorry, I'm echoing.
To cook the corn, because if you ate the corn raw, you would get a bloated stomach, and get sick. She helped with the colonists as much as she could in proving the food for them, or the meals.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
And if $I$ can ask Ms. Belmonte or Desiderio?

Celia?
Okay. Hold on one second, Ms.

Belmonte.

Jen, are we --

MS. WARREN: Yeah, she's unmuted, but they're -- I don't know if her microphone's working. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think it just did.

MS. BELMONTE: Oh, hi. Apologies. Did that work?

MS. WARREN: Yes -- yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes -- yes.
MS. BELMONTE: Okay, great.
Thank you all very much for your time. It's a pleasure being here with you all. I apologize if there's any reverb.

Denise, if you would like to say a few words on our behalf, because $I$ think there might be some reverb from me.

MS. DESIDERIO: Thank you, Celia.
Thank you for letting me be here in person today. It's really a special experience. I was engaging with Roger earlier, I guess last weekend, and I did -- I feel loud. I'm really -- okay.

I let him know that when I got to the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs in 2009, I was able to participate in the designs for the first coin. And it was a really special experience, and I've really loved this program ever since.

So I am here today representing the Oneida Indian Nation. And I think, just a show of gratitude from the Oneida Indian Nation of New York for inclusion in this program. The Polly Copper experience is really the experience with the United States; right? Polly was a representative of Chief Shenandoah at the time, and the Oneida Indian Nation.

And it's, you know, there's a -- a depiction of this very time, called Allies in War, and Partners in Peace; right? And I think although Polly is on the coin, it really is the representation of that first relationship, the first allies as the United States was being formed, and the role that the Oneida Indian Nation, and their representative, Polly Cooper, played in -- in really bringing, kind of, those first relationships that formed these United States.

So we appreciate the opportunity to participate. And we do have, you know, some comments on the coins, but overall just think that this is going to be a great opportunity to highlight the role that the Oneida Indian Nation in New York still plays in the Government, the Government relationship with the United States.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. So as we move through the portfolio, I will pause to share these specific preferences. All of the candidate designs feature the required inscriptions, "United States of America" and "\$1."

So we'll start, first, with design 1. This design depicts Polly Cooper holding ears of corn while she prepares a pot of food. The snow-covered elements around her indicate the harsh winter environment and conditions at Valley Forge. In the background, Oneida Chief Shenandoah --

I just want to make sure I'm pronouncing that right.

In the background, Oneida Chief Shenandoah hands blankets to General Washington, who
reaches from horseback. Beside them, a soldier wraps a blanket around his shoulders. An additional inscription reads, "Oneidas at Valley Forge."

Design 1 is, again, a preference of the
Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, as well as the National Congress of the American Indians. It was also identified for the strength of its depiction of the theme during review by an Oneida Nations subject matter expert.

Design 2 shows Polly Cooper carrying a bowl of prepared corn and blankets to Continental Army Troops, symbolized by the tricorn hat in the foreground. The design, vertically demarcated with an ear of corn, includes cabins, tents, and trees buried in snow. An additional inscription reads "Oneidas at Valley Forge."

Design 2 is a preference of the

Congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives.

Reverse 3 portrays Polly Cooper holding a basket of corn, and standing next to General Washington, who is holding an ear of corn she has
shared with him. The rays in the background represent the hope the Oneidas' gift of corn would have inspired in the soldiers. And additional inscription reads, "Oneidas Aid at Valley Forge."

Design 3 is a preference of the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs. It was also identified for the strength of its depiction of the theme during review by Oneida Nation and Oneida Indian Nation subject matter experts.

Reverses 4 and 4A, 5, 6. This design depicts Polly Cooper alongside a bald eagle. The eagle is very important to the Oneidas, as it represents a protector, and a message from the creator. Here, it is also a symbol of Polly Cooper's strength. An additional inscription reads, "Oneida Allies at Valley Forge."

6 is a preference of the National
Congress of American Indians, and also, was identified for the strength of its depiction of the subject theme during the review by an Oneida Nation subject matter expert.

6A, 7. This design shows Polly Cooper
as she carries baskets, and a basket of corn. And additional inscription reads, "Oneidas at Valley Forge."

This design is a preference of the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs and the Congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives. It was also identified for the strength of its depiction of the subject theme during review by an Oneida Nations subject matter expert.

Designs 8, 9, 11, 11A, and 12. That concludes the candidate designs, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, April.

I would like to welcome and thank Ms. Cornelius, Ms. Belmonte, and Ms. Desiderio for joining us today.

And, Ms. Desiderio, you mentioned that there were some comments that you had on some of these designs. Certainly welcome to hear those from you at this time, if you'd like.

MS. DESIDERIO: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.

I will say that -- and I think we're a little late to this process, so forgive me for a minute. It would be, probably, the ultimate preference of the Oneida Indian Nation of New York to have the depiction, "Allies in War and Partners in Peace," which is actually an exhibit that already exists, which shows Chief Shenandoah, Polly Cooper, and George Washington.

We -- we were, I think, a bit late to the consultation process, but are very much engaged now. So if that's not possible, the Oneida Indian Nation has been consulting, and has identified 25 descendants of Polly Cooper that still reside on the conditional homelands of the Oneida Indian Nation of New York. And the preference would be No. 3.

And again, you know, Polly Cooper was a representative of the Nation. But this, we think, shows the -- the Nation-to-Nation, kind of, exchange, and it shows the exchange of traditional culture, knowledge, information to, you know, sustain George Washington and his troops. And again, represents that Nation-to-Nation relationship that we think still
exists very strongly between the Nation and the United States today.

So we want to highlight Polly Cooper very strongly, and are very engaged in -- in how this depicts, you know, that initial ally relationship of the Nation, and the newly forming United States.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
And, Mr. Chair, if I can add -- and we did share this as well, the statue that was referred to was absolutely provided as a reference to our artist because of how incredibly well it embodies the spirit of the story. And so, our charge to the artists were to try to distill that to this appropriate, you know, medium of -- of a coin.

And if it's okay, perhaps you'd go to Ms. Cornelius.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, absolutely.

Yes. I certainly would welcome additional comments from Ms. Cornelius and Ms. Belmonte.

Ms. Cornelius, if you would, please.
MS. CORNELIUS: Good morning. I do
like the 03, the $26 \mathrm{NA}-03$, because of the "Oneidas Aid at Valley Forge." But $I$ also liked the 2 -- 26NA-07, which emphasizes Polly Cooper with the blankets and the corn. It's showing what we did -- the Oneidas did provide for the colonists at Valley Forge.

But, honestly, I like that one. And then, $I$ also like the other one, which is slide No. 10, with Polly Cooper with the eagle and the corn. It's showing that we were allies at the Valley Forge, and that -- and with the eagle, being the protector of the United States of America. With -- with all that she brought forward -- to really bring a picture of her to bring her to life. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Cornelius.

Ms. Belmonte, do you have any additional comments?

MS. BELMONTE: Just that I would like to second Denise's comments regarding the United Indian Nation of New York's support of design No. 3.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.

Just had a technical moment.

Jennifer, are we okay?

MS. WARREN: Um-hmm.
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. All right.

All right. Thank you.
Are there any technical or legal
questions from the Committee about this program, or the designs for the 2026 Native American $\$ 1$ Coin, before we begin our general discussion?

And, John, I see your hand is raised.
MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah, it's more of a technical -- start over again.

Hi, this is John Saunders. This is a technical question. Since this grain was hauled many hundreds of miles, you would've thought it would be more efficient to take the grains of corn off of the ear, and just bring the kernels this distance, rather than bringing the whole corn ear.

Even if that is the case, I'm not opposed to showing the whole corn ear, because that -that tells you what it is, because you couldn't really see much if it was just some kernels. But I'm
interested in, historically, if we know which way it was.

MS. DESIDERIO: I do not have an answer
to that.
MS. STAFFORD: I believe our
information was that it was ears of corn that was transported, if that's the question. Am I correct, Roger?

MR. VASQUEZ: We could refer to Ms.
Cornelius --
MS. STAFFORD: Ms. Cornelius.
Ms. Cornelius, is that correct?

MS. CORNELIUS: Well, excuse me. It
came in fuzzy.
MS. STAFFORD: Is it correct that the way the corn was transported by the Oneidas to the Continental Army was as ears of corn as opposed to individual kernels?

MS. CORNELIUS: It was by ears of corn.
Corn on the cob, if you would like to say.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.

Are there any other questions or
comments?
All right. Let's being our
consideration. And again, I'd like to remind all of the Committee members to keep it to five minutes, and to please speak your name before -- before your comments.

We'll begin with Dr. Christopher Capozzola, if we have a microphone available.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. Thank you.
This is Christopher Capozzola, and I
think the technology is working.
I'd like to thank the liaisons and the artists for this work. As I was -- as I was examining it, $I$ was appreciating two factors at the same time. First, wanting to center Native American agency as -as the actors in the story, maybe more than the revolutionary soldiers. And second, the importance of this coin in 2026, as part of the broader sesquicentennial program, the importance of including Native Americans in the history of the -- of the American Revolution, and our reflections on the $250 t h$
anniversary of that.
And also, wherever possible, to recognize, sort of, changing understandings of Native Americans as -- as sovereign nations, operating on the North American continent at the same time that the 13 colonies were navigating their own path to sovereignty from Britain. This led me to some choices as follows. In terms of a historical content, I find 01 , particularly, the richest. It conveys Polly Cooper; it conveys the place of Oneidas at Valley Forge. I do -- and has, sort of, strong emotion and heft. I do think it is, in part, maybe too busy for a coin, and $I$ have some concerns about whether the design would be legible in its -- in its produced size.

I definitely liked 03, although I did have some concerns about the balance between Washington and Polly Cooper. In fact, I would -- if they were reversed in some ways, in size, I would find that much more compelling. And I also don't like the word, "aid." I actually -- whenever possible, I -whenever $I$ can encounter "Oneida Allies at Valley

Forge," I think that conveys national sovereignty for the Oneida in ways that "aid," sort of, may tap into older, kind of, understandings of Native peoples as helpers of the white actors.

In No. 6, I did appreciate the way that this conveys the message, but worry that the design was a bit cartoonish, and drew a little bit on iconography of eagles that may be more familiar from the American Southwest or Mexico, and would want to know a little bit more about whether that kind of eagle design resonates with Oneida communities.

And then, No. 7 -- I was not --
although $I$ liked the -- the individual drawing in the center, I didn't feel that it necessarily conveyed Valley Forge as a -- as a place and a moment, fully. And that they didn't have a good 250 th element to it, that maybe some of the other designs had. So thank you very much.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you, Chris.

Dennis, if you would, please.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

This is Dennis Tucker. Thank you to
our liaisons. We appreciate your help on this program and your advice and counsel.

I'm from Upstate New York, so the Oneida Nation is very important to me. It's something that we learned about in school when we were kids, and it's an ever-present part of our -- our growing up, and living in New York.

The -- so -- and thanks to the Mint, too, for a wonderful portfolio of designs here. We've got a lot to look at, and a lot to -- to weigh. If $I$-- I try to, kind of, boil down who liked what, when it comes to our -- the -- the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, the National Congress of American Indians, and the Oneida Nation all expressed interest in design 1. The Senate Committee, the Oneida Nation, and the Oneida Indian Nation all expressed interest in design 3. So designs 1 and 3 were the two that $I$ focused on most.

And my question as I looked at these designs, kind of, boiled down to which one best satisfies the intent of this $\$ 1$ Coin program, which is
to honor Native Americans, and celebrate their -their contributions to the United States.

And I think that design 1 does. In design 3, Chris, I'm going to mirror some of your comments. I think we -- we were, you know, we were thinking along the same lines.

George Washington is the main actor here. He's dramatically holding an ear of corn, he's clutching his cape, he commands our attention. What he's looking at, we look at. And his focus is emphasized further by the explosion light behind the ear of corn.

> Polly Cooper is smaller. She is physically lower in our visual plane. She's -- she's not even in Washington's point of focus, so how could she really be ours with this design? This coin, to me, is about the United States.

Contrast that with design 1, George Washington is still there, but he's much smaller. And note the postures of the figures in the center. Chief Shenandoah stands straight and tall. Washington's horse bows its head before Shenandoah. And Washington
himself bends forward in the act of receiving help, almost as if he's bowing.

Now, look at the entirety of the coin design. Polly Cooper is the main actor. She's productive, she's in motion, she commands our attention. She forms a sheltering arch over the figures in the center, a protective figure herself. This coin is about the Oneidas.

And I also like the way this design really embodies the harsh winter struggle of Valley Forge. This is what we think of when we -- when we are taught about Valley Forge, and -- and that harsh winter. We think of snow; we think of cold. And here, we have snow that's piling up on the $\$ 1$ denomination, which is wonderfully creative. And we actually see snow falling. We don't see that in a lot of the other designs, which could have happened at any time of year.

So to me, No. 1 is really the strongest in this portfolio, and it will have my creative support. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis, thank you very

much for your comments.
Annelisa, if you would, please.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you. Paging Murray Hill, 4512. Can anyone hear me?

Hey, paging Murray Hill. Can everyone
hear me?

THE CHAIRMAN: There we go.

MS. PURDIE: Wonderful.
Thank you very much. This is Annelisa

Purdie. I would also like to extend thanks to our liaisons and the designers.

One thing that was striking about all of the designs for this coin is the role of food or sustenance in maintaining life and survival. And I think that, to a degree, all of the designs which were submitted here bring that message across in various ways.

I think that design 1 does hit all of the necessary historical points. It emphasizes the climate, it emphasizes the action, the role of preparing sustenance properly in order to maintain life for others. And I think that to viewers, it
gives the message of the story. It's something that those who are familiar Valley Forge would understand, but it's also something that would inspire others to research more about it, which works well.

My preference is for the designs that veer towards 5 and 7, for the sheer fact that the emphasis is on Polly Cooper herself. And while it is important to emphasize that her works helped the soldiers to survive, it was that knowledge of her people, of the preparation of this food, of this sustenance that was key to that survival.

And $I$ think that the designs for both of these coins -- for me, 7 more so than 5 -- really work to convey that. We still get the important historical cues about when and where this takes place, but it also shows the amount of labor and effort that went into, not just the preparation of this food, but the transport of this food, and the consideration of other things in order to provide that sustenance to others.

5 works well in this sense too, but I found that there was a lot of activity going on in
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this coin, particularly with the tree branches. I understand the emphasis on the harsh winters, but it does detract from the overall design. I also wasn't enthused about the fact that she's looking down. It would've made a bigger impact to see her face upwards.

But overall, I think that both of these designs emphasize her, and the role that she played, and the fact that her descendants are still honoring her today, so that that had impact to where we are now. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, Annelisa.

John Saunders, if you would, please.
MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you.
John Saunders here.
This coin has special meaning to me. One, because it's celebrating Indians' role in American society, which $I$ think was sadly uncelebrated for many, many years. And secondly, I was honored to be invited to the U.S. Mint in the 1900 to participate in the striking of the first ones. And I actually got the strike of a Sacagawea dollar at the Mint, and they
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let me buy it for a dollar, which was very nice.
Anyway, in terms of designs,
artistically, $I$ like design 1 a lot, but $I$ think it's got too much on it. In a coin the size of a Sacagawea dollar, I don't think it works. I -- I would have no idea that that's George Washington in the background, so it doesn't tell the kind of story that's at Valley Forge, to me. Maybe if there was a series of coins, and this was on the silver dollar, I would like the design. This is an attractive design, it just doesn't tell the story to me, as far as I'm concerned.

Design 2 is nice, but you know, I think there's better. I like design 3 best. Two comments. One, I agree that if Washington could be a little bit lower, or not quite as prominent. And Polly Cooper could be a little bit larger; it'd be great. But even the way it is, $I$ think it's fine.

The other thing that I didn't like
about this, is when I first looked at it, and I -- I put a lot of importance on what you see when you first glance at something because a lot of the public is not going to look at things in -- in detail, like us coin
collectors and coin nerds do. I thought that Washington was holding a falcon or a bird on his hand, rather than an ear of corn. Just the way it -- the top of the -- whatever you call it. The straw at the end of the corn. At first, it looked like a -- a head of a bird. But $I$ still like the design, those two comments even so.

Design 4, I think, also tells the story, but it's kind of a dorky expression on George Washington's face there; right? I didn't -- I didn't find it, overall attractive.

Design 5 is nice, but Polly looks so sad. She's bending over, and looks like she's almost in tears. You know, if you had that design with her facing forward with a, you know, a reasonable expression, $I$ would say it's great. But as it is, I wouldn't be all for it.

6, I didn't like the facial
expressions. I didn't know how to describe it. I think Dennis -- or Chris described it right when he called it somewhat cartoonish. But the -- the lips, the eyebrows, the nose -- just, it didn't look right
to me.

7, I liked a lot. It was my second choice. 8, I guess, you guys are going to think I'm the one that's worried about facial expressions, but I thought the facial expression was kind of strange. 11 is okay, but it -- 11A and B are okay, but it -- I think there's better designs for telling the story.

And I did not like the last one, the --
the 1-12. It almost looked like a snake in the corner, rather than the braid holding it together. Again, if you look at it for -- quickly, before you study it. So I am in favor of probably No. 3, with a second choice of No. 7.

THE CHAIRMAN: John, thank you very much for your comments.

And I would also invite you if you'd like, to correct your comment earlier about being at the Mint in 2000, rather than 1900 , for the Sacagawea Dollar.

MR. WEINMAN: I believe the event actually was 1999.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 1999.

All right. Thank you very much. Dr. Harcourt Fuller, if you would,
please.
DR. FULLER: Thank you very much. This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. Thanks to all the liaisons.

Let me go to 1 first, if I may? Thank you. I agree that this, from a historical standpoint, that this has a lot of information. I wouldn't recognize that that's George Washington in the background. I also -- I would agree, I think, with John, that it is a little bit too busy even though it conveys a lot of information.

And let's go to 3, please. I would agree to switch perspective and the position of -- of George Washington and Polly Cooper, because I do think that the focus is more on Washington, and he's not necessarily -- he's not even looking at Polly Cooper. So I really think that -- I agree that their positions and perspectives should be modified.

Can we pull out to all of the designs on one page, please? That's why I like 6. I just -but before you go to 6, if you're looking at all of
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the designs on one page, the one that pops is 6. Even though, you know, I acknowledge Christopher's comment that it looks cartoonish, nonetheless, $I$ just think that this shows a lot of agency. You know, the symmetry between the eagle, and Polly Cooper. It just -- it's a very dignified coin, and it's a coin that speaks of strength and purpose. And that's why I think it's the best design that highlights her role, and the role of the -- of you know, of Native Americans in U.S. History. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Thank you very much.

Chris Capozzola had one brief comment,
if he --

DR. CAPOZZOLA: If I could.
Hi, this is Chris Capozzola. Just a brief comment on my earlier comment. To emphasize that cartooning is an important tradition, part of American traditions. And when I say something is cartoonish, it's not a critique, but it -- I was flagging an aesthetic choice.

And personally, $I$ don't think it's an
aesthetic choice proper for this coin. But $I$ know want our cartoon artists, many of them that contribute that likely tune in, to feel that I have spoken negatively of their work.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
Mike Moran, if you would, please.
MR. MORAN: Let's hope I don't get
feedback this time.
THE CHAIRMAN: Sounds good.

MR. MORAN: Are you there?
THE CHAIRMAN: We're here.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We are.

MS. WARREN: We can't hear you; we're muted.

MR. MORAN: Shoot.
MS. WARREN: We can -- go on.
MR. MORAN: Can you hear me now?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
MR. MORAN: Probably too well.

MS. WARREN: Yes, we can. We have to mute the room so there's no feedback. So go on.

MR. MORAN: Good. I don't have it here
either.

I agree with everybody else that No. 1
is way too busy. No. 2, again, tries to do too much in the foreground, and it's too busy. 3, I don't like the positioning of George Washington. It gets even worse in 4, and the face in 4 just comes straight of the $\$ 1$ bill as an older image of Washington, not current with Valley Forge.

5, the tree adds nothing, and needs to go. But again, the face, I guess she's referential towards the corn. I don't get; I get lost on the coin design.

6, I'm always frustrated when I see two design elements against each other, competing. And that being the eagle and Polly Cooper's face. There needs to be some negative space in there, otherwise, the design's going to run together. Although, I expect Joe can smooth the skin out, versus the feathers, and it won't be as bad. But there're better ones there.

That gets us to 7; simple, but good. And again, it's -- it's the correct use of negative
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space. It conveys the story of the blankets and the corn. You don't need the snow, which looks like dirt clods in No. 1. You've got it right there with Valley Forge down below in the inscriptions. You don't need to overkill it with snow on her hair, and everywhere else. So that is my choice.

And I did like the -- the -- of corn, but $I$ don't think they tell enough, and they certainly do not give the -- the full story of the Oneida contribution of Valley Forge. So I won't be giving them any votes. That's it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mike.

Donald, if you would.
MR. SCARINCI: So you know, one of the great things about this process and the Committee is I think most of come in, you know, we spend time with this before we come in, and then we have our thoughts, and we persuade each other about, you know, we see things that some of us, you know, don't see. And that's the -- very much the case today.

I really came in, you know, very positively about No. 3, you know. But I think the
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commentary from people, including Dennis, is right on point that, you know, that for this coin, for this series, the focus doesn't -- shouldn't really be about the corn, or the General, you know, because this is a Native American Indian series; right?

So however, that being said, we have other -- we have some cool things happening in 2026, and I think maybe we could see this coin, maybe in a larger format down the road. This doesn't have to be the last time we see design No. 3. It's a great design, just not really, I think, for this series, for all of the comments that have been stated so far.

I'll also debunk No. 1, which I did debunk coming in here, simply because these figures, they're smaller than a mosquito. You're just not going to see it, you know. And on your palette in front of you, you can see the size of the palette, and the coin they selected to illustrate. You know, it bothered me at the time we -- we discussed it.

You know, it was these little -- these little men, and these little workers. You can't see them. And -- and in hand, you just really need a
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loop. And you -- you know, it just almost looks like it's a -- it's a blemish on the coin, you know. On the -- you know, from -- with using the naked eye. So I think -- I think No. 6 -- I think No. 6 is potentially a poor attempt. It's not really a -- you know, I wouldn't use the word cartoon for it. It is a -- it is a style. And it is -- we're going to see, I suspect, based on what we're seeing in the portfolio today, and knowing where Joe Menna is -- is leading us, you know, we're going to see more things like this. And more things, even, you know, even more in -- even more in the mainstream of -- of a genre like this. So very exciting, very -- a lot -- lot more to be said about this later, I think tomorrow.

However, you know, when you really look at the whole portfolio, $I$ think No. 7 is the clear choice. You know, it really -- it really -- and I didn't come in with that. I came in with that as 1. I was really thinking about the Washington piece, the No. 3. But No. 7 is exactly what -- is exactly the right -- it's the right size.

It's, as Mike said -- and Mike said it,
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you know, better than me. I mean, you've got the negative space, you've got -- you've got the focus on the image, not only of the -- of the, you know, of the Native American Indian, who by the way, were very important at Valley Forge for providing food. Which, you know, I learned about that as I was researching my book about David Brearley, who -- who prosecuted deserters for General Washington at Valley Forge. And he -- and the descriptions of what was going on there, and the hunger, and the desertion, and everything, and the cold.
So -- so, what's -- this design is
perfect for the series. It's simple, it's direct, it's -- it ties us into Valley Forge, which is great for 2026, and the other coins that are going to be coming out then. And it's clean; you can see it in the hand without the assistance of a loop. So -- and it's -- and it's very consistent with the other coins in the Native American $\$ 1$ series, which you know how $I$ feel about that. It's very important to me as a collector that when we produce these coins, we are producing coins in a series.
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This coin does not circulate; right?
This is one of the many coins that does not circulate. It is collected. So you know, looking at the -- the coin, in the nature of the series, $I$ think, is very important.

Sorry for going a touch over, but I, you know, that's the problem with going last. I try to, you know, I've heard a lot that changed my -- that changed my view coming into this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Not quite last -- not quite last.

Kellen, if you would.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen
Hoard.

I'm pretty blown away, 'cause Chris said everything $I$ was going to say, word for word. Like, I've never had that happen before; on the same designs, on everything. And then, Dennis, also pretty much -- oh, my God. I don't even know what to say. So I don't have much more to say.

I like 1. I think 1 is the way that we should go. I really don't think it's as much of an
issue. I think it's a compelling design that contextualizes the work that they were doing quite well. As a -- you know, as a young person who actually gives out a lot of these coins to young people, they like having the context and the story and understanding that, kind of, clearly. I think 1 does that best.
And it does it creatively. I also, kind of, like the snow design element. Well, I'll tell you -- I don't know if you guys are familiar with, Cloudy with a Chance of Meatballs, the kids movie, but that was my concern with No. 2 , was that a lot of the young people would interpret it as the giant food from Cloudy with a Chance of Meatballs.
3, same comments as everybody else, with the power dynamic being totally wrong. 4 isn't a compelling design to me. I liked 5, but again, I felt she was -- looked too sad. On 6, I just feel like it doesn't capture what we're trying to capture. I don't find that the -- the eagle motif is related to Valley Forge, or -- or their role at Valley Forge, so I'm -I shy away from that one.

7, you convinced me a little bit on 7.
I like that one more after hearing from Mike and Donald, but I still feel it doesn't have enough context of where they are. There have been many indigenous contributions to a number of different things throughout American history that this could be, design-wise, to me.

And then, for 11, I just don't feel it's serves the people enough. So end up back at 1, as kind of, the way we should go. But Chris and Dennis stole all my thunder, so --

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, well.
MR. SCARINCI: Can I just make one -just one very brief comment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very brief.
MR SCARINCI: I just have to -- I just have to say something about Kellen. You know -- so for, you know, for John, and -- and me, probably, that -- that design that it referred to, we would call that something out of the Land of the Giants, which was a series when I was a kid.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Art, if you would.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein.

Everything I was going to say has already been said, so I'll simply indicate that No. 7 is my primary choice.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
Yes, and I will repeat what I've heard
from the last few commenters, where pretty much everything has already been said.

I don't really have that much of a problem with 1. I -- I do see this as a very interesting narrative. It -- it also is the common denominator, it seems, for most of the liaisons and represents.

THE REPORTER: You want to turn it off?
THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I'll turn that off.

I find the aesthetics of No. 6, actually, really quite interesting and compelling. I find that to be a very strong design, and was immediately drawn to it. I also do see the elegance and simplicity in No. 7, as a possibility, and do
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think that the emphasis on this coin really should be on Polly Cooper herself, and certainly not on George Washington, or necessarily the -- the Continental Army .

That's all I've got to say. There are a couple of questions that were raised in the discussions. And I would like to ask Joe Menna about No. 1, particularly, because there -- there does seem to be some concern that this design is a little too busy, and that some of the design elements might not be as visible.

So, Joe, would you like to address that?

MR. MENNA: Yes, this is Joe Menna.
I -- I have to confess an aesthetic bias. I -- I think this is, well, a miracle of a design, frankly. And I'm not lobbying for it, I'm just saying $I$ think the busyness of it, basically, Polly Cooper is framing the narrative with her body. She's protecting them from the snow. She's providing for them. If the bottom text were to be reduced, you could scale Washington up.

Peter, you just made a comment for No. 7, that it -- it put focus on Polly Cooper. Here, a lot of the comments seem to be that there's only George Washington. I think aesthetically, you could find a balance here. And $I$ only offer that in that other design suggestion changes are being made for other coins. But $I$ do believe there are others. I'm deferring -- I would defer to whatever -- I'm not trying to lobby. I'm just saying for me, I think this would -- would be unique in American coin history, in terms of the aesthetics, frankly.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Joe.

MR. MENNA: And for number -- and for the other one, the one with George and -- and Polly Cooper standing, the scale questions there. Is it cool if I offer something here?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.

MS. WARREN: Yes.
MR. MENNA: So if there was -- if there seems to be an absence of parity, my -- my intuition's
telling me that the designer was -- was thinking about the literal scale. George Washington is probably the tallest president we've ever had. He was -- he was a giant of a man in every regard. If -- if we were to raise Polly -- if the designer were to elevate Polly Cooper, make her, perhaps, bigger.

She's in the foreground; you can both enlarge her and raise her at the same time. You could lower the President a little bit, keep -- all the -not remove any major design elements. So if parity -if this -- if there was an absence of -- if this seemed to be subservient or absence of parity, that could be remedied, just by -- by simply moving things around a little -- shuffling things very -- in a very minor way, but to very great effect. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Joe. Thank you very much.

There was also a question raised about the eagle in No. 6, and whether or not this is appropriate. And I would like to invite each of our liaisons to comment on that, and offer any additional comments that they might have at this time as well.

I'd be happy to start with Ms.
Desiderio, here in the room with us.
MS. DESIDERIO: Thank you so much. And this has really been amazing to see. And I love all the detail and the thought that's gone into everything. And it's -- it's been a really great experience. And on behalf, again, of Onedia Indian Nation, thank you for the inclusion in the process. The Nation does look forward to working with you guys, not only on the design, but on telling the story. It's a really important story. You know, it's one of the first stories. So we really are very appreciative of the -- the consultation that's occurring.

I will say on No. 6, one of the things that struck me about it when $I$ first saw it -- and I appreciate and enjoyed the conversation about changing how, you know, not cartoonish, right, but changing how depictions are occurring. But as, I think Native Americans have often been caricatured, right, and with no disrespect at all to the artist; it's an amazing drawing, but it reminded me a little bit more of a caricature, where the others were more, I think
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reality-based as far as Polly Cooper's, you know, expressions, and how that was portrayed.

I -- I do agree with the comments on
the scale on the George Washington design. That was the preference of the Nation, just because of the Nation-to-Nation relationship. But that can also be found on No. 1 as well. And I did really appreciate the comment about changing it from "aid" to "allies." I thought that was a really great recommendation. So I -- I think, you know, we came in, you know, I think 3 -- I think 3 and 1 would portray the Nation-to-Nation relationship best. And you know, I think you all will make a very, very good decision. And I think, you know, based on the thought that went into it, you know, you can almost visualize the -- the coin now.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
Ms. Cornelius, would you like to make a comment?

MS. CORNELIUS: Thank you.
I appreciate all the comments that were made, and they gave me a different perspective of some
of the pictures -- the -- as the speakers were talking. Especially on No. 1, I understand where it looks too busy with the snowflakes and stuff, but I like how -- the interpretation of her being a protector.

No. 3, I understood the comment about George Washington becoming the forefront versus Polly Cooper. And the cartoonish -- when I looked at that one, first $I$ thought the same thing about her. But I don't know, maybe the more that $I$ looked at it, I -- I understood where that came from. But my preference would still be -- doubt, No. 7, because it portrays Polly Cooper. And it -- the space, and the simplicity.

And thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
Ms. Belmonte, would you like to make a comment?

MS. BELMONTE: Yes, thank you.
And just reiterating what Denise said, thank you so much for the opportunity to be here, and for all of your helpful comments, and these beautiful
designs.
As Denise and I said, at the top, it is
the Oneida Indian Nation of New York's preference for design 3, given the -- the story depicts of, you know the Oneidas being the first allies -- or America's first allies, and their role at Valley Forge in New York. And the fact that this is based on the monumental sculpture on display at the Smithsonian Institute -- the Smithsonian Institute's National Museum of the American Indian.

And all the designs are beautiful.
Again, I think No. 3 would be the Nation's preference.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much. Are there any further comments or questions from the Committee?

MS. WARREN: Joe Menna has his hand up.
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay.

Joe, if you would.
MR. MENNA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Joe Menna.

One thing that I neglected to say about
design 3, is in addition to the -- in addition to
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modifying the scales and the heights. As opposed to President Washington evaluating the corn in his hand as if he's evaluating Ms. Cooper, we put the corn in Ms. Cooper's hand, and she's presenting it to him.

That also changes the dynamic right there, and gives her the power. So we would make it visually impactful, but even symbolically, change the dynamic that way, if -- if changes are wanted, that is. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Joe, thank you very much.

Chris, I believe you had your hand raised.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is Chris Capozzola.

This is a question, $I$ think, for the liaisons. I have been learning a lot about Polly Cooper in the last few weeks. And one thing that remains very uncertain to me is how old she was at the time of Valley Forge. And maybe this is just not known. It certainly wasn't in any of the sources. I -- wonder if the oral traditions can shed any light
on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: I welcome any of our liaisons.

Ms. Desiderio?
MS. DESIDERIO: I do not have an
indication of how old she was at that time.
THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Cornelius, Ms.
Belmonte; do you have any idea?
I believe that is a no.

MS. CORNELIUS: I do not.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

Are there any other questions or
comments from the Committee?
All right, Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.
Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I just wanted to take a quick moment to emphasize that -- and maybe comfort some of our committee members who are worried about the busyness of design 1.

If you look at the amount of detail in,
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for example, the Jim Thorpe Native American Dollar in 2018, American Indians in Space in 2019, and the Ely Parker coin in 2022, where you can see every button on his uniform. The Mint's artistic staff, they're able to do amazing things with detail.

And as Joe said, you know, No. 1 can be modified to expand the scale of the figures in the background if that helped. So I -- I would just say don't -- don't be scared of the small canvas of the dollar coin. You can really pack in a lot of detail.

And, Donald, I think the fact that this is a coin that will go almost straight to collectors, you know, this is not something that people will be looking at in their pocket change and be confused by it. They will be seeking it out and studying it as a numismatic object. So I -- I think the small size is not an issue.

For No. 7, I would -- I would just point out that this is almost completely without context. She could be -- she could be doing anything here. She could be a housekeeper; she could be a cook.

It's obverse 1 that really puts her in the context of Valley Forge very dramatically. So for me, I think it's the strongest design choice. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for the opportunity.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis, thank you very much.

Is there any further discussion?
All right. Well, hearing none, the Committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2026 Native American \$1 Coin. Y'all should have your score sheets in front of you.

And, Mike, you should have yours electronically. And when you are done, please return them to CCAC counsel, Greg Weinman, who will tally the scores and present the results.

We shall take a five-minute break, and be back at quarter to 1:00.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We are back. It is 11:45, according to my time. And I'm handing it back to the Chair.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.

We are back.
Before I recognize Greg Weinman for the scores, I would like to ask Ms. Cornelius, who I believe $I$ cut off, for an answer to the question about the age of Polly Cooper at the time of Valley Forge.

Ms. Cornelius, if you would like to make your comment?

MS. CORNELIUS: Okay. She would've probably been in her late-60s.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Very good. Thank you very much.

All right. So I now recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of a possible 30 points, design No. 1 received 16. No. 2 received one. No. 3 received 13. No. 4 received five. No. 4A received five points. No. 5 received four points. No. 6 received nine points. No. 6A received six points. No. 7 received 22 points, making it the high vote getter. No. 8 received three points. No. 9 received two points. No. 11 received two
points. 11A received 2 points. And 12 received two points.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Greg.

Are there any motions?
John.
MR. SAUNDERS: I would move that we recommend the high vote getter, with the caveat that in design 2 -- or excuse me, design 3, when George Washington is redesigned, that that be considered also.

THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion is to recommend design 7, but in addition, to recommend design 3 if there are changes made to the design?

MR. SAUNDERS: That they consider -they consider that we be mindful of designs.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would recommend that we proceed on one design at a time. I think that that might be a little bit better, as a way to go forward. So would you like to recast that motion?

MR. SAUNDERS: I don't know how to do it, with -- with -- when deciding on -- I -- I
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think -- let's see what other people think about my suggestion, and then we'll figure out how to make it a proper motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right. Dennis, would you like to comment? MR. TUCKER: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This is Dennis Tucker. I'm -- I'm not comfortable, personally, recommending No. 7, although I understand that the Committee likes -- likes this particular design. I think it really puts too much weight on the text. The text in this design has to really tell the story here. It's -- it doesn't have the visual context that -- that No. 1 has or No. 3, both of which were strong -- were strong preferences or preferences of our liaisons and our Nations who advised us.

So I -- I would need some convincing on No. 7. I -- I just -- I don't -- I see the appeal of it from the numismatic aesthetics viewpoint, but $I$ don't see the symbolism, the strength of symbolism. I don't see the storytelling. I -- I think it's a -- a
weak design in those senses.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. This is
Harcourt Fuller.
Let's see. What do we do in a case like this where the majority has spoken and recommended a design that is different than the designs preferred by the liaisons?

I guess it's a question.
THE CHAIRMAN: The --

DR. FULLER: Especially -- I'm sorry -given what Dennis has just said. But the majority has spoken, so what do we do?

THE CHAIRMAN: My understanding is that No. 7 was the preference of, not necessarily the liaisons that we have with us today, but was a preference of some of the other organizations that have been involved in the process.

Is that correct, April?
MS. STAFFORD: So to try to bring in some clarity. So we have the Oneida Nation and the

Oneida Indian Nation that have weighed in. Design 3 was the single design identified by the Oneida Indian Nation. It was also one of several identified by the Oneida Nation, who also had designs 1, 6, and 7.

And of course, as you are all aware, we have three congressional committees that are that are -- we legislated to consult with. And so, those designs that the Oneida Nation and Oneida Indian Nation identified were amongst those preferred by those -- those committees. 7 and 3 were among those. THE CHAIRMAN: All right.

MS. WARREN: Peter?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MS. WARREN: Mike Moran has his hand raised.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Would you like to --
MR. WEINMAN: Yeah, let me make a
comment first on this -- this report. The --

MR. MORAN: May I?
THE REPORTER: Sure, go ahead.
THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry.

Mike, go ahead.
MR. MORAN: Can you hear me?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, we can.
MS. WARREN: We can hear you, yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MR. MORAN: It's time for another -- I move we approve No. 7.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like to make a comment before --

MR. WEINMAN: Can I make a comment
first?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Mike, before we proceed with that motion, Greg would like to make a comment.

MR. WEINMAN: -- disappointment that you mentioned. It's important that the scoring is a tool for you. And I want to give a little more clarity to the tool that was used. And it was a time when we used to print this out, and hand it out. And so, let me offer -- that $I$ think may offer some help in this decision.

For No. 7, there was only one member
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that didn't score it any points. And there were six of the members -- six of you gave it 3s. By contrast, for design No. 1, there were one, two, three four members that didn't score any points. And there were only three of the numbers that gave it 3.

So I think this -- it's more than just -- so there is a -- I should give you a little bit of clarity as to how the Committee scored it.

And --

MR. SAUNDERS: How did 3 come out?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, No. 3?
MR. WEINMAN: 3? There was one, two, three, four members gave it no points. And two members gave it $3 s$.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

So we have a motion from Mike Moran to approve, or to recommend reverse candidate design No. 7. Is there a second?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein would second that.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We have a
second from Art Bernstein.

All those in favor, or is there any further discussion or debate about this?

John.

MR. SAUNDERS: Kind of -- it's a question to the Mint, and to Greg, and to everybody. Would an alternative action where we recommend that the Mint give us back the slightly designs of 1 and 3 that Joe talked about, and then reconsider it at the next meeting, just between those three things?

Or for both the timing and practical ways, is that not a good choice, or not something that would be appropriate for us to do?

MS. STAFFORD: We can request that.

THE CHAIRMAN: April has suggested that this could be a possibility.

Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Why don't you go first?
THE CHAIRMAN: Or, Kellen.

MR. HOARD: My question, as part of the coverage of the motion. I'm wondering if the liaison is going to speak to whether they feel that 7 captures
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the full story that you're hoping to tell with this coin.

MS. DESIDERIO: I want to start by saying I respect your process and whatever decision you make. The Oneida Indian Nation is still honored they -- to tell the story.

So before $I$ answer that, if $I$ might comment. I -- I worked at Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, followed by National Congress of American Indians before, you know, representing Tribes in a -in a private capacity. What $I$ found unusual this time, as we do talk about the -- the weighing in of those committees and that organization is that there wasn't any outreach to the Oneida Indian Nation of New York.

When I was there, we made sure that we consulted with the tribes right away, and first got their input, right, before, kind of, sending the recommendation back to the Mint. And you know, I think if -- if they had done that, we probably all would have been a little bit more in sync on our recommendations.

I -- I think this is a beautiful coin.

I think for some of the reasons that you all have expertise in how a coin will present itself, I understand the aesthetics of -- of the coin. I -- I don't know if it tells the story. And I think emphasizing that it was the Oneida Indian Nation, and Chief Shenandoah, and their actions that led Polly Cooper; right? So I -- I'd like something that's a little bit more inclusive of, you know, as $I$ keep saying, the Nation-to-Nation; right?

And you know, I think that this is beautiful, but it doesn't tell the story of the ally; right? The ally that the Oneida Indian Nation was to the formation of the United States.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

Ms. Cornelius, would you like to comment on that as well?

MS. CORNELIUS: I agree with what the previous woman had said.

MS. STAFFORD: And, Mr. Chair, if I can just clarify for the process. The three congressional
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committees, they actually did not provide their preferences until they received the input from the Oneida Nation and Oneida Indian Nation. So they did have that prior to making their -- weighed in.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
Ms. Belmonte, would you like to make a comment?

MS. BELMONTE: Thank you.
Yes. And same, I -- I agree with what Denise just said.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much. We do have a --

Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: I -- I apologize. This is
Dennis Tucker. I've actually lost track of the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is to approve obverse -- or reverse design candidate No. 7, and it --

MR. TUCKER: Recommend it, yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, recommend that.
MR. TUCKER: Are we still in
discussion?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but --
MR. TUCKER: As part of the discussion on that, $I$ would say that $I$ like John's idea of having 1 and 3 come back with the modifications that Joe Menna spelled out because I think that those would strengthen our portfolio, and -- and focus it.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Well --
MR. TUCKER: And then, maybe we can consider 1, 3, and 7.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is though, to consider No. 7. So why don't we first vote on that? And then, go from there.

MR. TUCKER: My -- my question though, only as discussion hopefully steered you away from --

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MR. TUCKER: -- where we're going to.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right.

MS. STAFFORD: Mr. Chairman, sorry.
Just -- we're having just a quick conversation. The Mint staff would absolutely be able to support that
motion in -- in the pursuit of having the information in -- in front of you to -- to make a recommendation to revise those designs and bring them back for your review.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't take that
as a friendly amendment thought to this -- to this motion.

MR. TUCKER: No, I -- I was presenting
that only as a --
THE CHAIRMAN: As a possible --
MR. TUCKER: -- a way to discourage people from --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Supporting this motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supporting this motion. Right.

All right. Why don't we vote on the motion that is on the table?

All those in favor, say "aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed,
"nay."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Nay.
THE CHAIRMAN: The motion has failed. Are there any other further motions?

MR. SAUNDERS: I -- I would move -this is John Saunders. I -- I would move my original motion that $I$ didn't know how to -- to phrase properly at the time, to be exactly what Dennis just said. That we ask the Mint to revise design 1 and 2 , and that we have an abbreviated discussion, and just vote between the three of them at our next meeting, or do it by mail if the next meeting is too far away to narrow it to those three choices, and proceed forward.

THE CHAIRMAN: To make clear, do you mean obverse design 1 and 3, or obverse design 1 and 2?

MS. WARREN: Reverse.
THE CHAIRMAN: Or, I'm sorry. Reverse design 1 and 3 --

MR. SAUNDERS: 1 and 3. Sometimes I misspeak. I'm in the wrong century.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So my understanding is that the motion is to reconsider 1
and 3 as possibilities with further amendments or additions --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Modifications.
THE CHAIRMAN: -- at a -- at a future date.

Modifications at a -- at a future date;
is that correct?
MR. SAUNDERS: Along with 7, as well.
We -- we --
THE CHAIRMAN: Well, we've already voted on 7, so --

MR. SAUNDERS: Well, we voted not to do it, but I -- I think we looked at the revised 1, 3, and 7 as it is. And those were three choices to pick from next time.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Well --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The three highest vote getters. We can -- we can do that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is there a second to that motion?

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker. I would second that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Kellen, you had a comment?
MR. HOARD: Just a friendly amendment offer as part of those modifications to make sure age accuracy, as well, that was raised. 'Cause at least in one, she looks way younger. Is that friendly?

MR. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry?
MR. HOARD: As part of the
modifications for the Mint coming back, to make sure Polly's age appropriate.

MR. SAUNDERS: Right. Would be -- we talked about the size, and we talked about -- Joe -Joe described what the modifications were, being the -- the ear of corn in Polly's hand. And even though George is 6-foot plus, and she's not 6-foot plus, then there's some modification in terms of height and presentation.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So you accept that friendly amendment?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other
discussion?

All right. Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion, please signify by saying "aye." MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: No.

MR. MORAN: Me. Opposed; it's been voted on. It's done.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are you going to
take a --
THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I think we need to take a roll call, or a hand count. So all those in favor, please signify by raising your hand.

All those opposed?
MR. MORAN: Opposed.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. It is six to
four; the motion carries. All right. Thank you.
Any other further discussion?
All right.
MR. MORAN: Yes. The -- this is Mike.
We have just designed -- and in my opinion, we've set a bad precedence going forward. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Well, thank
you.
UNIDENTIFIED: Can you repeat that please?

MS. WARREN: Can you repeat it, Mike? And wait for a second, so $I$ can mute. And then, it won't have the feedback.

MR. MORAN: All right.

MS. WARREN: Go.
MR. MORAN: In my opinion, we just set a bad precedence by design by committee. And I'm sorry that we did this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mike.

MR. SCARINCI: Mike is right -- Mike is right. We voted; we did -- we did it the way we do every coin. And if we're going to start revisiting votes when a handful of people disagree with them, then we're just never going to -- the meetings are never going to end.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.

I have to disagree with that. I mean, we have always used the voting system as a tool rather than something that sets policy or -- or cements our
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recommendations to the Secretary. And we have asked for portfolios to be redesigned before.

DR. FULLER: Mr. Chair, I think this is a very -- this is Harcourt Fuller.

I think this is a very important moment for the Committee as well as for the public. What Mike said, I think -- and also Donald, I think needs to be addressed, because we want the public to be confident in our process that we are not subverting our process by voting and then change -- and then, over -- overriding that.

But I also want to say, on this occasion, I -- I feel strongly on this occasion -- and maybe I'm contradicting myself -- that we should listen to the liaisons. All three liaisons here today do not -- they would rather have a 1 or a 3 .

And so, I'm kind of -- I would like to -- I feel like on one hand, I want to honor how we voted, for the lack of a better term. But on the other hand, I can see that the liaisons, if I may say so, are not pleased. And I do not know how we resolve that.

Sorry I don't have a solution.
THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to thank
all of the Committee members for their comments on this. And I understand the displeasure with the -with -- with the way that this -- this was handled. But one of the concerns -- thank you.

But -- sorry. I'll just turn that off.
One of the concerns that $I$ do have with this portfolio particularly, is the complexity with the number of legislative committees, as well as the number of liaisons, and the rest that have weighed in on this particular portfolio. And I do think that in this case, especially the comments that we have heard from our liaisons today, especially, do have to be taken into consideration.

And -- and I do feel that, in this case especially, that there -- there has been movement in, perhaps, the right direction in that case. So I know that this was a difficult portfolio, one that $I$ in fact anticipated some difficulty with.

But with that said, if there is no further discussion --

John.

MR. SAUNDERS: I would kind of like to agree with Mike's point, even though it's the opposite of what he did.

I think it's -- I don't think this is a precedent. I think it's a special situation, and besides the -- they had two things. One was with the liaisons' point of view. The second thing was Joe Menna saying how he could modify these two designs, during our discussion points, that make this something out of the ordinary.

And $I$ certainly don't want to set a precedent of -- of it, but $I$ think, again, this is a special situation.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

All right. If all the discussion has concluded, let's hope, then $I$ would like to take a moment to express our appreciation again to the liaisons for attending today. Thank you, Linn Cornelius, Celia Belmonte, and Denise Desiderio for your participation.
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And we will now take a lunch break, and we will return at $2: 00$ p.m. to continue the rest of today's agenda. Thank you very much.

MS. WARREN: Okay. We are now in recess.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. It is 2:00 o'clock, and I'm going to hand it over to the CCAC Chair.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We are back from recess. The next item on the agenda is our review and discussion of the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Liberty 24-Karat Gold Coin and Silver Medal.

And before $I$ turn it over to April, and Roger Vasquez, and Russ Evans to present the portfolio, I do want to mention something that has been brought to my attention, which is that we do not necessarily have to recommend the same designs, obverse and reverse, for both the silver medal and the gold coin. We can, in fact, have two distinct designs for the coin and for the medal, which would be a break from tradition, but considering the size and
complexity of this portfolio, it is something that we might want to consider.

So I am happy now, to turn it over to the members of the ODM to present the portfolio.

April, if you would, please.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
The United States Mint launched the American Liberty 24-Karat High Relief Gold Coin and Silver Medal Program in 2015. The Secretary of the Treasury authorized the program based on the Mint's statutory authority to issue gold coins and silver medals. Designs for this biannual program feature modern depictions of liberty on the obverse, and an eagle, American eagle, on the reverse. For 2025, the Mint will issue a 1-ounce 24-karat gold coin, and a . 999 fine silver medal.

So we'll move through the obverse candidate designs first. Required inscriptions for the gold coin obverse designs are "Liberty," "In God We Trust," and "2025." The corresponding silver medal obverse will have "2025," with the optional inscription of "Liberty."

Designs are presented side by side in both their gold coin and silver medal versions. Because many of the obverse designs have corresponding reverse designs, the first number in the name indicates the artist. The second number in the design name, the last one you see, indicates its sequence in the portfolio.

As we move through the designs, I will only refer to the design number. Should any committee member want to see an obverse and reverse option together, side by side, please let us know, and we will accommodate that.

So moving through the obverses, we'll start with design $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11$, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 25A, 26, and 27.

Moving to the reverse candidate designs, all reverses depict an American eagle. Required inscriptions for the gold coin are, "The United States of America," "E Pluribus Unum," 1-ounce, .999 fine gold, and the denomination $\$ 100$.

The corresponding silver medal may
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optionally include the inscription, "United States of America." Again, designs are presented in both their gold coin and silver medal versions.

Reverse 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and finally 23. That concludes the candidate designs.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, April.

Are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or the designs for the 2025 American Liberty 24 -Karat Gold Coin and Silver Medal before we being our discussion?

Silence.

MR. SCARINCI: I --

THE CHAIRMAN: Donald, yes.
MR. SCARINCI: I heard of one --

THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, I'm sorry. Donald Scarinci.

This is bold, and new, and fascinating, and visionary. And I would really, before we all start talking --

If, Joe, if you wouldn't mind, kind of giving a preference for, you know, the big picture in -- in your sense. I know you have an artistic vision for, you know -- for, you know, 21 st century coinage, and you know, the new. And -- and I wondered if you'd be willing to share that with us, and to give us a little direction, you know, before we all start talking about this portfolio, you know, without that knowledge.

MR. MENNA: Well, you're giving me way too much credit, Donald. Thank you for the kindness. This is Joseph Menna. It was the grace that the Office of Design Management and -- and Greg Weinman, the founder of the AIP Program offered me in helping -- expanding outreach to artists from different disciplines than we normally have. And it's always had great artists, but we went out to artists in diff artistic communities.

And -- and it's the artists themselves that are driving this. No -- I -- I cannot take any credit for anything that is special about this portfolio other than helping the process with
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procurement, with legal, with office design management to reach out to street artists, to reach out to lowbrow pop surrealist artists, to reach out to comic book artists, to reach out to tattoo artists.

So you have a whole different group of artists, including our traditional artists, who are all awesome as well. It's the biggest range of artistic talent, $I$ think the Mint has ever had. And -- and it reveals itself in this portfolio. That's all $I$ would say.

No credit to me though, Donald.
Seriously.
MR. SCARINCI: Very modest.
THE CHAIRMAN: Joe, thank you very much for that insight into the range of artists that have put together this very large portfolio.

Do we have any other questions or comments before we begin?

All right. Before we begin our consideration, I do want to acknowledge the fact that this is a very, very large portfolio, with 27 obverses and 23 reverses to consider. We will be here all day
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and night if each of us takes the required time, I would think, to go over all of the designs. So $I$ will ask each of you, please, to try to keep to the five minutes, and to try to highlight those designs that you think work best, and offer any other comments that you might think are appropriate for this rather broad range of material.

That said, Donald, let's begin with you.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, it's joyous. So -okay. Where do I even start?

I guess, you know, we -- we need to, for this series, and this is -- and this is our series; right? This is the American -- you know, this is the American Liberty Gold. And you know, and I think what we are -- what we -- let's go back to the beginning.

What we've tried to do, what we've tried to say is we want to challenge the artists to come up with designs of liberty that are relevant to -- especially to -- to younger people today. And you know, the old concepts of the fasces and the, you
know, the shield, those Civil War symbols. You know, we've done the Statue of Liberty, we've done -- we've done all these things. And we've done them, and done them, and done them to the point where, you know, A, it's saturated, and B, they've lost a lot of their emotional impact. They never lose their meaning, but they've lost their emotional impact to -- to a contemporary audience.

So the concept of this program is to be bold and creative, and come up with liberty in new, modern ways that we, as, you know, non-artists can't really conceive; right? It requires the artists in the pool, which is -- you know, Joe is very modest. I mean, because it's a team of people, you know, because he is a great artist. And -- and so he, obviously, you know, he's looked around and found, you know, artists that could really give us the type of thing we're looking for.

And I think Joe is -- I think Joe is really listening to us when we -- you know, I think we're all rowing this boat in the same direction that we want to come up with new ways to give meaning.

So my first point is -- is that, you know, it has to be liberty in a new and modern way. And so, my -- my first overall, you know, commentary of the portfolio is those designs that are still using the torch, you know, the bell, you know, or -- or are taking the Statue of Liberty and doing something else with it, which is kind of interesting in some of these -- in some of these designs, I think we're -- I think we're hitting the mark with new and different here.

And one way we might be able to do this is what if we were to use the silver medal to do something that's more earthshaking to the American people; right? You know, as opposed to a 2023 \$100 gold coin; right? And so -- so because it's a gold, high-relief series. So that's expensive, you know, it may not sell, you know, and then, the marketing department's going to get all upset because it doesn't sell; right?

So I'm not sure, but maybe we do a -one design that's in the series, consistent in the series. Plus, you all -- you all are aware -- if
you're not aware, you should be aware, the American Liberty Gold Coin Design is an award-winning series. Virtually, $I$ think -- $I$ think the majority of the coins we've issued in that series have won international awards; right? And they're very highly regarded.

So you know, so I'm wondering if we choose a silver coin, and -- and then, also choose a gold coin. Maybe the two of them -- a silver medal. And the medal could be, like, you know, like, a design like -- like 06. You know, I'm attracted to 06. I'm also attracted to 05. I'm attracted to a lot of these coins.

So -- but -- but, you know, and then, maybe the gold coin could be something a little more consistent with what we've done up to now, which is maybe something like 24. You know, you've got the -you know, the carving -- carving the stone thing, you know, art -- art being made out -- sculpting the art out of stone. You know, I think that is Michelangelo or Leonardo who did -- who said that.

And then, on the reverse of that, you
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know, we find something -- you know, we find something in the reverse side to go with that. Possibly, you know, a little consistent, you know, with the obverse. And I would assume it's done by the same artist, which would be -- which would be one of the eagles, one of the more traditional-type eagles for the reverse of that. Unless the artist who did the obverse -- unless we talk to the artist who did the obverse -- can maybe --

Mike, I know you're cringing over
there. I can see you cringing.
So -- and then, for the silver one --

MR. MORAN: Well, Don, I was waiting
for you --
MR. SCARINCI: Are you cringing?
And then, for the silver one, you know, we -- we, you know, we go for something like 06, you know, with that cool -- you know, with that cool reverse with the lettering, the "United States of America" lettering. No. 23; something like that.

You know, I mean, I'm just throwing it out there as -- as, you know, as ideas. There's a lot
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here to talk about. I don't even know how to approach it; right? So let me -- let me give it to somebody else for now, and we'll come back.

THE CHAIRMAN: We'll come back. Yeah. All right, Donald. Thank you very much.

Dennis, if you would.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
And thanks, Donald. I think you've really encapsulated things for us. And I -- I like that idea of having two different styles to approach this; one for the gold, one for the silver medal.

I'll talk a bit about the different artists. I like the comic book style designs, those of artist 3. They're different, and it would bring a new look to American numismatics. That style has never been done before on U.S. coins, and it would be an interesting clash of media.

I love the fresh, urban energy of artist 2. And we've seen some of this graffiti style in recent motifs in lettering. For example, the portfolio for 2024 Olympic Games Handover Medal.

In my opinion, this style needs to be
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on a U.S. coin or a National Medal at some point. My hesitation here with artist 2 , design 2 , is this. The -- and Donald talked a bit about this. The style is fresh, but the Statue of Liberty theme is tired. So I'm -- I'm torn because the art is very strong. Does the overly familiar subject matter weaken the strong art, or is that actually the perfect marriage of old and new? That's a good question for me; I don't know the answer.

Artist 5, I think, is onto something with the dandelions carried on the wind, and flourishing under stress. The art here is compelling, and the use of the medium is perfect, telling a story across two sides of the coin or the medal.

Artist 6 has the start of an excellent coin with the sunflower and the bee. I'd like to see that paired with a reverse that does the art justice, and takes it home the way artist 5 does with the dandelions.

Artist 6's swirling eagle reverse, which is reverse 12, is amazing. And it -- it reminds me of an eastern, or Asian dragon. It's very active,
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and $I$ want to see that on a medal or coin at some point.

Artist 8. My remarks to artist 8 would be, "Keep at this." I like your use of textures, and patterns, and motion in your obverses.

MS. STAFFORD: Can we -- I'm sorry.
May I ask, is it appropriate if we concentrate on one design at a time? Would that -okay, so can we take away the doubles?

And can we decide on a convention, just for the discussion, whether we refer to the design number or the artist number? Only because I think it is -- can be confusing. I know when I read through them, I used the design number, but --

MR. TUCKER: Oh.
MS. STAFFORD: -- we're also
fine -- we're also fine to use artist number. I just want to make sure that as we're flipping, we're matching. So is there a preference?

MR. TUCKER: Well, I -- I -- as I went through the designs and made my notes, I was thinking of them in terms of the artist. So I'm --
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MS. STAFFORD: The -- okay. So just for everyone's reference, the artist is the first number you see in the design.

MR. TUCKER: Yeah.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay, thank you.
MR. TUCKER: So artist 8 is the one with Lady Liberty, whose robe has evolved into old, abstract patterns -- there we are. I -- I like that use of textures, and patterns, and motion. I don't think that these are the strongest work for the numismatic canvas, but $I$ do want to see some more along these lines. And artist 8 was 15 and 16 for the obverse designs.

Artist 12, I think we've seen before. That would be designs $21,22,23$ for the obverse. Artist 12 has created some outstanding work that hearkens back to traditional numismatic motifs, like the French Sower, or Adolph Weinman's "Walking Liberty," but crafted with fresh eyes and a modern touch.

But I think we've seen these before -I think we've seen some -- some of this work in other
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portfolios. There's a -- there's old-fashioned symbolism, but it's given with new energy and life, not to mention books and kids. I'm a book publisher, and I have an 8-year-old daughter, Ava. So there's a lot in this that's very appealing to me.

Artist 12 is the creator of my favorite design in this portfolio. And maybe -- maybe I should modify that and say, for the gold. You know, if we're looking at these two different approaches. My personal recommendation for the 2025 program for the gold, would be obverse 25 , which is the Wild American Bison.

The style reminds me of Beth Zaiken's 2021 design for same American Liberty Program. Her American Mustang was an international award winner. It was featured on the cover of the Red Book.

And this American Bison has the same exciting energy and the same uniquely American symbolism. The American Bison is known to every coin collector as the animal on the Buffalo Nickel, of course, and no other country could use this design to symbolize liberty. This is as American as it gets.

Quickly, to summarize; I apologize for taking so long. Artist 12 's eagle reverses are exquisite.

And, April, I'll have you scroll to them. Reverse 19, to me, is the strongest. In American numismatics, there's a long tradition of combining olive branches with arrows to symbolize peace and military strength. But we've never seen them combined in this way with a nest built out of both. To me, this is outstanding.

The inclusion of an eaglet brings up all the wonderful symbolism of Miley Frost's Family of Eagles on the American Gold Eagle bullion coins, so there's another connection to tradition.

So in my opinion, for the gold, artist 12's obverse 25 and reverse 19 make a winning combination. For the silver, we can get the more experimental -- maybe something like the -- the graffiti-style, of artist 2's obverse 2. But that's a bigger discussion --

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Dennis, thank you very much.

John, if you would.
MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders here.

For the obverse design, Dennis just stole a lot of my thunder. I -- there was only one I really liked there. It's the 25C, artist 12. I don't think breaking the fence in the next one adds anything. I think it actually detracts. I mean, that was hands-on favorite.

I'll make a couple comments about some of the others real quick. I didn't like the expression; it looks very much too harsh for No. 1. I'm going to talk about design numbers here, rather than artist numbers.

No. 2, I liked the graffiti look. I didn't quite like Madam Liberty's jaw, but $I$ wouldn't mind seeing 2 on a silver dollar. I didn't -- it was separate.

3 and 4, I didn't get completely. I didn't like 5; it looked like an Egyptian pharaoh, or "pharaohess," holding the thing.

I like 6. 7 was okay. Both 6 and 7, the jaw of Liberty seems awfully wide. I liked 9. It
is a Liberty Bell, but $I$ still like it. I thought 10 and 11 were kind of cute. 12, sunflower; I'm not sure that's symbolizes liberty, but it's -- it was a cool design. I liked it.

I liked 13 okay. 14, I really liked the design. Again, I'm not sure it symbolizes liberty, having an -- an oak leaf there, with the acorn. But it -- I like the design.

The next two, I didn't particularly like. Going onto the next page, 17. It was okay. 18, I didn't really get. 19 is kind of -- kind of traditional, but $I$ kind of like 19 and 20. I don't know how it would come out in coin. And I liked 22. 24 was okay, but in my mind, 25 just wins. I didn't like the, kind of, taught, drawn face of 26 and 27 for Ms. Liberty.

In terms of reverses, if you were going with the obverse 2 , the -- the 23 , the eagle with the interesting letters looked nice. But again, going through some comments on -- I didn't really particularly like 1 or 2.3 and 4 look too much like German coins to me. 5, I don't particularly like.
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didn't like. 6, it looks like the eagle's going straight into the ground, like a plane that's crashing. I didn't like that at all.

7 or 8 are kind of Germanic again, but okay. 9 was kind of okay. 10, I liked. 12 is -once you see what it is, it's kind of neat. 13 was one of my favorites. It's kind of traditional. I think my favorite is 14 though. I like the soaring eagle. So I -- I think hugely, if we went with the buffalo, I would like the soaring eagle reverse of 14. 15's nice. 16's okay. I don't really get 17. 18 looks too much like Mexico. I mean, it's -- it's, you know, the Mexican eagle holding the snake there. The eagle -- so that was out for me. 19 was okay. I didn't get turned on as much as Dennis did.

20 was okay. 21, I like. 22, I think the eagle's head gets lost in its wings, so I didn't like that particularly. And 23 was okay, but my favorite was 14.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, John.

Dr. Harcourt Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. This is

Harcourt Fuller.

First of all, this is a really great portfolio. I do like the boldness, and I do like the inclusivity. I like the fact -- I like the diversity of the artist pool. I think it's really, really great. And $I$ think my colleagues have already said a lot of things that $I$ wanted to say, but let me just start with obverse 2 .

I think it's -- it's about time, and it's really great to see a graffiti lettering, if you will, you know, on -- on a coin. I -- I'm not a big fan of the rigidness of Liberty's face. It looks like a tin -- you know, like, a tin -- tin person type of design. But $I$-- that's probably my favorite on the obverse as far as the Liberty is concerned.

And then, I really liked 11. Yes, I think it's really cool from -- yeah, just -- I like -I mean, that -- is that a wave? Let me just double check that. I want to just -- yeah. Yeah, I -- I liked 11.

I really like 12. I do see Liberty in 12. You know, when $I$ look at a sunflower, it just opens up. It's -- it's freedom, it's inviting, and it's -- it's, you know, not how you would typically see Liberty, of course, depicted; so I really like that. Children also love sunflowers in my opinion, so it's always good.

And then, if we could go to -- I really like 24. It's almost as if Lady Liberty is sculpting herself out of, you know, the rock. And I think it's a really unique design. All right. And then, 25 -25C, and -- yeah. I -- I -- that's one of my favorite designs as well. It's very bold. Yeah.

On the reverses, let's go with 1. I want to see 1 again. I don't know. For some reason, this reminds of a fighter jet coming in guns blazing. But that's kind of what $I$ see when $I$ see that, so I kind of like that.

And then, if we go with 8; did you say
it looked Germanic?

MR. SAUNDERS: I think -- I think at
the two above, these -- these four look Germanic.
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DR. FULLER: Germanic, yeah. I do kind of like -- like those in a sense. And then, let me see. I'm going to wrap up real quickly.

The 13C is a winner for me. I -- I mean, $I$-- even though it's kind of classic, but $I$ just like the fact that you have this focused gaze. So I like that.

Now, I'll stop right there. Congratulations to the artists.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Dr. Fuller.

Kellen Hoard.

MR. HOARD: Well, thank you.
I really like this array of designs. Whatever the Mint was doing with selecting artists, they did it right. Keep doing it.

I was drawn, really, to 2. You know, I think that is, I really -- to answer Dennis's question about whether you can marry old and new, I think the answer's "yes" with the design. And the reason why I say that is over the last week, I've asked, generally, about 30 people under 25, like, "What does liberty
look like to you in a modern context?"
And a plurality said the Statue of Liberty was what came to mind for them, still, as what they envision liberty to look like and what it should look like furthermore. But at the same time, a lot of them thought, "The Statue of Liberty is what I think of, but is it still relevant today?" Is there -- does liberty represent -- transcend, kind of, modern American culture? And $I$ think this coin answers that question; yes.

In that graffiti is an American art from that has -- that transcends into modern culture, it still kind of captures some of those older values back in the 1880 s when this was sent over -- 1870s, I guess. So I really think this does marry those two, and I think it's a neat, eye-catching design that $I$ would like to see -- I'll vote for.
I also -- even though it's really -- I
didn't feel it was a modern -- I really like 1 as well, for the obverse. I don't think that one got enough -- it's a beautiful design; it has artistic merit. I'm not sure $I$ will vote for it 'cause I don't
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think it's necessarily modern in the way we're going for. But if you want, kind of, if we're trying to dissect the gold and silver, and you want, kind of, a more of a classical gold one, I think 1 should be considered.

I also wanted to raise 22 , which I think has already been touched on. 22; really -- I know we've seen this design before. I think it's been on -- but $I$ think this is the time and the place for it. We've seen other portfolios; it hadn't quite fit with those portfolios, but this one it absolutely does. And again, I'd like to see that on either the gold, or silver, or both.

So address a couple -- for 24 , I don't think we should do 24. At least for me, I just disagree with the premise. It's not even a design thing. Liberty is not self-perpetuation. People have to perpetuate it; she's not carving herself out. That's the work of Americans, and so, I think that just sends the wrong message.

And on the bison design, I forget the number on that one. That was 25 . I would also opt
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against the bison for two reasons. One, if we're talking about motif, that, $I$ feel has been done a lot. The bison is, $I$ think, one that has been, perhaps overdone, including on, you know, nickels, and of multiple kind.

And also, $I$ just feel like it's a lot of similarity to the 2021 coin with the bucking bronco. It feels like another iconic American animal. It's kind of bucking in place. We have so many other options, even though it's a neat design. We should take advantage of one that's more distinct.

And the reverse, 11 was one that stood out. So 11 was one that stood out. I just really liked the, kind of, the hyperrealism. I don't love the dandelion on the other side, but $I$ like, kind of, the swirling aesthetic here. I think it -- it's simple, but complex at the same time. So I would vote that one.

And then, 12 as well. I was looking at 12 in my dorm last night, and my roommate came by. And he says, "That's the most badass coin design I've ever seen in my life." And he's not a coin collector,
but he -- he's like, "I would buy that," basically. Especially if there's -- I mean, he's not going to pay for a gold, but he'll buy the silver. He was very excited about that.

He had never seen an eagle like that before. We have a lot of story eagles; we do a lot of eagles standing. What he's not seen, an eagle that way. He was so excited about that, that I have to raise that. And I will vote for that, 'cause I had never seen a person my age who didn't collect coins to be that excited about a coin design. And so, I think that's a bit -- somewhat indicative of the view of the public. That's it from me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen, thank you very much.

Dr. Christopher Capozzola, please.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. Thank you to the Committee, to the artists, to the people in the Committee who went before me for tackling this big job. There's a lot, and so I will try not to repeat too much. Also thanks to whoever it was who gave me the excuse to watch bison videos over the last couple
of weeks. In case you were wondering, yes, they do jump, and quite impressively. Watch that in your spare time.

All right. I'm going to be referring to design numbers, not to artist numbers. And so, for me, the one that actually -- I do actually like a great deal and that has stuck with me the longest, is 24. And you know, which several people have talked to both pro and con along the way. But I do like the, kind of, the way Liberty, as her own -- her own author. A modern depiction of a very classic technique. That is one that $I$ liked.

In a very different way, I also really
liked No. 2. And again, after bringing the -- this visual aesthetic and tradition into -- into our coinage, and in a way that is both modern and traditional at the same time.

I think that the -- I liked the design of 25 , the bison, but $I$ think $I$ share, actually, Kellen's concern that we -- the bucking bronco looks an awful lot like this, and that this may not necessarily add much to the series overall.

Just to flag a few other ones that I did like; 8 and 9. Both -- both have an energy, and a movement, and -- and an engagement with -- with the person holding it in their hand, I presume coming forward to, but with some very traditional elements.

And then, $I$ will say, just a little bit of something for No. 15, which is a very unusual design. I think this fits in my category of shoot for the moon, if you play hearts. That's where you try so much and try so hard that you -- maybe you win the whole thing, and -- and maybe you don't win at all. And $I$ don't know if this is a winner, but $I$ absolutely appreciate the effort, and $I$ love the thinking behind that one.

And onto the reverses. I think my
reverses may not necessarily match my obverses. But I thought 12, and 12A-C is just really, as others have said, truly remarkable, and something that -- that we need to -- to find a way to put on -- on our coins and medals. And I also thought that 8C, which I think has not been much discussed. Although, I think maybe one other person addressed this one. I really like the
way that this used the frame and used the, kind of, creative, visual aesthetic. And that that makes this eagle particularly fresh. And this one, less German than maybe some of the others that people have discussed.

And with that, I think I'll just cede the rest of my time for future conversation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Chris.

Annelisa Purdie.
MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Annelisa Purdie.

I also want to express my extreme admiration for these designs. Reading each of the designers' bios, and thinking about their process, I would love to sit in a room with each of them, and just pick their brains about how they came up with these. Because it's clear that liberty still means something to them, and it's shown in the way that they expressed their artwork.

I'm also going to err on the side of brevity, so I will simply go with the ones that stood
out for me the most, by design number. As a New Yorker, I love No. 2. Graffiti is a legitimate artform. Let that go on the record. And I love the way that Lady Liberty is reworked here to show -- to reach out to those who do have a perception of liberty that also matches with an art form that is important to them, that they love.

In terms of spacing, it possibly could use some work. Because of the graffiti style of lettering, it tends to be large, but $I$ think this would be a really effective way of reaching out to those who love both, and would like to see a representation of both.

Design 12 is amazing in terms of the detail. Very Isaac Watts; "How doth the little busy bee. Improve each shining hour." I love it. I love the way that it shows the patience of Liberty. It evokes that feeling of patience, and the process of working behind the scenes. I'm not sure that that would stand out immediately to the viewer at once, but this is definitely something that does evoke that feeling, and does it well.

25 is one of my favorites. I think this may be the first time that we do see a leaping bison on the coins. And one of the reasons why some may feel that this has been overdone, which is understandable, is because of the staid position of the bison, as we usually see them on coins. I love that he/she is in action, is reaching through, is in their natural habitat. And it's still something that's enduring that touches a lot of coin collectors. And I think that animal lovers as well, and those lovers of the old west would enjoy this two.

All-time favorite would have to be 22 .
Even before reading the description of the process behind making this design, I think it was conveyed excellently. I feel that it does an excellent job of not only drawing the viewer in, but reminding everyone about the importance of liberty between generations, and how it's often fought for in that way.

For 15, I also would put this into something that warrants -- warrants merit. I like the concept, as I understood the description of the concept of representing the turrets of Lady Liberty's
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dress. I'm not sure that it's executed that well on the coin. I think it's a perspective issue as well, because she's far back from the viewer. With tweaking, I don't know, but there's still something about this that caught my interest that has some potential there.

Okay. In terms of the reverse designs, 19 was the -- my top pick, my favorite. I love the idea of the eagle feeding her eaglet, and symbolically nurturing the next generation of liberty. And the detail works well. There's not too much crowding, which is always a concern of mine.

And my second favorite reverse is No.
17. I like that this is more motif-centric versus symbols-image-centric, save for the eagle in the middle. I think that the spacing of the letters works very well, and the fact that it's hearkening back to some of these older designs, coin designs, also works well too. Very Gilded Age while still being modern. And this is something that was enjoyable to see. Okay. And that will be all for me. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you,
Annelisa.

Mike Moran, if you would, please.
MR. MORAN: Okay. I'm here. Can you
hear me?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure can.
MR. MORAN: Can you hear me?
MS. WARREN: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MR. MORAN: Okay. Well, I listened to Kellen start it off, and I thought, "Oh, he and I are on different planets." I typically start off about how I like No. 2; I don't. It's not necessarily the graffiti, $I$ just don't like the design. We're going to get some closure here before we're done, Kellen.

Going through these, I also want to endorse what Donald said about silver versus gold in different designs. That caught me by surprise that we could do that. We certainly can, and it makes some sense. They're marketed to two different classes of coin collectors. And being a little bit more risque and avant-garde with -- in selection, like, No. 6 --
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and I'm going by numbers, April, not by the artists -with the dove, and -- and the stylized Liberty, that's not bad at all. But it's not going to get my vote on the on the -- coin.

I also like No. 8. There's really no excuse for that. I mean, it's -- it looks almost like a Disney character, but it -- I like the torch down there, and I like the fact that there's a glide motion there with the scarf, or the cloak, the wrap, and her hand drawn back, and one drawn forward. I would've liked for a more realistic face on that one. It won't get much in the way of votes from me.

My real favorite is the sunflowers.
And they're well modeled, but -- that's No. 12 -- but it's more than that. It's its symbolism behind that. It's the bee that pollinates, and then the seeds that go forth and sprout up, and -- and create more of -of the symbol of liberty. I don't think you can get any better than that. Sometimes, we ought to look to nature instead of our own human form for liberty. And I think in this case, it's probably my favorite of the portfolio on the obverse.

The second favorite, I'm always a sucker for this, is 24. Liberty is -- she -- it completes the thought that it is constantly changing. It's constantly reforming itself. I like the idea of chiseling out the image of liberty out of a block of granite, marble, what have you here, rock. And I think that's good.

I'm going to get on the side of the people that say too many buffalos. I don't care, the leaping, or flying, or what have you. We've done buffalos on the State quarter, we've done them on medals, we've done them on our nickel. And I'm -- I just won't go there.

On the reverses, I liked -- I get to it here -- 12. Not that these -- it's not, I would say, anatomically correct, but it's close enough. And I like the fact that the -- the head and shoulders of the eagle are wrapped in the -- of the feathers and the wing.

And -- yeah, 12. That's not my 12.
There -- one back. There we go.
I like the idea that the feathers are
there, and it -- it really makes a nice design and a -- circumference to -- and compliments the circumference of the coin. I don't need an eagle head. We've got the -- coin, we've done soaring eagles. I'm not into the traditional design. I'm not into the -- looks like the eagle with a snake, but it's really chains We've done eagles in nest. We did not --

And this is where Kellen and I are in agreement. It's my favorite of the portfolio. That's No. 23. I love it. It's a good design. The font's great, I like the way it -- it changes it's -- it's size. But I -- I think it incused around the -- it's going to look really great. It's going to get my vote.

There you have it, Peter.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mike, thank you very much.

Art, if you would, please.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein, and I will use the design numbers to give some comments about designs I liked, and a few that I
didn't like.
Design 1 on the obverse, $I$ thought was traditional and elegant, and will get some points from me.

Design No. 2, I was about to admit that I was a minority of one. I think I'm now a minority of two that doesn't like the graffiti. And I had my -- I got a pretty good scolding when we talked about this on the Paris Medal. I understand that graffiti is an artform, but I'm a member of this committee representing the general public, and $I$ think there are elements in the general public that see -still see graffiti as a criminal activity, that they see in terms of property damage, and I do not believe we should be celebrating graffiti. And I recognize that's a minority opinion.

Design 3, I thought -- I wasn't making connections between butterflies and liberty, and I thought those designs were a little too busy.

Design 5, to me, was eye-popping. And I mean that in a positive way. I -- I just found myself drawn to it. It was so unusual. Yes, it has a
certain Egyptian flavor to it, it has a certain art deco flavor to it, and it just -- just really caught my eye, and $I$ think it would be a fantastic design.

Continuing on, the design with the Liberty Bell on 09, to me, a very action-oriented coin. It just cried out, "let freedom ring," and I almost felt like the coin was making a sound.

Design 13; fairly simple, fairly
elegant. But to me, the torch was too closely similar to an ice cream cone. The next design, 14, with the oak leaf, I don't think anyone mentioned what was mentioned in the text, which was this design was also meant to mirror the Statue of Liberty with the outstretched arm, and the head being the acorn. And I thought that was very clever.

Design No. 18; I don't recall any
comments on this one. And to me, I thought it was a very traditional look, and for me, hearkened back to the Flowing Hair dollar from ancient times, and $I$ just thought it was a modern take on that and the -- tree.

I liked all three of the designs
featuring Liberty walking with a daughter, or with a
young woman, or without a young woman. I thought all three of those designs are worthy of our consideration. And that was it for the obverses. On the reverses, design reverse 1 and 2, both of those $I$ found -- just found them sort of scary. And I liked design liked 4, to me was very modern, and $I$ think would tie-in very well with that eye-popping Egyptian design that I liked.

Again, on the reverses, design 16. To me, this looked like the U.S. Postal Service symbol. And so, I did not support that one. And that concludes my comments. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Art. Thank you very much.

For my own comments, I'm going to refer by artist number. Beginning with artist No. 1, the design of obverse 1 and reverse 1, I felt compeling, obviously traditional, but we're seeing some things here that we've never seen before with Liberty. Namely, on the obverse, Liberty clutching a fist full of arrows, which my understanding of the symbolism would be much more marshal, than peaceful. I found
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that, you know, really rather interesting, and obviously pairs well with the aggressive eagle on the obverse.

I really find these designs interesting and compelling. I don't necessarily think that these should be the designs recommended by the Committee, but $I$ certainly will be giving some score to these. The artist No. 2 with the graffiti take on the Statue of Liberty; Statue of Liberty is something I know well, since $I$ pass by her twice a day on my way from Staten Island, where I live, to Manhattan, where $I$ work, and back home again. I still am drawn to her. And I do find myself, especially on evenings, sitting outside, when the weather permits, and really enjoying the view of the statue.

And I'm not alone, because I'm usually sharing that deck with hundreds of other people, tourists and others, who are crowding both the ferry, as well as the other ferries that take them to the island to see the statue. So the statue still clearly resonates with a lot of people in this country as a depiction of liberty.

And this take on the Statue of Liberty, I think, is in many ways, really quite appropriate. Not only for its setting in New York, where there is a lot of street art and graffiti, but also just for the very modern take on this depiction.

I was really drawn, as well, to the work of artists No. 5, 6, and 8. They three who turned to the natural world to find expressions of liberty.

No. -- artist No. 5, with the dandelion obverse and reverse, $I$ really found these to be lovely designs, as well as the design of the sunflower, by artist No. 6, and the oak, by artist No. 8. My concern with these three designs, however, is just the symbolism and expression of liberty not necessarily being as impactful as I might think they might be with some of the other representations. Again, I find these really compelling and lovely designs, but I'm just not sure if the concept of liberty is being expressed as well as it could be.

Artist No. 12, with the mom and daughter walking, is a design that $I$ came back to
again and again. I find that design -Yes, that one.

Really just, somehow, emotionally
engaging, and I really liked this design. And it is a depiction of Liberty as, essentially, an everyday woman walking with her daughter, which is a depiction which we really have never seen before. Liberty has always been allegorical, either with a fistful of oak branches, or olive branches, $r$ in this earlier case, a fistful of arrows. But here, this image, I really find touching.

And as Kellen noted, it is one that we've seen before in various other guises, but perhaps this is the time and the place, finally, for it. Artist No. 12's bison, I also found really quite compelling as well, but as others have expressed, perhaps we've seen a little bit too many bison, as well as too many -- or at least, a -- a reference, in some ways, to the earlier bronco design that we did a few years ago.

The corresponding reverses; again, the reverse by artist No. 6, the swirling wings, I really
quite love as well.
That one, yes.
I -- I think this is, just, dynamic, and something that we have never seen before. And I really do hope we can find a place for this reverse on one of the -- either the coin or the medal, this afternoon.

That's all I've got to say about all of that. Are there any other further comments or questions?

Harcourt. Yes, please.
DR. FULLER: Yes. Do I need a mic?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
DR. FULLER: Hi. This is Harcourt
Fuller. I think that when you open -- when you invite diverse designers, creators, we're going to get things that are not -- not traditional. And if that's the aim, right, where we are inviting tattoo artists, comic book artists, graffiti artists, street artists, I think that that means that -- I mean, a lot of these are things that, $I$ think, at one point or another, might have been considered -- I don't want to speak

## Page 194

out of turn, but criminal. Or what's the word -what's the word I'm looking for?

Delinquent -- no, not that. Well, yeah. That, disruptive, et cetera, et cetera. And so, I want to go back to the point of graffiti. A lot of things that started out as rebellious, or even criminal, or you know, I mean, you could look at rock and roll, you could look at whatever you think about hip-hop, you could look at the foundation of the United States, breaking away from, you know, Great Britain --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- criminal designs.

DR. FULLER: Right. Well, it's criminal, and now, has become normalized. So I don't think we can always look at -- there's a saying, "It's not where you start, it's where you end up." And so, I'm saying all that to say that $I$-- graffiti is a much celebrated artform when you go to Paris, you go to wherever. Again, like rock and roll, hip-hop. Again, whatever you -- we might think about these art forms, they are consumed and respected globally, so I
just want to make a case again, for No. 2 as a legitimate and respected artform that is deserving of being on a coin, if -- if that's the decision. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Harcourt, thank you very much.
John, if you would.

MR. SAUNDERS: I think before we rate these things, we have to make a decision whether we're going to rate a separate design to the gold and the silver, in the event that we could, but I don't think it's a bad idea. So I -- I would actually make a motion that we do that. And that we each, I think, for merit, if we vote for it either way, we do -- we create a new column beside there -- beside the other medal.

THE CHAIRMAN: What -- what I would recommend in this case, is that we score first, and then see what obverse and reverses come out of the scoring as top preferences. And then, we could have this discussion to try to place one or the other on either the gold or the silver. Would that be okay
with you?
MR. SAUNDERS: It's however you want to do it, is fine.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Did you --
MS. WARREN: Chairman, Joe Menna has
his hand up -- long time.
THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. Who?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Joe.
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Joe.
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, Joe. Yeah. Sorry, Joe. I can't see it behind that.

MR. MENNA: Mr. Chairman, this is Joseph Menna. I'm going to kind of give a little exposition in line with what Dr. Fuller said. Think about graffiti's origins in ancient Rome, or not origins, but most famous beginnings as a way for the disenfranchised to voice their -- their discontent.

And in the inner cities in the United States of America, yes, the sense with it is that it is criminal, but oftentimes it's the only means of expression or outlet that people who are profoundly
disenfranchised have. That's graffiti; that's tagging. What evolved from that is something called street art, which is different, and is not illegal.

It is practiced around the world, as Dr. Fuller said. But most of the kind of street art that is popular today, the biggest artists in the world who practice it, it's all commissioned. In fact, the CIA -- the CIA Building just commissioned the street artist named Tristan Eaton on the inside of the headquarters. I don't think anybody's supposed to know this, but he told me he's doing it.

Anyway, I -- I totally respect
everybody's; I'm not trying to invalidate anyone's opinion, but street art is distinct from graffiti. And what you're seeing here on No. 2 is street art. And I'm -- advocating for the coin, or any other coin that may present it, is being presented here legally and -- and not in a criminal way. But -- so sorry about that. Thanks.

THE CHAIRMAN: Not a problem. Thank you, Joe.

Kellen, I believe you had your hand
raised?

MR. HOARD: No, it was -- the same
thing as you, just --
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Donald.
MR. WEINMAN: Before Joe jumped in. I
think I agree. It'd be useful to us to score it. And then, maybe it would also be useful for us, possibly, to make recommendations both ways.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MR. WEINMAN: Both a view -- a design that could be on the gold and the silver, as well as designs that -- that could be on each coin. I think it -- it provides us the most information.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you for that clarity.

Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: So before we continue, I just want to tell you all how proud I am of you. I think, you know, I was very nervous coming in here today, you know, 'cause I didn't know what everybody was going to think, how you were going to feel about
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this, and I've been waiting for this for years. And -- and Joe, you got it; you nailed
it. And these are -- I think there's some artists here that $I$ definitely think we need to see more from them, particularly artist No. 2. You know, maybe -maybe we can do a -- you know, if it's a -- 'cause we don't -- we don't have to make decisions today; right? I mean, I don't know that we have to score these today.

## If we don't, if we could see more from

 No. 2, you know, maybe, you know, with an obverse and a reverse for a silver coin, and introduce the American people to this. You know, and -- and to -and to this art. And yeah, street art's a big thing. I mean, I actually take pictures of street art. I have a nice collection of pictures of street art. You know, it's -- it's from everywhere from Durham to New York. So it's just fun -- it's just fun. It's good, it speaks, it's emotive, it creates energy.I like the -- I like artists No. 2, No.
3, No. 5, No. 6, No. 8, and No. 12, is -- is, you know, is you know, beckoned to the traditional. And
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if I were to -- if -- if we were to go in a traditional way for the gold coin, I would strongly -I would strongly suggest, 'cause I'm hearing what everybody's saying about the buffalo. I don't know that a buffalo jumps; maybe it does.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: It does. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They jump. MR. SCARINCI: It does? I'm from New York, so I don't see buffalo, except in a zoo, and they're always laying down. And you know, but -- but I like -- I -- I really do like the combination of what artist 12 did, the combination of 24 C , as an obverse, which is America inventing, and reinventing, and making itself.

And then -- and then, a combination with -- with reverse -- reverse 19, which is -- which has this -- which has the eagle clasping a broken chain. You know, it's -- like, kind of, freedom. You know, it's like the eagle -- it's just America, you know -- you know, it's the freedom. And -- and so, I think the combination of those two would make a nice gold traditional-style medal. But having said that,
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and having been the one who said maybe we should, you know, go slow, my enthusiasm is getting to me, because No. 12C, obverse 12C by artist 6, paired with artist 6, No. 12A-C, I think makes a nice coin. That's the -- that's the design -- that -- I think it's nice. THE CHAIRMAN: I think that was the idea.

MR. SCARINCI: I think it's a nice coin. So I mean, I -- I kind of like that if people have the -- you know, if people are willing to go that far in the first round. You know, something like that would be very -- would be very compelling. So you know -- so listen, I just -- I just, you know, I just have to do, like, a victory dance here. This is great. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Court Reporter, can you put into the record that Donald actually stood up and danced?

Thank you very much.
Are there any other further comments, questions.

All right. Hearing none, the Committee
will now score the obverse --
MR. WEINMAN: Actually, before we score, we -- we have a comment.

Do it?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes -- yes. If it's okay.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, please -- please. MS. STAFFORD: It's just something to consider. I don't think it should impact the scoring, but many of you were part of, or are part of the Semiquincentennial work group, and I just wanted to share that if you recall the half dollar assignment for the Semiquincentennial was that look into future of what it will take to keep the Republic for the next 250 years. And the obverse assignment to the artist was some kind of depiction of liberty in that vein. And so, they were encouraged to also -- to potentially explore intergenerational representations of liberty.

So I know that there was at least one design that you noted here, and we would be happy to bring that back. It's gotten a lot of attention; bring that back as part of that portfolio as well.
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THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Very -- very important information. So thank you very much on that, April.

Okay. The committee will now score the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Liberty 24-Karat Gold Coin and silver medal. And you all should have your score sheets in front of you. And Mike, you should have yours electronically. And when you are done, please hand them to Counsel Greg Weinman, who will tally up the scores and present the results.

And will take a five-minute break, and be back at --

MR. WEINMAN: Make it a ten-minute break.

THE CHAIRMAN: Or a ten-minute break, yes.

MS. WARREN: It's 3:07, so we'll be back at, like, 3:17.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sounds good. All right. We will be back at 3:17. Thank you.
(Off the record.)

MS. WARREN: We are back. It is 3:20. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We are back. And I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results of the scoring sheet.

Greg.
MR. WEINMAN: Okay. Ready. Ready to go.

So starting with the obverses, out of -- once again, out of 30 points.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The design numbers.

MR. WEINMAN: I'm going to go with -yeah, the design -- the design numbers.

Design No. 1, 11 points. Design No. 2, 20 points, which is the high scoring obverse design. 3 is two points, 4 is two points, 5 is six points, 6 is four points, 7 is three points, 8 is six points, 9 is six points, 10 is one point, 11 is eight points, 12 is 18; it's very close. 13 is one point, 14 is three points, 15 is three points, 16 is two points, 17 is two points, 18 is six points, 19 is three points, 20 is four points, 21 is 11 points, 22 is 17 points, 23
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is seven points, 24 is 16 points, 25 is 13 points, 25A is two points, 26 is two points, and 27 is two points. Okay.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Greg, I couldn't hear you on 11. What was the number? THE CHAIRMAN: Eight. MR. WEINMAN: For design 11, it was eight points.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: That's correct. MR. WEINMAN: Ready for the reverse? Once again, out of 30 points. Design 1 is three points, design 2 is three points, design 3 is two points, design 4 is one point, design 5 is four points, design 6 is two points, design 7 is five points, design 8 is eight points, design 9 is five points, design 11 is nine points, design 12A is 22 points, which is the high -- high vote getter. Design 13 is six points, design 14 is nine points, design 15 is four points, design 16 is three points, design 17 is four points, design 18 is eight points, design 19 is eight points, design 20 is four points, design 21
is three points, design 22 is three points, design 23 is 12 points.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, Greg.

So here we go. Are there any motions, or should we have a discussion first before we -let's have a discussion before we start making motions.

Harcourt, if you would, please.
DR. FULLER: Harcourt Fuller. I don't have much to say. This is great. This -- that's all I wanted to say. It's really great. I think that obviously, reverse 12A is the -- is the big winner, and I think that the -- for the obverses, I think that, you know, $12,22,24,25$, are the ones that $I$ think most of us really liked. So I think it went the way that the discussions went. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Kellen.

MR. HOARD: This is Kellen Hoard. I'd like to raise the idea, given that $12 A$ was so dominant on the scoring, that we consider doing different
obverse designs between the silver and the gold, which has been proposed previously, and a common reverse design. So 12A is on both silver and gold.

And then, on silver, it could go with maybe one of the more, kind of bold designs, like 2. And on the gold design, we could go with something more traditional, like the sunflower or the intergenerational. That'd be my proposal. And not as official motion; just as a discussion topic.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MR. SCARINCI: Two things -- two things
I want to say.
First, if we all -- I don't know how we all feel about -- I think this was really groundbreaking that we have a number assigned to the artists. And we're able to see, not the name, but the number, so that we can tell when the artist has a -you know, is the same artist that's doing the obverse and the reverse. And if the Mint -- if we -- if we think -- if we want to see our coins presented this way, you know, perhaps, you know, a recommendation to staff would be in order for us to see all portfolios
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with identifying, where there is an obverse and a reverse, identifying the obverse and reverse. So I want to say that, number one. And -- and open that up for discussion amongst you, if there is a consensus to do that; we can ask.

And then, number two, I think we should all just take this in for a minute. And you know, this -- this is -- this is the moment that American coin design has changed. And I think we -- we should really just take a breath, take this in. This is a story we're going to be telling for a long time, I suspect, and this is a -- this is a really proud moment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Donald. John, your -- your hand is raised.

MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders here. I think now it's important to consider what metal the coins are in. So for the -- like, No. 2, which $I$ gave a lot of points to, I -- I don't like at all for the gold coin; I like it for the silver coin. And if we have that on the obverse, rather than having the eagle that got the votes, I like the, kind
of, stylistic eagle. What is the last one there; No. 23 with it. So I mean, if we were going for a combination, that would be my -- my strong choice. In terms -- terms of gold, well, my buffalo didn't make it, but $I$ still like it. So I think the -- the eagle reverse is a -- a good reverse for the gold, the one with the, kind of, swirling eagle. I wish we were doing a colorized coin. That would be a beautiful -- on a colorized design.

The other comment I'd like to make. It's too bad that the law is such that we can't put a denomination on the silver coin, because --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Working on it.
MR. SAUNDERS: -- a lot of collectors tend to collect coins, but not medals. And you know, to get this -- any of these, to get the one that's actually a coin, you have to buy the gold, which is expensive for most collectors. So -- but that's just a comment that $I$ wanted to make.

But I like -- if we go with the eagle reverse, swirly eagle reverse, I like either the -the 22 or the sunflower as an obverse with it, rather
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than the obverse 2 that got the most votes. So that's -- I kind of -- I think when we have different pairs, this is -- but I'm kind of saying I see things a little differently when we're pairing them up, than when I -- I see them as individuals.

THE CHAIRMAN: What I would recommend at this stage, since there does seem to be consensus that we do two separate design pairs or possibly a common reverse, for the gold coin and the --

THE REPORTER: -- microphone.
THE CHAIRMAN: -- silver medal, is that we first discuss say, a silver medal obverse, and try to nail that down before then moving onto say, the obverse for the gold, and then reverse.

MS. WARREN: Mr. Chairman, just so you know, Mike also has his hand up. So whatever order.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Mike, you have your hand up, I believe, for a while.

MR. MORAN: Yeah, this is Mike Moran. Thank you, Peter. I just want to point out to you that the number two vote getter on the obverse was the sunflower, and that's done by the same artist as the
reverse eagle that was popular. To me, it's a no-brainer you put the sunflower on the silver medal, you have the common reverse of an eagle, and you use No. 2 for the gold medal on the obverse.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Kellen.
MR. MORAN: That way, we get an --
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mike.
MR. HOARD: This is Kellen Hoard. I had a clarifying question for April.

You mentioned for the
Semiquincentennial that there was discussion of -intergenerational at one point too. I'm not -- yeah, so -- I don't really know, is that, kind of, one of the main things being considered? 'Cause if it's -if it could be put on the half dollar, I think that's even more powerful than in gold or in silver. I love the design, $I$ love it here, but I'd prefer it be on a circulating coin if it could be.

MS. STAFFORD: When we saw the design come through, we tagged it at that point for inclusion in the portfolio that you'll see for the half dollar. Obviously, if it's recommended by the CCAC and CFA,
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and the Secretary selects it for use on the American Liberty Program, that would be a conflict. But yes, I would -- that's exactly why $I$ wanted to draw your attention to that work that's going on.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MS. STAFFORD: And you'll be seeing
that, I believe, in July, in -- in a handful of months.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. HOARD: So then, if I could, I would just make a motion, which we can shoot down if we want, in discussion.

MR. MORAN: Did I lose audio?
MR. HOARD: I'm sorry?
Well, which would be that --
MR. MENNA: Me too, Mike. I don't hear anything.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, sorry.
MS. WARREN: The mic -- hold on.

MR. MORAN: Our recommendation blew the place up.

MS. WARREN: Can you guys hear us now?

MR. MORAN: Yeah, we can hear.
MS. WARREN: Okay.

MR. MENNA: Yes, ma'am.
MS. WARREN: For some reason, it was open, but it wasn't saying it was.

MR. HOARD: For B, I would make a motion that we adopt, for the silver medal, obverse 2 and reverse 12A. And for the gold, we adopt the sunflower, which $I$ believe was 12 , and then, the reverse 12A. The reason $I$ recommend that silver and gold pairing; one, the sunflower, I think would look great in gold -- golden flower. But two, is that for the silver medal, which is kind of the more accessible piece, $I$ think it's going to be younger people buying it. That's kind of our idea. I'd like that to be, kind of, that more modern design. That would keep it classical, and kind of, a groundbreaking one with a common reverse, I think is --

So that's my motion, is --

MR. TUCKER: Can you repeat that?
MR. HOARD: Sure. So obverse -- for the silver medal, obverse 2, reverse 12A. And then,
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for the gold coin, obverse 12, I believe it is, the sunflower; and reverse 12A.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have a second for
that motion?

MR. MORAN: Mike seconds it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mike has seconded it.
Discussion?

Go ahead, Dennis.
MR. SAUNDERS: I have an -- an alternate --

THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis is first, yeah. Sorry.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.
In my opinion, 12A is so unique and has such a distinct character, it -- it's not really matched by any of the obverses that we're seeing. I don't think it -- and I love it, and $I$ want to see it on either the coin or the medal in this program, but I think it needs a proper obverse to accompany it. And I don't think that we are seeing that here. I don't
think the sunflower -- it's just too jarring. I mean, you've got -- you've got the sunflower imagery, and then you've got this screaming eagle. And they're two very different styles and temperament.

And then, same with the -- the street art obverse. I -- I just don't think they're matched. These are all strong designs, but $I$ feel like the -the street art Statue of Liberty needs a reverse that truly accompanies it, and truly matches its style and poise. And I would say the same for the sunflower. So I would recommended we slow down and take a look at the totality of these combinations. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: If I can just ask a question, and this is to April.

The combination of sunflower and this reverse 12A, were those conceived by the artist? Because they are the same artist. Were these conceived of as a pair?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, that is correct. They were asked to develop their obverse and reverse design in concert with one another.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. So --

MS. STAFFORD: I think that's why you see the circular element repeated from the sunflower to the eagle's wings.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. So in this case, the two that we see on the screen, the sunflower and the eagle then, was conceived by the same artist as a matching pair?

MS. STAFFORD: That is correct.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you.
Art?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: John.
THE CHAIRMAN: Or John; sorry.
MR. SAUNDERS: I've got an alternate proposal as to getting our pairing right. I would suggest that everybody write down three pairs for the silver and three pairs for the gold. Give the one you like best a 3 , second best 2 , third best 1 , and we see if we -- we get an opinion on what pairs people like. Because just voting on one thing to start with without getting -- seeing how much agreement or disagreement on pairs, $I$ think we're maybe going down the wrong road.

THE CHAIRMAN: Harcourt, I think you
were next.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Harcourt?
THE CHAIRMAN: Harcourt, yeah.
DR. FULLER: Peter, thanks for asking
that question. I think this is a no-brainer. If the -- since the artist designed this as a -- as a pair, that means the artists considered the ways in which they work together. And so, because of that, I think we -- I think we should go with this, whether in silver or gold. But $I$ think we should go with this.

I think it's -- we're very fortunate that we've had this large pool, and we end up with the obverse and reverse designed by the same artist for the same coin. It's no-brainer.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
Dennis, was it you, or Art?
MR. BERNSTEIN: It was me.
THE CHAIRMAN: It was Art. Yeah, sorry.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. And speaking to the motion on the floor -- if we would
consider two separate motions; one for the silver, one
for the gold.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
Kellen, would you be willing to permit --

MR. HOARD: Yeah --
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, sorry.
MR. WEINMAN: Just from -- from the Mint's perspective, just to be helpful. While it's -while I think it's good to get a recommendation on silver pairing, gold pairing, also a default pairing would be useful for us just in case that's where we end up having to go for one reason or another.

So it might be a motion that the default pairing would be what you laid out. But if we're able to, "This would be a good gold pairing. This would be a good silver pairing."

THE CHAIRMAN: Very good.
MR. WEINMAN: That would be useful for us.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. So, Kellen, would you be willing to
amend your motion?
MR. HOARD: Yeah, we can split the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So would you like to make a motion to proceed with the gold first?

MR. HOARD: So why don't we do gold first.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So the motion then, on the table, is to consider what we see on the screen at the moment, the obverse depicting the sunflower, and the obverse with the -- 12A, with the swirling wings. I believe we already have a second? Or do we need a second for the --

MR. SCARINCI: I'll second it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. SCARINCI: Can $I$-- can I make a friendly amendment that this be -- that this would be the bold pair. So if we can only do -- so that if we can only do one design for the gold and the silver, it should be this one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen, do you accept that friendly amendment?

MR. HOARD: Well, $I$ think it should be a separate motion in my opinion. If that's all right.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Then, we will --

MR. HOARD: I don't -- I don't object.
That's a separate motion.
MR. SCARINCI: Yeah, that's fine.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So let's proceed with this motion then for this gold pairing.

All those in favor say, "aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?

All right. The motion carries. We're done with the gold. On with the silver.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I'll make a --

Oh, go ahead.

MR. SAUNDERS: I was going to make an opposing motion on the silver that either we pick out two or three and we vote between the two or three, or if I have to propose one, I would propose obverse 2 with the last reverse, reverse 23 , I think.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. John, would you like to make that a motion?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So the motion then is to pair obverse 2 with reverse 23.

Do I have a second for that?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we discuss first?

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We actually need a second to discuss.

MR. SAUNDERS: How about -- why don't we pick several obverse and reverse pairings, and then we can all -- let's narrow it down to maybe three or four.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. SCARINCI: Narrow it down to maybe three or four.

MR. SAUNDERS: And then -- and then, choose among those.

MR. SCARINCI: I think that's --
THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen.

MR. HOARD: If I could -- for the -- in
general, the process is fine. What I'd point out though is that on the reverses, the only one that even got above half of the points was 12A. The next highest was only 12 -- 12 points, which is pretty low. And so, regardless of the broader discussion, if there's -- if everyone -- option side 1 is disliked by most of the Committee, $I$-- I think we should just go with the one that's -- actually is the high score. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So there seems to be a general consensus that obverse 2 is appropriate for the silver medal. I don't -- for the most part. I know that there's some --

MR. HOARD: So I would move that we recommend obverse 2 ; silver medal.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Standalone medal.

THE CHAIRMAN: As -- as a standalone medal.

MR. HOARD: Well, it's just the obverse to begin with; right? Because we work with multiple
reverses?
THE CHAIRMAN: Right.

MR. HOARD: So I move that we just offer obverse 2.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is there a second on that motion?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I thought John had a motion on --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It didn't get a second.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, it didn't get a second.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, well --
MS. WARREN: Hold on guys.
THE REPORTER: Was there a second on
that?
MS. WARREN: Yeah, who gave second, because --

MR. SCARINCI: I -- I think it was -Donald gave the second.

THE CHAIRMAN: It was Donald, yeah.
Okay. So the motion then is to

```
recommend obverse 2 as the silver medal obverse; all
```

right?

Any further discussion on that, or
should we just vote?

All right. Let's vote. All those in
favor, "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Nay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
MR. MORAN: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mike, was that a nay or
a yay?
MR. MORAN: A nay.
MS. STAFFORD: Yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

All right. So then, let's see in the next few minutes, if we can find an appropriate reverse for this obverse.

Dennis, you have your hand raised. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker.

Again, $I$ just need to repeat. I don't think any of these reverses are appropriate for this very strong -- stylistically strong obverse. So my recommendation would be that we solicit new designs from the Mint if there's time for that, and -- and reconsider. Because reverse 23, I don't know if that's anatomically correct, this eagle.

We haven't had any discussion about the formation of the wings, the feathers, and the crown of the head, and things like that. And just stylistically, it's -- it's night and day. And to me, there's something jarring about that.

THE CHAIRMAN: And again, my understanding in terms of the way the portfolio was put together, that there is a corresponding reverse to this obverse. And that would be reverse 2; is that correct?

Yes, this one, which did not get too many votes.

April, was this conceived as -- as the pair; right?

MS. STAFFORD: That is correct.

THE CHAIRMAN: So we have essentially rejected this reverse as a -- as corresponding reverse for this obverse.

All right, Kellen.

MR. HOARD: So would it be possible to make a motion that we consider reverse 12 A for this -for the obverse 2. And if the Committee votes down obverse $12 A$, we go back to the Mint for consideration of other reverses?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Put the motion on the table for $12 A$.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, let's put the motion on the table for 12 A as the reverse.

All right. Is there a second?

Harcourt, is that a second?

DR. FULLER: That's a second.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So the motion then is to adopt $12 A$ as the reverse to be paired with the obverse 2 , which --

MR. HOARD: And if it doesn't pass -THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

MR. HOARD: Ask the mint for --
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh. Ask the Mint for an
additional --
MR. HOARD: For additional -- like Dennis suggested, additional options, I could say.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
All right. All those in favor of the motion, "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?

MR. SAUNDERS: What is the motion again?

MR. HOARD: Should I divide these? Is this complicated?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I would recommend that we just proceed with pairing this with -- this obverse 2 with this reverse alone. And then --

Mr. HOARD: Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: See how that goes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And see how that goes.
And then, we can go from there.

All right. So all those in favor of this pair, 2 and 12A, signify approval by saying "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?

MR. MORAN: Opposed.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I think we'll have to do --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: -- abstain.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think we'll have to do a hand count then.

MS. WARREN: Yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor raise your hand, please.

MS. WARREN: Two.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. All those opposed?

MS. WARREN: Oh, three -- three.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Three.

THE CHAIRMAN: Three? All right.

All those opposed?
MR. MORAN: Opposed as well.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mike, you're opposed, or you're in favor?

MR. MORAN: I'm opposed.
MS. WARREN: Okay. And --
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor, raise your hand.

Three. All right. So we have three.
All those opposed, raise your hand.
MR. MORAN: Opposed.
MS. WARREN: Six.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. And any
abstentions?
All right. We have one abstain.
All right. The motion does not carry.
All right.
MR. HOARD: So this is Kellen Hoard.
I would move then that we ask the Mint
for additional designs of the reverse of the silver medal to consider.

MR. TUCKER: I would make a friendly
amendment to that. This is Dennis Tucker.
I would make a friendly amendment that we do include 12A in that potential portfolio of redesigns, simply so we have time to consider it in context -- in the greater context of the expanded portfolio.

THE CHAIRMAN: Before we proceed with that motion, $I$ just would like to ask the Mint if there would be time for such a consideration.

MS. STAFFORD: Well, so certainly, we have future American Liberty portfolios that we will be bringing. Every two years, we will be putting portfolios in front of you, if not before. And so, with certainty, we can say -- we can go back and work with this artist to develop a complimentary reverse. Or even other artists; open it out to them for potential.

Regarding whether or not for a 2025 issuance, I would have to check with our production and planning team to be honest. Typically, we're a little -- we -- typically, we deliver designs to our manufacturing counterparts for 2025 program. So
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that -- that would be my pause. But then again, I believe this committee is going to try to offer default recommendation, which in the event that we couldn't meet that timeline, we would have materials to move forward with a 2025 option.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Mike, your hand is raised. Did you have a comment?

MR. MORAN: Yes, I do.
April, will you put reverse 23 up there next to obverse 2 ?

To me -- if there is something wrong with the anatomy of the eagle, and $I$ don't see it at this level, it certainly can be fixed. But why I like it is the fact that the -- the stylistic fonts on the reverse are compatible with the obverse. And the style is decent; it's circular, with the head -- being circular as well. I don't see anything wrong with this. I think it's a good design, and a good match. Unfortunately, two can't do eagles because there are eagle pairings often. But I like this one. I think it's -- I think it's good, and I
think we should go with it.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you,
Mike. Would you like to make a motion to that effect then?

MR. MORAN: -- shoot it down. I'll move that we combine R23 with 02 , for the silver medal.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
MR. SAUNDERS: I second.

THE CHAIRMAN: John has seconded it. I think we've discussed this to death, so why don't we just go ahead and vote?

All those in favor, "aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Nay.
THE CHAIRMAN: The motion does not carry.

All right. Kellen, so --
MR. HOARD: I don't have a vote --
THE CHAIRMAN: No. Or, Dennis, that
there was --

MR. TUCKER: No, I think we -- I think we voted on a motion and have our recommendation to the Secretary.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What's the silver --

THE CHAIRMAN: So we do not, at this point, have a silver reverse.

MR. TUCKER: Oh, right. Well, in that case, we would default.

Yeah, as April said. If necessary, we would default to our gold obverse reverse pairing. But we ask the Mint for an expanded portfolio for the reverse of the silver medal to accompany obverse 2. That's our -- our letter to the Secretary.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Does it -- do we need a motion on that, or is it --

MR. SCARINCI: No.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
MR. SCARINCI: We have it.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. HOARD: I just had a quick question
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about that. So if that's our default, does that mean that if we can't get another reverse for the silver considered in time, that there would be no Statue of Liberty silver medal?

MS. STAFFORD: There would be -- it would be the --

MR. SCARINCI: Statue of Liberty
with --
MS. STAFFORD: If -- if this body's recommendation were selected by the Secretary, it would be the sunflower obverse, and that circular eagle reverse for both the gold coin and the silver medal. That in essence, we would retain the convention of the same design being used across both.

MR. HOARD: Okay.
MS. STAFFORD: But we would be coming back to you one way or another, with complimentary reverses for that design.

THE CHAIRMAN: Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: You know, I -- just --
just to remind everybody, this is an experimental series. I mean, we -- we conceived as an experimental
series. So you know -- you know, we're pushing -we're pushing it; right? And -- and if we have to have the gold and the silver as the same for this time, we could live with it; right?

We accomplished a lot, and -- and we have a series that is, by its own nature, experimental. So yeah, it's an expensive -- the gold would be expensive, but if it's available in silver, people can buy it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. All right. Thank you very much.

Any final comments before we conclude?
All right. Well --
Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Well, I do have to thank you all for this afternoon and the morning sessions as well. I have to agree with Donald that we have accomplished quite a bit this afternoon. I'm really quite happy to see where we are going with this program. I think that it really is spectacular with, you know, the direction and the designs.

And I just really have to hand it to the AIP artists as well as the Mint for putting together this absolutely stunning portfolio. It obviously has taken quite a bit of work on the part of everybody. And so, I'd just like to offer my congratulations to all of you for work very well done.

And with that, we will recess until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. Thank you very much.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- the itinerary
says, "8:00."
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, it says,
"9:00."

THE CHAIRMAN: 9:00. 8:00 for
breakfast, and 9:00 for --

MS. WARREN: 9:00 a.m.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 9:00 a.m. 8:00 o'clock for breakfast.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

All right. Mike, good to see you, even virtually.

MS. WARREN: We concluded at 3:51.


I, MATTHEW YANCEY, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness (es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that $I$ am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that $I$ am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

Dated:

May 1, 2024


MATTHEW YANCEY Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, MOLLY MCCOLM, do hereby certify that this
transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that $I$ am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that $I$ am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. Dated:

May 1, 2024


MOLLY MCCOLM

| \& | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 21 \quad 127: 6 \\ & 128: 1,10 \\ & 129: 16 \quad 131: 14 \\ & 133: 4 \quad 135: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 12 & 4: 688: 10 \\ 130: 1 & 152: 15 \\ 153: 5 & 162: 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169: 8,10,20 \\ & 188: 10204: 19 \\ & 205: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \& $4: 3$ |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |
| 002 33:16 |  | 164:14,16 | 15 152:15 |
|  | 136:8 140:5,10 | 165:6 167:5 | 153:5 164:12 |
| 4:18 | 142:8,14,14,18 | 168:2 169:5 | 178:7 181:19 |
| 01 95:9 | 142:19,22 | 171:1,2 175:19 | 204:20 205:19 |
| 02 33:1,16 | 143:13 147:16 | 175:20 178:17 | 15's 169:11 |
| 36:13 43:19 | 151:15 152:14 | 180:14 184:14 | 150 4:16 |
| 44:855:11 | 152:20 153:4 | 185:15,20,20 | 16 1:5 4:8 5:4 |
| 03 43:19 44:7 | 167:11 168:21 | 191:21 199:21 | 129:16 152:15 |
| 91:1,1 95:16 | 171:14,15 | 200:12 204:18 | 153:5 164:12 |
| $04 \quad 33: 4$ | 173:19 174:4 | 206:2,15 213:9 | 189:9 204:20 |
| 05 159:12 | 187:2 189:4,16 | 214:1 222:5,5 | 205:1,20 |
| 06 33:4 159:11 | 189:17,17 | 12's 166:2,16 | 16's 169:11 |
| 159:11 160:17 | 204:14 205:12 | 192:15 | 17 7:11 64:10 |
| 07 91:2 | 216:17 222:7 | 12:00 79:1 | 66:21 152:15 |
| 09 188:5 | 238:18 239:14 | 12a 178:17 | 153:5 168:10 |
| 1 | 1-12 105:9 | 201:4 205:17 | 169:12 182:14 |
| 1 4:13 10:15 | 10 28:8 91:8 | 206:13,21 | 204:20,22 |
| 17:13 23:9,19 | 152:14 168:1 | 207:3 213:8,10 | 205:20 |
| 29:7 45:19 | 169:5 204:18 | 213:22 214:2 | 18 4:11 14:14 |
| 64:1,6 78:11 | 100 67:11 | 214:16 215:16 | 152:15 153:5 |
| 78:13 79:22 | 152:21 158:14 | 219:11 222:4 | 168:11 169:12 |
| 80:2 81:11,16 | 10:01 1:6 5:5 | 226:9,11,14,16 | 188:16 204:19 |
| 85:12,13 86:4 | 11 88:10 105:5 | 226:21 228:4 | 204:21 205:21 |
| 92:8 97:16,19 | 116:8 129:22 | 230:3 | 1870s 173:14 |
| 97:22 98:3,18 | 152:14 153:4 | 12c 201:3,3 | 1880s 173:14 |
| 99:14,19 | 168:2 170:18 | 13 4:7 95:5 | 18th 17:21 |
| 100:18 103:3 | 170:22 175:12 | 129:17 152:15 | 19 64:8,13 |
| 106:6 109:2,7 | 175:13 204:14 | 153:5 168:5 | 152:15 153:5 |
| 110:3 111:13 | 204:18,22 | 169:6 188:8 | 166:5,16 |
| 112:18 113:19 | 205:5,7,17 | 204:19 205:1 | 168:11,12 |
| 114:21,21 | 117-334 19:16 | 205:19 | 169:14 182:8 |
| 115:6 116:9 | 11:20 63:6 | 13c 172:4 | 200:16 204:21 |
| 117:11 118:8 | 11:45 128:20 | 14 18:18 20:1 | 205:21 |
| 122:7,11 123:2 | 11a 88:10 | 64:4 152:15 | 1900 102:20 |
|  | 105:6 130:1 | 153:5 168:5 | 105:18 |


| 1941 60:3 | 207:5 208:19 | 2024 1:54:5 | 225:9 231:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1943 60:3 | 210:1 211:4 | 5:5 7:11 11:12 | 24 7:19 150:13 |
| 1950s 43:7 57:8 | 213:7,22 | 161:21 238:18 | 151:8,15 |
| 1955 18:19 | 216:17 220:21 | 239:14 | 152:15 153:11 |
| 20:1 31:18,22 | 221:5 222:12 | 2025 4:15 7:18 | 159:17 168:14 |
| 1960s 57:8 | 222:16 223:4 | 150:12 151:14 | 171:9 174:14 |
| 1999 105:21,22 | 224:3 225:19 | 151:20,21 | 174:15 177:8 |
| 1:00 128:17 | 226:10,22 | 153:11 165:10 | 185:2 203:6 |
| 2 | 227:19 228:4 | 203:5 230:18 | 205:1 206:15 |
| 2 | 231:11 233:14 | 230:22 231:5 | 24c 200:12 |
| 30:13 31:1 | 2's 41:9 166:19 | 2026 4:13 7:17 | 24k 4:15 |
| 32:2 37:4,11 | 20 152:15 | 10:15 78:10,12 | 25 89:12 |
| 38:1,11 40:16 | 153:5 168:12 | 80:10 81:3 | 152:16 165:11 |
| 1,1, | 169:17 204:15 | 92:8 94:19 | 166:16 168:14 |
| 43:10 45:4 | 204:21 205:22 | 111:7 113:15 | 171:11 172:22 |
|  | 200 60:5 | 128:10 | 174:22 177:19 |
| 58:20 64:1,7 | 2000 80:9 | 20th 13:15 | 181:1 205:1 |
| 64:13 | 105:18 | 21 152:15 | 206:15 |
| 70:15 72:2,4 | 2003 19:11 | 3:5 164:15 | 250 202:15 |
| 74:18 75:9 | 1:9 | 169:17 204:22 | 250th 18:10 |
| 81:16 86:10,17 | 2009 79:22 | 205:22 | 81:4 94:22 |
| 91:2 103:12 | 84:2 | 21st 17:22 | 96:16 |
| 109:3 115:12 | 2015 | 154:4 | 25728 239:16 |
| 129:16 130:1,9 | 151:9 | 22 64:1, | 25a 152:16 |
| 142:8,15 | 2018 13:13 | 129:20 152:15 | 205:1 |
| 152:14 153:4 | 127:2 | 153:5 164:15 | 25c 167:5 |
| 161:19 162:2,2 | 2019 127:2 | 168:13 169:17 | 171:12 |
| 166:19 167:14 | 2020 81:6 | 174:6,7 181:12 | 26 152:16 |
| 167:16 168:18 | 2021 15:12 | 204:22 205:17 | 168:15 205: |
| 168:21 170:10 | 165:14 175:7 | 206:1,15 | 26na 91:1,2 |
| 172:17 177:14 | 2022 14:10 | 209:22 | 27 4:5 11:11 |
| 180:2 183:13 | 19:4 127:3 | 23 152:15 | 152:16 155:21 |
| 187:5 189:5 | 20220 1:11 | 153:6 155:2 | 168:15 205:2 |
| 190:8 195:1 | 2023 14:14 | 160:20 164:15 | 28 20:1 |
| 197:15 199:5 | 24:3 36:2 | 168:18 169:19 | 29511 238:18 |
| 199:11,20 | 57:13 65:4,9 | 186:11 204:22 | 2:00 150:2,7 |
| 204:14 205:13 | 158:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 206:1 209:2 } \\ & 220: 22 \text { 221:5 } \end{aligned}$ |  |


| 3 | 3:07 203:18 | 205:14 | 87:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 23:17,19 | 3:17 203:19,21 | 50,000 20:13 | 1:6,13 105:2 |
| 25:16 29:10 | 3:20 204:1 | 5th 82:8 | 105:13 109:21 |
| 38:1 41:10 | 3:51 236:22 | 6 | 112:16,20 |
| 42:6 43:14 | 237:3 | 6 15:12 23:17 | 116:1,1 117:4 |
| 62:11 64:2,8 | 3a 23:17 64: | 24:11 28:5 | 117:22 119 |
| 64:13 68:6,9 | 3s 135:2,14 | 29:15 37:19 | 23:12 127:18 |
| 68:17,18 69:10 | 4 | 41:6 46:3,3 | 9:20 130:13 |
| 69:11 70:6,8 | 4 23:17,19 | 47:7 64:5,10 | 1:9 |
| 70:15 72:2 | 29:18 30:17 | 68:6,9 69:7,10 | 32:16 |
| 74:5,7 76:15 | 2:9 38:12 | 69:11,20 72:3 | 133:10 134:7 |
| 81:11,16 86:20 | 43:2,22 44:15 | 72:4 81:11,16 | 134:22 135:19 |
| 87:5 89:15 | 45:7 64:3, 9 | 87:10,17 96:5 | 136:22 139:18 |
| 91:20 97:18,19 | 66:8,15,17,18 | 104:18 106:21 | 140:10,12 |
| 98:4 103:13 | 74:22 87:10 | 106:22 107:1 | 143:8,11,1 |
| 105:12 106:13 | 104:8 109:6,6 | 109:13 112:4,5 | 152:14 153:4 |
| 109:4 110:22 | 15:16 129:17 | 115:18 117:18 |  |
| 111:10 112:20 | 152:14 153:4 | 120:19 121:14 |  |
| 115:15 122:11 | 167:18 168:21 | 129:19 133:4 | 205: |
| 122:11 123:6 | 189:6 204:16 | 144:15,15 | 78 4: |
| 124:4,12,22 | 205:14 | 152:14 153:4 | 7a 24:16 |
| 129:17 130:9 | 4512 100:4 | 162:15 167:21 | 64:11 |
| 130:14 131:14 | 4:00 5:8 | 167:21 169:1 | 8 |
| 133:1,10 135:5 | 4a 23:17 64:4 | 183:22 191:7 | 8 28:6 88 |
| 135:10,11,12 | 87:10 129:18 | 191:13 192:22 | 105:3 129:21 |
| 136:8 140:5,10 | 5 | 199:21 201:3,4 | 152:14 153:4 |
| 142:14,18,19 | 5 4:3 23:17 | 204:16 205:15 | 163:3,3 164:6 |
| 143:1,13 | 5 24:3 $51164: 4,9$ | 6's 162:20 | 164:12 165:4 |
| 147:16 152:14 | 87:10 101:6,13 | 60s 129:9 | 169:4 171:19 |
| 153:4 161:14 | 101:21 104:12 | 6590121 1:17 | 178:2 184:5 |
| 167:18 168:21 | 101:21 104:12 109:9 115:17 | 6a 87:22 | 191:7,13 |
| 187:17 199:21 | 129.18 152.14 | 129:19 | 199:21 204:17 |
| 204:16 205:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 10 \quad 152: 14 \\ & 153: 4 \quad 162: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 205:16 |
| 216:17 | 162:18 167:19 | 7 24:16 25:17 | 801 1:10 |
| $30 \quad 63: 22$ | 168:22 187:20 | 26:5 28:6 | 8c 178:20 |
| 129:16 172:22 | 191:7,10 | 37:13 47:7 |  |
| 204:9 205:12 | 199:21 204:16 | 64:10 81:11,16 |  |


| 9 | abstract 164:8 accept 144:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 188: 5 \quad 238: 12 \\ & 238: 16239: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 227:7 229: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 88:10 129:22 |  |  | additions 143:2 |
| 14 153:4 | 219:2 | 239:12 | address |
| 167:22 169:5 | accepted 60:7 | actions 17:17 | 31:7 47:15 |
| 178:2 204:17 | accepting 50:4 | 20:20 138:7 | 118:12 174:14 |
| 205:16 | accessib | active 74:6,10 | addressed 11:6 |
| 999 151:16 | 13:13 | 162:22 | 27:8 147 |
| 152:21 | accommodate | activity 101:22 | 178:22 |
| 9:00 5:10 236:8 | 152:12 | 87:13 | adds 109 |
| :13,14, | accompa | actor 98:7 99:4 | 167:6 |
| 236:16,17 | :13 | act | adjust 77 |
| 9th 1:10 | ac | 96 | admiratio |
| a |  |  | $179: 1$ |
| a. | 4:21 233:14 | 2:17 34: | 187:5 |
| 236:16,17 | accomplished | 0:10 | ado 22:22 |
| ab | 235:5 | 54:12 78:1 | adolph |
| 142:9 | ac | 89:6 95:21 | adopt 213: |
| ability 238:10 | :2 | 99:16 102:21 | 226:21 |
| 239:7 | accordanc | 05:21 115 | adoption 74:17 |
| able 20:5 52:6 | 79:22 | 117:19 139:1 | advantage |
| 66:18 71:4 | accuracy 144:5 | 139:15 162:7 | 175:11 |
| 84:2 127:4 | accurate 238:9 | 67:7 177:6,6 | advice 30:9 |
| 40:22 158:11 | 39:5 | 177:19 195:12 | 7:3 |
| 207:16 218:16 | acknowl | 199:15 201:17 | advised 131:17 |
| above 77:22 | 107:2 155:20 | 202:2 209:17 | advising 14:21 |
| 171:22 222: | acorn 168:8 | 221:10 222:9 | advisor 3:17 |
| absence 119:22 | 188: | add 56:20 72:1 | 10:21 13:18 |
| 120:11,12 | acq | 90:8 177:22 | 40:1 82:1 |
| absolutely | act 19:3 24: | add | advisors 49:1 |
| 68:22 78:20,21 | 4:21 36:2 | 124:22,22 | advisory $1: 1$ |
| 90:10,17 | 7:17,20 57:12 | 130:13 | 5:4 12:12 |
| 140:22 174:11 | 5:4,9 72:1,7 | additional 24:2 | 14:17 15:14 |
| 178:12 236:3 | 80:1 81:6 99:1 | 24:13 61:1 | 21:14 |
| abstain 228:11 | action 45:11,18 | 86:2,15 87 | advocatin |
| 9: | 45:21 68:14,19 | 87:15 88 | 21:6197 |
| abstentions | 69:2,8 100:20 | 90:19 91:17 | aestheti |
| 229:14 | 136:7 181:7 | 120:21 227:5,6 | 107:21 108:1 |


| 118:15 175:16 | 109:2 122:3 | allowing 22:19 | 94:16,22 95:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 177:15 179:2 | 138:19 139:9 | ally 90:5 | 96:9 97:15 |
| aesthetically | 149:3 198:7 | 138:12,13 | 102:18 107:19 |
| 119:4 | 235:18 | alongside | 111:5 113:4,19 |
| aesthetics | agreement | 87:11 | 116:6 119:11 |
| 117:18 119:11 | 186:10 216:20 | alternate | 124:10 127:1,2 |
| 131:20 138:4 | ahead 16:21 | 214:12 216:13 | 128:10 137:9 |
| affairs 2:4 3:11 | 25:14 47:19 | alternative | 150:12 151:8 |
| 3:12 9:18 10:3 | 52:16 53:3 | 136:7 | 151:14 152:18 |
| 10:4 81:13 | 56:8 77:11,13 | alvin 49:6 | 153:11 156:14 |
| 84:2 86:5 87:6 | 78:22 133:21 | amazing 121:4 | 156:15 158:13 |
| 88:5 97:14 | 134:1 214:10 | 121:20 127:5 | 159:1 161:15 |
| 137:9 | 220:17 232:12 | 162:21 180:14 | 165:11,14,15 |
| affected 22:12 | aid 17:19 81:1 | amend 219:1 | 165:17,18,19 |
| affects 42:20 | 87:4 91:1 | amendment | 165:22 166:6 |
| 42:20 | 95:21 96:2 | 141:6 144:3,19 | 166:13 173:9 |
| african 18:7 | 122:8 | 219:17,22 | 173:11 175:8 |
| afternoon | aiding 17:18 | 230:1,2 | 199:13 203:6 |
| 193:7 235:17 | aim 193:18 | amendments | 208:8 212:1 |
| 235:19 | aip 154:14 | 143:1 | 230:11 |
| afterward | 236:2 | america 21:11 | americans |
| 31:19 | airman 60:7 | 54:13 85:12 | 36:17 80:3,5 |
| age 13:21 | airmen 40:1 | 91:11 152:20 | 94:21 95:4 |
| 48:21 129:5 | 49:8 60:4,9 | 153:2 160:20 | 98:1 107:10 |
| 144:4,10 | akin 3:17 10:21 | 196:20 200:13 | 121:19 174:19 |
| 176:10 182:19 | 82:2 | 200:19 | amidst 20:17 |
| agency 94:16 | alexander 15:5 | america's | amount 101:16 |
| 107:4 | alfen 1:4 2:5 | 124:5 | 126:22 |
| agenda 7:9 | 7:5 59:20 | american 2:11 | anatomically |
| 18:8 150:3,10 | allegorical | 4:13,15 6:5 | 185:16 225:10 |
| aggressive | 192:8 | 7:17 10:15 | anatomy |
| 190:2 | allies 84:14,17 | 13:15 17:5,12 | 231:13 |
| ago 13:5 | 87:16 89:5 | 17:16 18:7 | ancestor 82:7 |
| 192:20 | 91:9 95:22 | 69:5 78:11,13 | ancient 188:19 |
| agree 16:6 35:9 | 122:8 124:5,6 | 79:22 80:14 | 196:16 |
| 42:15 69:20 | allow 35:3 | 81:14,16 86:6 | angel 33:22 |
| 103:14 106:7 | allowed 20:14 | 86:18 87:18 | 36:14 |
| 106:10,14,18 | 50:1 | 88:6 92:8 |  |


| angeles $39: 22$ | apologies $57: 1$ | $144: 10156: 6$ | arrows $166: 7$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| angelic $40: 20$ | $77: 983: 7$ | $163: 7191: 2$ | $189: 21192: 10$ |
| anger $40: 8$ | apologize | $222: 12224: 21$ | art $26: 2229: 4$ |
| $41: 17$ | $39: 1863: 10$ | $225: 5$ | $30: 240: 5$ |
| animal $165: 20$ | $72: 2283: 13$ | approval $4: 4$ | $43: 1544: 7$ |
| $175: 8181: 10$ | $139: 14166: 1$ | $7: 1011: 9$ | $117: 1,2135: 20$ |
| annelisa $2: 20$ | appeal $30: 15$ | $228: 4$ | $136: 1159: 19$ |
| $7: 133: 8,9$ | $30: 20131: 19$ | approve $11: 14$ | $159: 19,19$ |
| $35: 11100: 2,9$ | appealing | $134: 7135: 18$ | $162: 5,7,12,17$ |
| $102: 12179: 10$ | $28: 1039: 6$ | $139: 17$ | $173: 11180: 6$ |
| $179: 12183: 2$ | $43: 9165: 5$ | approved | $186: 19,20$ |
| anniversary | appear 17:16 | $12: 10$ | $188: 1189: 13$ |
| $18: 1181: 4$ | appointed | approximately | $191: 4194: 21$ |
| $95: 1$ | $13: 13$ | $5: 8$ | $197: 3,5,14,15$ |
| announce $5: 14$ | appreciate | april $1: 52: 22$ | $199: 14,15,16$ |
| annually $80: 1$ | $33: 1357: 20$ | $5: 48: 1514: 13$ | $215: 6,8216: 10$ |
| answer $93: 3$ | $60: 1978: 5$ | $19: 16,2124: 18$ | $217: 17,19,21$ |
| $129: 4137: 7$ | $79: 1685: 1$ | $28: 564: 18$ | art's $199: 14$ |
| $162: 9172: 18$ | $96: 597: 2$ | $71: 3,1478: 11$ | artform $180: 3$ |
| answer's | $121: 16122: 7$ | $78: 1479: 17$ | $187: 10194: 19$ |
| $172: 20$ | $122: 21178: 13$ | $88: 13132: 20$ | $195: 2$ |
| answered | appreciated | $136: 15150: 14$ | arthur $2: 75: 20$ |
| $55: 13$ | $29: 7,1374: 13$ | $151: 5153: 8$ | $27: 129: 5$ |
| answers $173: 9$ | appreciating | $166: 4184: 1$ | articulable |
| anti $44: 4$ | $94: 15$ | $203: 3211: 9$ | $46: 6$ |
| anticipated | appreciation | $215: 14226: 1$ | artist $32: 12$ |
| $148: 20$ | $149: 19$ | $231: 10233: 11$ | $41: 2250: 7$ |
| antilynching | appreciations | arch $99: 6$ | $62: 1590: 11$ |
| $19: 3$ | $77: 2$ | archives $13: 18$ | $121: 20152: 5$ |
| anybody $55: 10$ | appreciative | area $26: 5$ | $157: 15160: 4,7$ |
| $56: 4$ | $121: 12$ | arm $188: 14$ | $160: 8161: 14$ |
| anybody's | approach | arms $23: 11$ | $161: 19162: 2$ |
| $197: 10$ | $161: 1,10$ | army $39: 22$ | $162: 10,15,18$ |
| anyone's | approaches | $48: 1781: 1$ | $162: 20163: 3,3$ |
| $197: 13$ | $165: 9$ | $86: 1193: 17$ | $163: 12,17,22$ |
| anyway $22: 7$ | appropriate | $118: 4$ | $164: 2,6,12,14$ |
| $39: 4,644: 17$ | $65: 1290: 14$ | array $172: 14$ | $164: 16165: 6$ |
| $103: 2197: 12$ | $120: 20136: 13$ |  | $166: 2,15,19$ |


| 167:5,13 170:7 | artwork | attractive | aware 7:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 177:5 189:16 | 179:20 | 103:10 104:11 | 133:5 158:22 |
| 189:16 190:8 | asian 162:22 | audience 63:11 | 159:1,1 |
| 191:10,13,13 | aside 38:17 | 157:8 | awesome 155:7 |
| 191:21 192:15 | asked 57:9 | audiences | awful 177:21 |
| 192:22 197:9 | 147:1 172:21 | 31:21 | awfully 167:22 |
| 199:5 200:12 | 215:20 | audio 50:17,22 | aye 12:5,6 |
| 201:3,3 202:15 | asking 217:5 | 212:13 238:8 | 65:19,20 76:7 |
| 207:17,18 | aspect 58:12 | 239:3 | 76:8 141:19,20 |
| 210:22 215:16 | 70:5 | august 19:22 | 145:2,3 220:10 |
| 215:17 216:6 | aspects 31:2 | aunt 82:8 | 220:11 224:8,9 |
| 217:7,14 | aspirational | australia 14:1 | 224:13 227:10 |
| 230:15 | 58:22 | 14:3 | 227:11 228:5,6 |
| artistic 43:8,13 | assigned | austria 14:1 | 232:13,14 |
| 61:21 62:14 | 207:15 | author 13:19 | b |
| 68:18 127:4 | assignment | 177:11 | b 105:6 157:5 |
| 154:3,18 155:8 | 202:12,15 | authority | - 213:6 |
| 173:21 | assistance | 151:11 | baby $20: 12$ |
| artistically | 113:17 | authorized | back 13:6 |
| 103:3 | assistant 3:15 | 19:16 81:5 | 14:11 16:15 |
| artists 31:13 | 10:19 81:21 | 151:10 | 20:9 31:4 |
| 38:22 61:20,22 | assume 67:4 | authorizes | 32:11 53:13 |
| 62:6,6,9 90:13 | 160:4 | 40:10 | 54:18 56:2 |
| 94:14 108:2 | ate 82:14 | ava 165:4 | 63:6,8 70:19 |
| 154:15,17,17 | attempt 112:5 | available 94:9 | 72:5,19 79:6 |
| 154:19 155:2,3 | attendance | 235:8 | 116:9 128:17 |
| 155:4,4,6,6,15 | 8:10 | avant 183:22 | 128:19,20 |
| 156:19 157:11 | attendees 2:2 | aviation 60:20 | 129:1 136:8 |
| 157:12,17 | 3:2 20:14 | await 75:10 | 137:19 140:5 |
| 161:13 172:9 | attending | award 4:7 7:12 | 141:3 144:9 |
| 172:15 176:18 | 149:20 | 12:13,16 13:12 | 150:9 156:16 |
| 184:1 191:7 | attention 98:9 | 14:17,19 15:4 | 161:3,4 164:17 |
| 193:18,19,19 | 99:6 150:17 | 15:15 16:16 | 173:14 182:3 |
| 193:19 197:6 | 202:21 212:4 | 159:2 165:15 | 182:17 184:10 |
| 199:3,20 | attorney | awarded 15:10 | 185:21 188:18 |
| 207:16 217:8 | 238:14 239:10 | 19:6 62:1 | 190:12 191:22 |
| 230:16 236:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attracted } \\ & 159: 11,12,12 \end{aligned}$ | awards 159:5 | 194:5 202:21 |


| 2:22 203:13 | becoming | bends 99:1 | bird 104:2,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:19,21 | 123:7 | nefit 30:10 | bison 165:12 |
| 4:1,2 226:11 | bee 162:16 | bernstein 2:7 | 165:17,19 |
| 230:14 234:17 | 180:16 184:16 | 5:20,22 27:1,2 | 174:21 175:1,3 |
| background | beginning | 27:10 29:4,5,6 | 176:22 177:19 |
| 23:22 85:18,21 | 38:19 49:19 | 117:2,2 135:20 | 181:3,6 192:15 |
| 87:1 103:6 | 64:18 156:17 | 135:20 136:1 | 192:17 |
| 106:10 127:8 | 189:16 | 186:20,20 | bit 22:10 23:15 |
| bad 27:22 | beginnings | 205:4,9 217:18 | 25:18 45:4 |
| 43:17 109:19 | 196:17 | 217:21,21 | 47:13 56:10 |
| 145:21 146:10 | behalf 3:18 | best 41:9 43:14 | 77:11 80:12 |
| 184:3 195:12 | 10:22 16:14 | 63:13 97:21 | 89:9 96:7,7,10 |
| 209:11 | 50:4 82:2 | 103:13 107:8 | 103:14,16 |
| badass 175:21 | 83:16 121:7 | 115:7 122:12 | 106:11 116:1 |
| baijal 3:7 9:8 | believe 7:7 | 156:5 216:17 | 120:9 121:21 |
| 9:10 | 26:10 57:5 | 216:17,17 | 130:19 135:8 |
| balance 31:20 | 58:3 67:19 | 238:10 239:6 | 137:21 138:9 |
| 95:17 119:5 | 69:17 72:6,20 | beth 165:13 | 161:12 162:3 |
| bald 87:11 | 73:3 74:14 | better 38:11 | 176:12 178:6 |
| baseball 78:4 | 93:5 105:20 | 39:3 48:8 52:3 | 183:21 192:17 |
| based 112:8 | 119:7 125:12 | 52:9,16 103:13 | 235:19 236:4 |
| 22:1,14 124:7 | 126:9 129:4 | 105:7 109:19 | black 25:18 |
| 1:10 | 187:14 197:22 | 113:1 130:19 | 26:13 39:20 |
| basically | 210:18 212:7 | 147:19 184:19 | blanket 86:2 |
| 18:18 176:1 | 213:9 214:1 | beyond 46:5 | blankets 82:11 |
| basis 43:14 | 219:12 231:2 | biannual | 85:22 86:11 |
| basket 86:21 | bell | 151 | 91:3 110:1 |
| 88:1 | 168:1 188:5 | bias | blatant 36:20 |
| baskets 88:1 | belmonte 3 | big 154: | blazing 171:16 |
| beaten 20:2 | 10:19 81:21 | 170:13 176:19 | blemish 112:2 |
| beautiful 14:18 | 82:19 83:1,7 | 199:14 206:13 | bless 49:21 |
| 0:8 123:22 | 83:11 88:15 | bigger 102:5 | blew 212:20 |
| 4:11 138:1 | 90:20 91:16,18 | 120:6 166:20 | bloated 82:15 |
| 138:12 173:21 | 123:17,19 | biggest 155:7 | block 185:5 |
| 209:9 | 6:8 139:6, | 197:6 | blown 114:15 |
| beckoned | 149:21 | bill 109:7 | board 70:19 |
| 199:22 | bending 104:13 | bios 179:15 | boat 157:21 |


| body 20:4,9,15 | 150:21 203:12 | bronze 15:5,6,9 | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 118:19 | 203:15,1 |  | c 2:1 $3: 1$ |
| body's 234:9 | breakfas | brought 20:9 | 5:1 18: |
| boil 97:12 | 236:15,18 | 91:12 150:17 | 78:17 201:4 |
| boiled 97:21 | breaking 28:21 | brown 35:14 | cabins 86:14 |
| bold 153:20 | 167:6 194:10 | 35:19 60:2,3,8 | call $4: 3,3$ 5:3 |
| 157:10 171:13 | brearley 113:7 | 60:15 | 5:19 8:4 22:19 |
| 207:5 219:18 | breath 208:10 | brutality 40:13 | 50:20 77:19 |
| boldness 170:5 | brendan 3:11 | bucking 175:7 | 104:4 116:19 |
| boneza 3:6 9:5 | 10:2 | 175:9 177:20 | 45:11 |
| book 113:7 | brevity 179:22 | buffalo 165:20 | alled 8:11 |
| 155:4 161:13 | brief 58:6 | 169:10 200:4,5 | 84:14 104:21 |
| 165:3,16 | 107:13,17 | 200:9 209:5 | 197:2 |
| 193:19 | 116:14,15 | buffalos 185:9 | calling 49:18 |
| books 23:20 | briefly 13:6 | 55:11 | camera 51:13 |
| 29:20 38:7 | 16:15 | building 197:8 | campaign 3:13 |
| 7:13,13 165 | bring | built 166:9 | 10:14 21:4,22 |
| border 34:7 | 72:19 91:12,13 | bullion 15:1 | candidate 4:9 |
| born 17:21 | 92:17 100:16 | 166:13 | 4:12,14 7:14 |
| 21:1 | 132:21 141:3 | buried 86: | 7:16,18 17:13 |
| bothered | 161:14 202:21 | bushels 80:16 | 18:2 19:18,18 |
| 111:19 | 202:22 | business 11:9 | 21:13 23:3,7 |
| bottom 118:21 | bringing 17: | 12:11 | 24:17 27:7 |
| bowing 99:2 | 57:22 84:20 | busy 95:12 | 59:12 62:20 |
| bowl 86:11 | 92:18 177:14 | 106:11 109:3,4 | 71:4 75:11 |
| bows 98:22 | 230:12 | 118:10 123:3 | 78:10,12 85:10 |
| box 62:12 | brings 36:14 | 180:15 187:19 | 88:11 128:9 |
| boys 43:8 | :5 166:1 | busyness | 35:18 139:18 |
| braid 105:10 | brining 77:5 | 118:18 126:20 | 50:12 151:18 |
| brainer 211:2 | britain 95:7 | butterflies | 52:17 153:6 |
| 217:6,15 | 194:11 | 187:18 | 203:5 |
| rains 179:17 | broad | butto | candidates |
| branches 102:1 | broader 81:2 | buy 103:1 | 18:9 70:17 |
| 166:7 192:9,9 | 4:19 222 | 176:1,3 209:17 | canvas 127:9 |
| ravery 45:17 | broken | 235 | 164:11 |
| break 44:16 | bronco | buying 213:14 | capacity |
| 63:6 78:16 | 177:20 192:19 |  | 137:11 |
| 128:16 150:1 |  |  |  |


| pe $98: 9$ | 121:17 123:8 | 15:7,22 | 118:2 125:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| capitol 15:11 | carving 159:18 | 38:17 63:4,16 | 49:12 183:19 |
| 15:12 49:18 | 159:18 174:18 | 71:8 73:10 | 190:7 230:10 |
| capozzola 2:10 | case 17:4 21:6 | 128:14 129:13 | 231:14 |
| 6:5,7 31:9,11 | 26:4 92:19 | 150:8 204:3 | certainty |
| 1:12 47:16 | 0:20 132:6 | 211:22 | 230:14 |
| 94:9,10,11 | 148:13,16,18 | cede 179:6 | certificate 15:4 |
| 107:13,15,16 | 177:1 184:21 | celebrate 18 | 238:1 239:1 |
| 125:14,15 | 192:9 195:1,18 | 18:15 80:3 | certify 238:4 |
| 176:16,17 | 216:4 218:12 | 98:1 | 239:2 |
| capture 115:19 | 233:10 | celebra | cetera 31:3 |
| 115:19 | casket 20:10 | 194:1 | 59:4 72:2 |
| captures 41:13 | 24:12 29:17 | celebratin | 194:4,4 |
| 136:22 173:13 | 4:18 35:4,8 | 17:1 | cfa 71:8 211:22 |
| care 42:21 | 67:1,2,7 69:21 | 68:21 69:1 | chain 200:18 |
| 77:10 185:9 | 70:2 | 81:3 102:17 | chains 186:7 |
| career 33:4 | ca | 187:15 | chair 4:8 21:3 |
| ca |  | celeb | 74:6 90:8 |
| caricature | 173:16 | 18:18 | 96:22 126:17 |
| 121:22 | category | celia | 128:4,21 |
| caricatured | caucus 81:1 | 0:19 81:2 | 138:21 147:3 |
|  | 86:18 | 82:21 83:18 | 150:8 161:7 |
| arried 162:1 | caught 182:5 | 149:21 | 225:2 |
| carries 41:17 | 183:18 188:2 | cell 50:2 | chairman 5:2 |
| 65:22 76:10 | cause 19:9 | cements 146 | 6:1,4,8,11,14 |
| 88:1 145:16 | 3:22 44:8 | center 94:16 | 6:17,20 7:1,4,9 |
| 220:13 224:12 | 50:2 80:14 | 96:14 98:20 | 8:6,15,18,21 |
| carry 229:16 | 114:15 144: | 99:7 | 9:2,5,8,11,14 |
| 232:18 | 173:22 176:9 | centric 182:14 | 9:17,21 10:2,6 |
| carrying 80:16 | 198:21 199:6 | 182:15 | 11:16,19 12:2 |
| 86:10 | 200:3 211:14 | century 13:15 | 12:7 16:11 |
| cartoon 108:2 | caveat 130:8 | 17:21,22 18:2 | 24:17,18 25:10 |
| 112:6 | ccac $2: 4,6,21$ | 142:20 154:4 | 25:14,22 26:17 |
| cartooning | 3:10 5:8 7:6,13 | ceremony 7:12 | 26:20 27:11 |
| 107:18 | 7:21 9:19,22 | certain 188:1,1 | 28:15,20 29:2 |
| cartoonish | 11:10 12:19 | certainly 29:9 | 30:1,4 31:8 |
| 96:7 104:21 | 13:13 14:4,4,7 | 39:1 88:19 | 33:7 35:11 |
| 107:3,20 | 14:15,19,20 | 90:18 110:8 | 39:7,13,15 |


| $42: 9,1044: 10$ | $130: 3,12,17$ | $215: 13,22$ | $48: 5,11,14$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $46: 1947: 22$ | $131: 4,7132: 2$ | $216: 4,9,12$ | $49: 1350: 12,13$ |
| $49: 1150: 12,15$ | $132: 11,15$ | $217: 1,4,16,19$ | $50: 1551: 2,7$ |
| $51: 3,852: 15$ | $133: 11,13,16$ | $218: 3,7,18,21$ | $51: 1652: 2,9$ |
| $55: 1256: 6$ | $133: 22134: 3,8$ | $219: 4,8,15,21$ | $52: 12,2153: 4$ |
| $57: 3,1858: 7$ | $134: 12135: 15$ | $220: 3,8,12$ | $53: 6,15,19$ |
| $59: 9,1760: 14$ | $135: 22136: 15$ | $221: 1,4,9,16$ | $54: 17,19,21$ |
| $60: 1861: 5$ | $136: 19138: 15$ | $221: 22222: 11$ | $55: 4,9,14$ |
| $62: 1763: 8$ | $139: 5,11,17,21$ | $222: 19223: 2,5$ | $56: 10,12,15,18$ |
| $64: 1565: 14,21$ | $140: 2,8,11,16$ | $223: 8,13224: 1$ | $57: 4,1958: 13$ |
| $66: 5,1267: 17$ | $140: 19,20$ | $224: 10,12,14$ | $59: 15,18,22$ |
| $69: 12,16,18$ | $141: 5,10,15,21$ | $224: 18225: 16$ | $60: 16,1863: 10$ |
| $71: 2,1372: 6$ | $142: 2,13,17,21$ | $226: 4,15,20$ | $70: 1873: 18$ |
| $72: 11,15,20$ | $143: 4,10,16,19$ | $227: 2,4,8,12$ | $74: 2,3,477: 3,7$ |
| $73: 2,8,12,15$ | $144: 1,18,21$ | $227: 17228: 1,7$ | chamberlain's |
| $73: 17,19,22$ | $145: 4,10,15,22$ | $228: 9,12,15,18$ | $38: 5$ |
| $74: 1275: 7,15$ | $146: 12148: 2$ | $228: 22229: 3,7$ | chamberlin |
| $75: 2076: 3,9$ | $149: 15150: 9$ | $229: 13230: 7$ | $22: 2$ |
| $76: 2078: 7,18$ | $153: 7,15,17$ | $231: 6232: 2,8$ | chance $115: 11$ |
| $78: 2079: 5,12$ | $155: 14161: 4$ | $232: 10,15,17$ | $115: 14$ |
| $79: 1788: 11,12$ | $166: 21169: 21$ | $232: 21233: 4,7$ | change $125: 7$ |
| $88: 2290: 17$ | $172: 10176: 14$ | $233: 16,19,21$ | $127: 14147: 10$ |
| $91: 14,2192: 4$ | $179: 8,11183: 1$ | $234: 19235: 10$ | changed $21: 11$ |
| $93: 2296: 19$ | $183: 6186: 17$ | $235: 16236: 11$ | $55: 17114: 8,9$ |
| $99: 21,22100: 7$ | $189: 13193: 13$ | $236: 14,19$ | $208: 9$ |
| $102: 11105: 14$ | $195: 5,17196: 4$ | chairperson | changes $119: 6$ |
| $105: 22107: 11$ | $196: 5,7,9,11$ | $2: 67: 6$ | $125: 5,8130: 14$ |
| $108: 5,9,11$ | $196: 13197: 20$ | challenge | $186: 12$ |
| $110: 12114: 10$ | $198: 4,10,15$ | $156: 19$ | changing $95: 3$ |
| $116: 12,15,22$ | $201: 6,16202: 7$ | challenges $31: 6$ | $121: 16,17$ |
| $117: 6,16$ | $203: 1,16,20$ | chamberlain | $122: 8185: 3$ |
| $119: 13,19$ | $204: 2205: 6,10$ | $3: 1310: 13$ | chapter $39: 22$ |
| $120: 16122: 17$ | $206: 3,18$ | $21: 2122: 3,5,7$ | character |
| $123: 16124: 13$ | $207: 10208: 14$ | $23: 224: 19$ | $184: 7214: 17$ |
| $124: 17,19$ | $210: 6,11,15,17$ | $30: 1131: 14$ | charge $35: 15$ |
| $125: 10126: 2,7$ | $211: 5,7212: 5$ | $33: 1136: 5$ | $90: 12$ |
| $126: 11128: 5$ | $212: 9,18214: 3$ | $39: 2041: 2$ | check $62: 12$ |
| $128: 22129: 10$ | $214: 5,8,13$ | $47: 14,2048: 3$ | $170: 21230: 19$ |


| cheer 13:1 | 31:12 94:8,11 | classical 174:4 | 97:22 98:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chicago 20:3,9 | 176:16 | 213:17 | 99:3,8 100:13 |
| 20:17 | christopher's | clean 113:16 | 102:1,16 103:4 |
| chief 2:22 3:8 | 107:2 | clear 68:2 | 103:22 104:1 |
| 8:15 9:14 | cia 197:8,8 | 70:15 112:16 | 107:6,6 108:1 |
| 84:11 85:18,21 | circles 61:10 | 142:13 179:18 | 109:11 111:2,8 |
| 89:7 98:20 | circling 54:18 | clearly 115:6 | 111:18 112:2 |
| 138:7 | circular 216:2 | 190:20 | 114:1,4 118:1 |
| child | 231:17,18 | clever 29:11 | 119:11 122:16 |
| 55:20 | 234:11 | 188:15 | 127:3,10,12 |
| childhood 54:4 | circulate 114:1 | climate 100:20 | 128:10 137:2 |
| children 171:6 | 114:2 | cloak 184:9 | 138:1,3,4 |
| chiseling 185:5 | circulatin | clods 110:3 | 146:15 150:13 |
| choice 28:2 | 15:1 81:6 | close 41:6 | 150:20,21 |
| 38:5,6 43:10 | 211:18 | 52:17 64:10 | 151:8,15,19 |
| 66:8,14,20 | circumfer | 66:9 68:6 | 152:2,19 153:3 |
| 105:3,13 | 186:2,3 | 69:10 185:16 | 153:12 158:15 |
| 107:21 108:1 | cities 196:1 | 204:19 | 159:2,8,9,15 |
| 110:6 112:17 | citizen 12:12 | closely 32:9 | 162:1,14,16 |
| 117:5 128:3 | citizens 1:15 | 52:20 188:9 | 163:1 165:19 |
| 136:12 209:3 | 14:16 15:14 | closer 48:3,9 | 168:13 170:13 |
| choices 38:11 | 21:14 | closure 183:15 | 173:9 175:7,21 |
| 38:12 44:9 | civil 13:20 19:9 | cloudy 115:11 | 175:22 176:11 |
| 95:7 142:12 | 20:21 21:8 | 115:14 | 181:9 182:2,18 |
| 143:14 | 40:9 157 | clutching 98:9 | 183:21 184:4 |
| choose 159:8,8 | clarify 138:22 | 189:20 | 186:3,4 188:6 |
| 221:20 | clarifying | cob 93:20 | 188:7 193:6 |
| chose | 211 | coffey 60:2 | 95:3 197:16 |
| chris 33:7 | clarity 132:22 | coffin 55:16 | 197:16 198:13 |
| 96:20 98:4 | 134:18 135:8 | coin 4:13,15 | 199:12 200:2 |
| 104:20 107:13 | 198:16 | 7:17,19 8:3 | 201:4,9 203:6 |
| 107:16 114:15 | clark 17:19 | 10:16 17:13,22 | 208:9,20,21 |
| 116:10 125:12 | clash 161:17 | 18:10 34:8 | 209:8,12,17 |
| 125:15 179:9 | clasping | 35:8,21 60:17 | 210:9 211:18 |
| christian 36:18 | 200:17 | 78:11,13 80:1 | 214:1,20 |
| 36 | classes 183:20 | 81:3,6 84:3,16 | 217:15 234:12 |
| christopher | classic 172: | 90:14 92: | coinage 1:1 5:4 |
| 2:10 6:4 31:9 | 177:11 | 94:19 95:13 | 12:12 14:16 |


| 15:1,2,14 | 181:9 183:21 | comfortable | commenters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:14 49:1 | 209:14,18 | 131:9 | 117:8 |
| 154:5 177:16 | colonies 95:6 | comforting | comments 4:8 |
| coincident 26:8 | colonists 82:10 | 44:21 | 11:12 24:20 |
| coincides 81:2 | 82:16 91:5 | comic 155:3 | 26:21 27:12,15 |
| coinnews 8:5 | colorized 209:8 | 161:13 193:19 | 28:16 49:4 |
| coins 15:17 | 209:9 | coming 28:6 | 61:1 66:15 |
| 80:2,7 85:3 | columbia | 62:10 111:14 | 71:17,20 76:19 |
| 101:13 103:8 | 238:21 | 113:16 114:9 | 77:8 85:2 |
| 113:15,18,21 | column 61:9,11 | 144:9 171:16 | 88:18 90:19 |
| 113:22 114:2 | 195:15 | 178:4 198:20 | 91:17,19 94:2 |
| 115:4 119:7 | combat 39:21 | 234:16 | 94:7 98:5 |
| 151:11 159:4 | combination | commanded | 100:1 103:13 |
| 159:13 161:16 | 42:5,6 47:7,9 | 41:19 | 104:7 105:15 |
| 166:13 168:22 | 166:17 200:11 | commands | 111:12 115:15 |
| 176:10 178:19 | 200:12,15,21 | 98:9 99:5 | 119:3 120:22 |
| 181:3,6 207:20 | 209:3 215:15 | commemorate | 122:3,21 |
| 208:18 209:15 | combinations | 80:11 | 123:22 124:14 |
| cold 99:13 | 215:12 | commemorat... | 126:14 148:3 |
| 113:11 | combine 232:6 | 58:16 69:1 | 148:13 155:18 |
| colleagues | combined | commemorat... | 156:5 167:9 |
| 15:18 17:11 | 166:9 | 18:10 | 168:20 186:22 |
| 18:13 170:8 | combining | comment 29:10 | 188:17 189:12 |
| collect 176:10 | 166:7 | 61:6 66:21 | 189:15 193:9 |
| 209:15 | come 48:19,21 | 76:13 105:17 | 201:20 235:12 |
| collected 114:3 | 57:14 72:5 | 107:2,13,17,17 | commissioned |
| collectible 81:6 | 110:16,17 | 116:14 119:1 | 197:7,8 |
| collecting 36:7 | 112:18 135:10 | 120:21 122:8 | committed |
| 71:10 | 140:5 156:20 | 122:19 123:6 | 14:8 |
| collection | 157:10,22 | 123:18 129:7 | committee 1:1 |
| 199:16 | 161:3,4 168:13 | 131:5 133:19 | 5:4,18 11:9 |
| collectively | 195:19 211:20 | 134:9,10,14 | 12:12 14:8,12 |
| 55:21 | comes 36:6 | 137:8 138:18 | 14:17 15:14 |
| collector | 62:2 97:13 | 139:7 144:2 | 16:18,22 18:16 |
| 113:21 165:20 | 109:6 | 202:3 209:10 | 21:14 22:4 |
| 175:22 | comfort 40:17 | 209:19 231:8 | 24:22 27:15 |
| collectors | 126:19 | commentary | 30:9 37:14 |
| 104:1 127:12 |  | 111:1 158:3 | 47:1 49:1 |


| $57: 2158: 2$ | $191: 18192: 16$ | concept $47: 8$ | confused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $60: 162: 19$ | $201: 12$ | $47: 17157: 9$ | $127: 14$ |
| $67: 1068: 7$ | competing | $181: 21,22$ | confusing |
| $81: 1382: 4$ | $109: 14$ | $191: 19$ | $163: 13$ |
| $84: 286: 587: 6$ | completed | concepts | congratulating |
| $88: 592: 794: 5$ | $21: 10$ | $156: 22$ | $15: 21$ |
| $97: 14,17$ | completely | conceptually | congratulatio... |
| $110: 15124: 15$ | $35: 842: 16$ | $24: 4$ | $16: 17172: 9$ |
| $126: 14,20$ | $127: 19167: 18$ | concern $115: 12$ | $236: 6$ |
| $128: 9131: 10$ | completes | $118: 9177: 20$ | congress $19: 3,6$ |
| $135: 8137: 8$ | $185: 3$ | $182: 12191: 14$ | $24: 331: 14$ |
| $146: 10147: 6$ | completion | concerned | $36: 1,237: 17$ |
| $148: 3152: 9$ | $57: 22$ | $103: 11170: 17$ | $37: 2049: 15$ |
| $153: 10176: 18$ | complex | concerns $35: 6$ | $57: 1265: 4,9$ |
| $176: 19187: 11$ | $175: 17$ | $95: 13,17148: 6$ | $72: 2,781: 15$ |
| $190: 6201: 22$ | complexity | $148: 8$ | $86: 687: 18$ |
| $203: 4222: 8$ | $148: 9151: 1$ | concert $215: 21$ | $97: 15137: 9$ |
| $226: 10231: 2$ | complicated | conclude | congression |
| committees | $227: 16$ | $235: 12$ | $50: 4$ |
| $133: 6,10$ | complied $61: 15$ | concluded $77: 1$ | congressional |
| $137: 13139: 1$ | complimentary | $149: 18236: 22$ | $4: 117: 15$ |
| $148: 10$ | $230: 15234: 17$ | $237: 2$ | $10: 1215: 2,10$ |
| common | compliments | concludes | $19: 15,15,19$ |
| $117: 12207: 2$ | $186: 2$ | $24: 1688: 11$ | $25: 226: 336: 6$ |
| $210: 9211: 3$ | component | $153: 6189: 12$ | $36: 837: 17$ |
| $213: 18$ | $36: 15$ | conditional | $49: 7,1462: 21$ |
| communicate | computer $48: 9$ | $89: 14$ | $77: 581: 14$ |
| $31: 21$ | $51: 6,954: 17$ | conditions | $86: 1888: 6$ |
| communities | con $177: 9$ | $85: 17$ | $133: 6138: 22$ |
| $96: 11154: 18$ | conceive | cone $188: 10$ | connected |
| compatible | $157: 12$ | confess $118: 15$ | $41: 12$ |
| $231: 16$ | conceived | confident | connecting |
| compelling | $215: 16,18$ | $16: 13147: 9$ | $29: 19$ |
| $34: 1846: 18$ | $216: 6226: 1$ | confirm $8: 9$ | connection |
| $47: 569: 10$ | $234: 22$ | $72: 1373: 10$ | $29: 2240: 18$ |
| $95: 20115: 1,17$ | concentrate | conflict $44: 3$ | $49: 1060: 9,11$ |
| $117: 19162: 12$ | $163: 8$ | $212: 2$ | $60: 1970: 6$ |
| $189: 17190: 5$ |  |  | $166: 14$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| con | 121:13 | contribu | 103:15 106:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:18 | con | 108: | 106:17 107:5 |
| connects 42:1 | 137:17 | contribution | 118:2,19 119:2 |
| consensus | con | 4:20 110:10 | 119:17 120:6 |
| 208:4 210:7 | 89-12 | contributions | 123:8,13 125:3 |
| 222:12 | consumed | 17:2,9 80:3 | 125:18 129:5 |
| consider 14:11 | 194:22 | 98:2 116:5 | 138:8 |
| :15,16 | cont'd | conventio | cooper's 87:14 |
| 140:10,12 | contain | 163:10 234:14 | 109:15 122:1 |
| 151:2 155:22 | contain | conversation | 125:4 |
| 02:9 206:22 | contemporar | 3:2 121:16 | copper 84:9 |
| 08:17 218:1 | 157:8 | 140:21 179:7 | corn 80:17,20 |
| 9:9 226:9 | content | convey 101:14 | 82:12,14,15 |
| 229:21 230:4 | context 115: | conveyed 96:14 | 85:14 86:11,14 |
| consideration | 116:4 127:20 | 181:14 | 86:21,22 87:2 |
| 27:14 49:5 | 28:2 131:14 | conveys 95: | 88:1 91:4,8 |
| 76:19 78:9 | 173:1 230:5,5 | 95:10 96:1,6 | 92:16,18,20 |
| 94:4 101:18 | contextualizes | 106:12 110:1 | 93:6,16,17,19 |
| 148:15 155:20 | 115:2 | convinced | 93:20 98:8,12 |
| 189:3 226:11 | continent 9 | 116 | 104:3,5 109:11 |
| 230:9 | conti | convinci | 110:2,7 111:4 |
| considered | 1:1 86:11 | 131:18 | 125:2,3 144:14 |
| 10 130:10 | 817 118:3 | cook 82: | cornelius 3:14 |
| 174:5 193:22 | continue 31:7 | 127 | 0:16 |
| 211:14 217:8 | 150:2 198:18 | cool 111 | 81:18 82:5,6,7 |
| 23 | continued 81:1 | 119:18 160: | 88:15 90:16,19 |
| considerin | continues | 160:18 168: | 90:21,22 91:15 |
| 150:22 | 18:21 | 170:19 | 93:10,11,12,13 |
| consistent | continuing | cooper 10:19 | 93:19 122:18 |
| 113:18 158:21 | 45:15 46:8,16 | 17:14 80:13,18 | 122:20 126:7 |
| 159:16 160 | 188:4 | 80:22 81:20 | 126:10 129:3,6 |
| consistently | contract 61:22 | 82:7,11 84:20 | 29:8 138:17 |
| 15:15 | contracts 62:1 | 85:14 86:10,20 | 138:19 149:21 |
| constantly | 62:2 | 87:11,22 89:7 | corner 105:10 |
| 185:3,4 | contradict | 89:13,16 90:3 | corps 18:11 |
| consu | 147:14 | 91:3,8 95:10 | correct 25:11 |
| consultation | contrast 98:18 | 95:18 98:13 | 71:3 75:12 |
| 21:21 89:10 | 135:2 | 99:4 101:7 | 93:7,12,15 |


| 105:17 109:22 | course 33:4,4 | criminal | danced 201:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132:20 143:7 | 49:2 69:5 | 187:13 194:1,7 | dandelion |
| 185:16 205:10 | 73:12 133:5 | 194:12,15 | 175:15 191:10 |
| 215:19 216:8 | 165:21 171:5 | 196:21 197:18 | dandelions |
| 225:10,20 | court 77:18 | cringing | 162:11,19 |
| 226:3 | 201:16 | 160:10,11,15 | darker 18:17 |
| corresponding | courthouse | criteria 62:14 | darkest 26:5 |
| 151:20 152:3 | 24:8 | critical 13:3 | data 62:871:10 |
| 152:22 192:21 | cousin 50:3 | critique 107:20 | date 71:5 143:5 |
| 225:18 226:5 | 54:13 | cross 40:21 | 143:6 |
| costello 9:11 | cover 165:16 | crowding | dated 238:17 |
| 61:2 | coverage | 182:11 190:18 | 239:13 |
| counsel 3:9,9 | 136:21 | crown 225:12 | daughter 165:4 |
| 3:15 9:22,22 | covered 85:15 | crucial 41:3 | 188:22 191:22 |
| 10:20 63:4,16 | covering 41:21 | crucifix 36:21 | 192:6 |
| 81:21 97:3 | crafted 164:19 | crux 33:16 | david 113:7 |
| 128:14 129:13 | crashing 169:3 | cry $74: 7$ | day 5:6 49:18 |
| 203:9 204:3 | cream 188:10 | cues 101:15 | 155:22 190:10 |
| 238:11,14 | create 60:4 | culture 31:3 | 225:14 |
| 239:7,10 | 184:17 195:15 | 89:19 173:9,12 | days 20:4 |
| count 145:11 | created 164:16 | cup 67:2 | 38:20 |
| 228:13 | creates 80:18 | curator 2:67:6 | deal 61:16 |
| counterparts | 199:19 | current 109:8 | 177:7 |
| 230:22 | creative 99:15 | curtain 31:5 | dean 2:21 4:7 |
| countless 45:13 | 99:20 157:10 | customary | 7:13 12:13 |
| country 18:20 | 179:2 | 23:6 | 13:1 16:11 |
| 20:16 21:2 | creatively | cut 129:4 | death 21:10 |
| 22:15 31:6 | 115:8 | cute 168:2 | 22:12 35:2,4 |
| 68:15 165:21 | creator 87:14 | cycle 23:6 62:6 | 41:11 54:6 |
| 190:21 | 165:6 | 62:6 | 60:9 232:11 |
| couple 118:6 | creators | d | debate 64:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 167: 9174: 14 \\ & 176 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | 193:16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:16 76:5 } \\ & 136: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| courage 20:11 | 154:21 155:11 | d.c. 1: | debunk |
| 40:15 41:14 | cried 188:6 | daily $22: 19$ | 111:14 |
| 42:8 59:2 | crier 74:9 | dance 201:14 | decades 17:20 |
| courageous | crime 19:6 |  | december |
| 19:8 20:22 | 21:11 |  | 13:13 |


| decent 231:17 | 149:21 | depicting | 88:21 93:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| decide 163:10 | denise's 91:19 | 219:10 | 121:2,3 126:4 |
| deciding | dennis 2:18 | depiction 23:15 | 126:5 137:3 |
| 130:22 | 6:17 11:15 | 80:8 84:14 | 149:21 |
| decision 122:13 | 25:4,5,22 | 86:7 87:7,19 | design 2:22 3:3 |
| 134:21 137:4 | 39:14 42:10 | 88:8 89:5 | 3:4,5,6,7 8:16 |
| 195:3,9 | 56:7,7 57:3 | 177:11 190:22 | 8:19,22 9:2,5,8 |
| decisions 199:7 | 59:4 65:15 | 191:5 192:5,6 | 9:12 13:3 |
| deck 190:17 | 69:17,19 75:17 | 202:16 | 14:22 18:9 |
| deco 188:2 | 75:18 76:1,4 | depictions | 23:10,12,17,20 |
| dedication 14:3 | 96:21 97:1 | 121:18 151:13 | 24:4,9,11,16 |
| deepest 17:17 | 99:22 104:20 | depicts 24:11 | 26:9 29:6,8,13 |
| deeply 32:3 | 111:1 114:18 | 85:14 87:11 | 31:4,20 32:14 |
| default 218:11 | 116:11 126:15 | 90:5 124:4 | 34:7,22 38:3 |
| 218:15 231:3 | 126:16 128:5 | depth 40:18 | 40:16 41:4,8,9 |
| 233:10,12 | 131:5,8 132:13 | depths 21:1 | 41:13 42:16 |
| 234:1 | 139:13,15 | deputy 3:19 | 43:2,8,10 44:8 |
| defender 20:17 | 142:7 143:21 | 8:12 12:15,17 | 46:5 47:8,17 |
| defer 119:8 | 146:19 161:6 | 13:8,10 | 50:7 63:22 |
| deferring | 166:21 167:3 | descendants | 64:2,9 67:15 |
| 119:8 | 169:15 214:10 | 36:9 89:13 | 67:16 74:18 |
| define 19:5 | 214:13,15 | 102:8 | 75:6,9,10,11 |
| definitely | 217:17 225:1,3 | describe | 76:15,16,18 |
| 95:16 180:21 | 227:7 230:1 | 104:19 | 80:10 81:10 |
| 199:4 | 232:21 | described 42:3 | 85:13,14 86:4 |
| degree 100:15 | dennis's 172:18 | 104:20 144:13 | 86:10,13,17 |
| delinquent | denomination | description | 87:5,10,22 |
| 194:3 | 99:15 152:21 | 72:8 181:13,21 | 88:4 91:20 |
| deliver 230:21 | 209:12 | descriptions | 95:14 96:6,11 |
| demanded 20:9 | denominator | 113:9 | 97:16,18 98:3 |
| demarcated | 17:13 | deserters 113:8 | 98:4,16,18 |
| 86:13 | department | desertion | 99:4,9 100:18 |
| demonstrating | 1:9 14:15 | 113:10 | 102:3 103:3,10 |
| 20:10 | department's | deserving | 103:10,12,13 |
| denise 3:17 | 158:18 | 195:2 | 104:6,8,12,14 |
| 10:21 82:1 | depict 152:18 | desiderio 3:17 | 107:8 109:12 |
| 83:15 123:20 | depicted 171:5 | 10:21 82:1,20 | 109:14 111:10 |
| 124:2 139:10 |  | 83:18 88:15,17 | 111:11 113:12 |


| $115: 1,9,17$ | $192: 1,4,19$ | $31: 1333: 12,15$ | $189: 2190: 4,6$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $116: 7,19$ | $195: 10198: 11$ | $33: 1934: 12,15$ | $191: 12,14,18$ |
| $117: 20118: 9$ | $201: 5202: 20$ | $35: 8,936: 11$ | $194: 13198: 13$ |
| $118: 10,17$ | $204: 10,13,13$ | $37: 8,9,10$ | $203: 5207: 1,5$ |
| $119: 6120: 10$ | $204: 14,14,15$ | $38: 1342: 16$ | $215: 7225: 7$ |
| $121: 10122: 4$ | $205: 7,12,13,13$ | $43: 3,1159: 12$ | $229: 20230: 21$ |
| $124: 4,22$ | $205: 14,14,15$ | $61: 2062: 13,20$ | $235: 22$ |
| $126: 21128: 3$ | $205: 15,16,16$ | $64: 1267: 10,12$ | desired $47: 11$ |
| $129: 16130: 9,9$ | $205: 17,17,18$ | $70: 2171: 4,5$ | despite $41: 19$ |
| $130: 13,14,14$ | $205: 19,19,20$ | $72: 13,1975: 3$ | detail $103: 22$ |
| $130: 18131: 11$ | $205: 20,21,21$ | $75: 3,1178: 10$ | $121: 5126: 22$ |
| $131: 12132: 1,8$ | $205: 22,22$ | $78: 1281: 9$ | $127: 5,10$ |
| $133: 1,2135: 3$ | $206: 1,1207: 3$ | $84: 385: 10$ | $180: 15182: 11$ |
| $135: 18139: 18$ | $207: 6208: 9$ | $88: 10,11,19$ | determination |
| $142: 8,14,14,18$ | $209: 9210: 8$ | $92: 896: 17$ | $28: 1262: 4$ |
| $146: 10152: 5,9$ | $211: 17,19$ | $97: 10,18,21$ | determine |
| $152: 14154: 13$ | $213: 16215: 21$ | $99: 17100: 13$ | $21: 15$ |
| $155: 1158: 21$ | $219: 19231: 19$ | $100: 15101: 5$ | determining |
| $159: 2,10162: 2$ | $234: 14,18$ | $101: 12102: 7$ | $62: 9$ |
| $163: 8,11,14$ | design's $109: 17$ | $103: 2105: 7$ | detract $102: 3$ |
| $164: 3165: 7,14$ | designed | $106: 20107: 1$ | detracts $167: 7$ |
| $165: 21167: 3$ | $145: 20217: 7$ | $114: 18124: 1$ | devastated |
| $167: 12168: 4,6$ | $217: 14$ | $124: 11128: 9$ | $70: 8$ |
| $168: 8170: 16$ | designer $120: 1$ | $130: 16132: 9$ | develop $215: 20$ |
| $171: 11172: 20$ | $120: 5$ | $133: 4,8136: 8$ | $230: 15$ |
| $173: 16,21$ | designers | $141: 3149: 9$ | developed |
| $174: 8,16,21$ | $100: 11179: 15$ | $150: 12,18,20$ | $21: 20$ |
| $175: 10,21$ | $193: 16$ | $151: 12,18,19$ | development |
| $176: 11177: 5$ | designing | $152: 1,3,4,8,18$ | $15: 1721: 16$ |
| $177: 18178: 8$ | $37: 14$ | $153: 2,6,11$ | $80: 5$ |
| $180: 1,14$ | designs $4: 9,12$ | $156: 2,4,20$ | died $19: 11$ |
| $181: 14183: 14$ | $4: 147: 14,16$ | $158: 4,8161: 13$ | diff $154: 18$ |
| $186: 1,5,11,21$ | $7: 1817: 4,14$ | $163: 21164: 13$ | different $22: 13$ |
| $187: 2,5,17,20$ | $18: 319: 18$ | $164: 15171: 13$ | $22: 1633: 1$ |
| $188: 3,4,8,10$ | $21: 14,18,20$ | $172: 14179: 14$ | $67: 568: 12$ |
| $188: 12,16$ | $23: 4,4,7,18$ | $182: 7,18,18$ | $76: 17116: 5$ |
| $189: 4,6,8,9,17$ | $24: 1725: 1,7$ | $183: 18186: 22$ | $122: 22132: 8$ |
| $191: 12,22$ | $27: 3,730: 10$ | $187: 19188: 21$ | $154: 16155: 5$ |


| 158:9 161:10 | disciplines | 72:4 118:7 | 207:18 209:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161:12,14 | 154:16 | 206:17 | dollar 7:17 |
| 165:9 177:13 | disconnected | disenfranchis... | 80:9 102:22 |
| 183:12,18,20 | 41:11 | 196:18 197:1 | 103:1,5,9 |
| 197:3 206:22 | discontent | disgusting | 105:19 127:1 |
| 210:2 215:4 | 196:18 | 70:11 | 127:10 167:16 |
| differentiated | discourage | disliked 222:7 | 188:19 202:12 |
| 45:15 68:21 | 141:11 | disney 184:7 | 211:15,21 |
| differently | discovered | display 124:8 | dominant |
| 210:4 | 20:4 | displays 23:20 | 206:21 |
| difficult 28:10 | discuss 210:12 | displeasure | don 160:13 |
| 30:7 33:13 | 221:7,11 | 148:4 | donald 2:16 |
| 34:19 148:19 | discussed | disrespect | 6:14 35:12 |
| difficulties | 55:15 111:19 | 121:20 | 40:22 65:1,15 |
| 63:11 77:9 | 178:21 179:5 | disruptive | 110:13 116:3 |
| difficulty 50:17 | 232:11 | 194:4 | 127:11 147:7 |
| 148:20 | discussion 4:9 | dissatisfaction | 153:15,18 |
| digital 238:8 | 4:12,14 7:14 | 71:21 | 154:11 155:11 |
| 239:3 | 7:16,18 25:3 | dissect 174:3 | 156:8 161:5,8 |
| dignified 41:9 | 47:13 57:6 | distance 92:17 | 162:3 183:17 |
| 107:6 | 64:16 65:16 | distill 90:13 | 198:5,17 |
| diluted 36:20 | 66:1,3 69:15 | distinct 150:20 | 201:17 208:14 |
| direct 113:13 | 70:22 73:4,6 | 175:11 197:14 | 223:22 224:1 |
| direction 46:15 | 76:22 92:9 | 214:17 | 234:19 235:18 |
| 148:18 154:7 | 128:7 136:3 | distinguished | dorky 104:9 |
| 157:21 235:22 | 140:1,3,15 | 45:10 | dorm 175:20 |
| director 2:3 | 142:9 144:22 | district 238:21 | doth 180:15 |
| 3:19 8:12 9:18 | 145:17 148:22 | diverse 193:16 | double 170:20 |
| 12:15,18 13:8 | 149:10,17 | diversity 170:6 | doubles 163:9 |
| 13:10 18:5,6 | 150:11 153:12 | divide 227:15 | doubt 123:12 |
| dirt 110:2 | 163:11 166:20 | documents | dove 184:2 |
| disagree | 195:21 206:6,7 | 11:12 | dr 1:4 2:8,10 |
| 146:16,20 | 207:9 208:4 | doing 22:22 | 2:21 3:13 6:1,3 |
| 174:16 | 211:11 212:12 | 37:21 56:1 | 6:4,7 10:12 |
| disagreement | 214:9 222:6 | 63:13 115:2 | 12:13,16 13:14 |
| 216:20 | 224:5 225:11 | 127:20 158:6 | 14:3,7,13 15:8 |
| disappointme... | discussions | 172:15,16 | 15:15,15,21 |
| 134:15 | 58:11 64:22 | 197:11 206:22 | 16:4 21:21 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2:2,3,5 23:1 \& draw 212:3 \& 182:9,15 \& effect 120:15 <br>
\hline 24:19 30:3,4,6 \& drawing 70:19 \& 185:18 186:3,6 \& 232:3 <br>
\hline 30:11 31:8,9 \& 96:13 121:21 \& 190:2 200:17 \& effective <br>
\hline 31:11,13 33:10 \& 181:16 \& 200:19 208:22 \& 180:11 <br>
\hline 35:14,19 36:4 \& drawn 32:1 \& 209:1,6,8,20 \& efficient 92:16 <br>
\hline 38:5 39:11,20 \& 42:16 44:15 \& 209:21 211:1,3 \& effort 74:7 <br>
\hline 41:1 47:14,15 \& 45:2 46:21 \& 215:3 216:6 \& 101:16 178:13 <br>
\hline 47:20 48:2,5 \& 117:21 168:15 \& 225:10 231:13 \& egyptian <br>
\hline 48:11,14 49:13 \& 172:17 184:10 \& 231:21 234:12 \& 167:19 188:1 <br>
\hline 50:12,13,15 \& 184:10 187:22 \& eagle's 169:1 \& 189:8 <br>
\hline 51:2,7,16 52:2 \& 190:13 191:6 \& 169:18 216:3 \& eight 204:18 <br>
\hline 52:9,12,21 \& dress 182:1 \& eagles 96:8 \& 205:6,8,16,21 <br>
\hline 53:4,6,15,19 \& drew 20:13 \& 160:5,6 166:13 \& 205:22 <br>
\hline 54:16,19,21 \& 96:7 \& 176:6,7 186:5 \& either 17:1 <br>
\hline 55:4,9,14 56:9 \& driving 154:20 \& 186:7 231:20 \& 43:4 65:12 <br>
\hline 56:12,15,18 \& drove 28:11 \& eaglet 166:11 \& 109:1 174:12 <br>
\hline 57:4,18 58:5,8 \& dual 21:5 \& 182:9 \& 192:8 193:6 <br>
\hline 58:13 59:15,18 \& duly 238:5 \& ear 86:14,22 \& 195:14,22 <br>
\hline 59:22 60:16,18 \& duplicate 15:9 \& 92:17,18,20 \& 209:21 214:20 <br>
\hline 63:10 70:17 \& durham 199:17 \& 98:8,12 104:3 \& 220:19 <br>
\hline 73:16,17 74:2 \& dynamic \& 144:14 \& electronically <br>
\hline 74:3,4 77:2,7 \& 115:16 125 \& earlier 58: \& 63:2 128:13 <br>
\hline 94:8,10 106:2 \& 93:3 \& 83:21 105:17 \& 203:8 <br>
\hline 106:4,5 107:15 \& e \& 107:17 192:9 \& elegance 29:7 <br>
\hline 125:14 132:4 \& e 2:1,1 3:1,1 \& 192:19 \& 47:8 117:21 <br>
\hline 132:12 136:18 \& $$
4: 15: 1,1 \quad 27: 21
$$ \& ears 85:14 93:6 \& elegant 187:3 <br>
\hline 147:3 170:1,2 \& 152:20 \& 93:17,19 \& 188:9

96.16 <br>
\hline 172:1,10 \& eagle 87:11,12 \& earthshakin \& element 96:16 <br>
\hline 176:16,17 \& 91:8,10 96:11 \& 158:13 \& 115:9 216:2 <br>
\hline 193:12,14 \& 107:5 109:15 \& eastern 162:22 \& elements 85:16 <br>
\hline 194:14 196:15 \& 115:20 120:19 \& eaton 197:9 \& 109:14 118:10 <br>
\hline 197:5 206:10 \& , \& echoing 82:13 \& 120:10 178:5 <br>
\hline 217:5 226:19 \& 152:18 162:20 \& edge 34:9 \& 187:12 <br>
\hline 235:15 \& \& 37:20 \& elevate 120:5 <br>
\hline dragon 162:22 \& 168:18 169:9 \& edit 23:13 24:9 \& elicits 30:20 <br>

\hline dramatically \& $$
169: 10,13,14
$$ \& editor 8:4,5 \& ely <br>

\hline 98:8 128:2 \& 176:5,7 179:3 \& edits 21:18 \& embod <br>
\hline \& \& \& 90:11 99:10 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| embrace 47:2 | empathy 30:16 | engaged 89:10 | 190:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| embraced | 30:20 | 90:4 | essence 234:13 |
| 23:11 40:20 | emphasis 67:13 | engagement | essentially |
| embraces | 101:7 102:2 | 178:3 | 192:5 226:4 |
| 9:16 | 18:1 | engaging 47:5 | estimate 72:12 |
| embracing | emphasize | 47:10 83:21 | et 31:3 59:4 |
| 30:14 | 61:12 101:8 | 192:4 | 72:2 194:4,4 |
| emmett 3:13 | 102:7 107:17 | engraver 3:8 | evaluating |
| 4:10 7:15 | 126:19 | 9:15 | 125:2,3 |
| 10:11,13 18:19 | emphasized | engraving 9:12 | evaluation 62:4 |
| 19:2,14,19 | 46:1 98:11 | enjoy 181:11 | evans 3:5 9:2,4 |
| 20:1 21:4,5,22 | emphasizes | enjoyable | 150:15 |
| 22:1,12,20 | 91:3 100:19,20 | 182:20 | evenings |
| 23:5,10,14,16 | emphasizing | enjoyed 121:16 | 190:14 |
| 24:12,14 25:1 | 42:4 138:6 | enjoying | event 31:18 |
| 29:12,20 40:7 | employed | 190:15 | 34:20 105:20 |
| 40:14 41:10 | 238:11,14 | enlarge 120:8 | 195:11 231:3 |
| 42:1 43:5 | 239:8,11 | ensure 21:7 | events 18:20 |
| 47:11 50:8 | employee | enthused 34:12 | everybody |
| 53:22 54:4,10 | 238:13 239:10 | 102:4 | 54:14 109:2 |
| 56:11,16 58:16 | encapsulated | enthusiasm | 115:15 136:6 |
| 62:21 70:12 | 161:9 | 14:6 201:2 | 198:21 216:15 |
| emmett's 20:8 | encompass | enthusiast | 234:21 236:5 |
| 20:13,15,22 | 72:10 | 60:20 | everybody's |
| 42:3,7 53:22 | encounter | entirety 99:3 | 197:13 200:4 |
| 60:7 | 95:22 | environment | everyday 192:5 |
| emotion 40:18 | encourage 39:4 | 85:17 | everyone's |
| 41:7,10 95:11 | 40:11 46:8 | envision 173:4 | 79:16 164:2 |
| emotional 40:5 | 68:7 | equally 26:12 | evidence 32:9 |
| 46:7 68:22 | encouraged | era 21:8 | evoke 180:21 |
| 69:9 70:5 | 202:17 | erica 49:21 | evokes 180:18 |
| 157:6,7 | encouraging | err 179:21 | evolved 164:7 |
| emotionally | 8:15 | es 238:4 | 197:2 |
| 45:1 192:3 | endorse 183:17 | especially | exact 44:18 |
| emotions 34:19 | enduring 181:9 | 44:20 123:2 | exactly $72: 16$ |
| 69:3 | energy 161:18 | 132:12 148:13 | 112:20,20 |
| emotive 199:19 | 165:2,18 178:2 | 148:14,17 | 142:7 212:3 |
|  | 199:19 | 156:21 176:2 |  |


| examining | experience | 191:8 | 170:6 172:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94:14 | 83:20 84:4,10 | exquisite 166:3 | 182:17 184:8 |
| example 24:7 | 84:10 121:7 | extend 100:10 | 185:17 197:8 |
| 25:17 37:11 | experienced | extending | 231:15 |
| 127:1 161:20 | 45:13 | 56:13 | factors 94:15 |
| excellent 28:8 | experimental | extent 32:5 | failed 142:2 |
| 162:15 181:15 | 166:18 234:21 | 67:13 | fairly $188: 8,8$ |
| excellently | 234:22 235:7 | extreme 179:13 | falcon 104:2 |
| 181:15 | expert 86:9 | extremely 14:8 | fall $37: 8$ |
| except 200:9 | 87:21 88:9 | eye 13:3 20:6 | falling 99:16 |
| exchange 89:18 | expertise 138:3 | 112:3 173:16 | familiar 32:4 |
| 89:19 | experts 87:9 | 187:20 188:3 | 96:8 101:2 |
| excited 13:11 | explanation | 189:8 | 115:10 162:6 |
| 176:4,8,11 | 25:21 29:21 | eyebrows | family $17: 10$ |
| exciting 33:12 | 70:4 | 104:22 | 21:22 22:9,13 |
| 112:13 165:18 | explicitly 32:4 | eyes 164:19 | 22:14 32:7 |
| excuse 11:20 | explore 202:18 | f | 33:5 35:7 |
| 75:21 93:13 | explosion | face 18:16 | 47:17 48:20,21 |
| 130:9 176:22 | 98:11 | race 23.1441 .22 | 54:855:21 |
| 184:6 | exposed 21:1 | $42 \cdot 944 \cdot 1$ | 57:15 58:14 |
| executed 182:1 | exposition | 45:12 54:5,7 | 60:10 70:18 |
| exemplifies | 196:15 | $55: 18 \text { 102:5 }$ | 71:9 72:5,13 |
| 33:20 | express 34:19 | 104:10 109:6 | 77:4 82:8 |
| exercised 62:10 | 70:10,11 77:2 | $109: 10,15$ | 166:12 |
| exhibit 89:6 | 149:19 179:13 | 168:15 170:14 | family's 10:18 |
| existing 21:18 | expressed 47:1 | 184:11 | 28:2 32:3 |
| exists 89:7 90:1 | 97:16,18 | faced 31:6 | 72:14 81:19 |
| expand 56:10 | 179:20 191:20 | facial $76: 17$ | famous 196:17 |
| 127:7 | 192:16 | 104:18 105:4, | fan 170:14 |
| expanded | expresses | facing $40: 19$ | fantastic 188:3 |
| 230:5 233:13 | 28:11 | $104: 15$ | far 19:11 46:5 |
| expanding | expression | fact $36: 838: 12$ | 54:14 55:10 |
| 154:15 | 76:17 104:9,16 |  | 57:13 103:11 |
| expect 109:18 | 105:5 167:11 | 95:18 101:6 | 111:12 122:1 |
| expecting 67:6 | 191:15 196:22 | 102:4,8 124:7 | 142:11 170:17 |
| expensive | expressions | $127: 11 \quad 148: 20$ | 182:3 201:11 |
| 158:16 209:18 | 34:2 104:19 | 150.20155 .20 | fasces 156:22 |
| 235:7,8 | 105:4 122:2 | 150.20155 .20 |  |


| fascinating | feed $80: 17$ | fighter 171:16 | 49:13 53:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :21 153:20 | feedback 27:21 | fighting 33:18 | 59:12 60:5 |
| fashioned | 55:2,5 63:20 | figure 31:20 | 66:21 67:20 |
| 165:1 | 71:12 77:21 | 99:7 131:2 | 68:11 80:8 |
| favor $12: 4$ | 79:20 81:9 | figures 26:13 | 84:3,17,17,21 |
| 65:19 76:7 | 108:8,21 146:6 | 98:20 99:7 | 85:13 94:16 |
| 105:12 136:2 | feedback's | 111:14 127:7 | 102:21 103:19 |
| 141:19 145:2 | 55:5 | fill 61:13 | 103:20 104:5 |
| 145:12 220:10 | feeding 182:9 | final 235:12 | 106:6 121:12 |
| 224:8 227:9 | feel $16: 318: 12$ | finally 5:13 | 121:15 123:9 |
| 228:3,15 229:4 | 39:2 41:1 | 10:2,8 153:6 | 124:5,6 133:19 |
| 229:7 232:13 | 46:13 83:22 | 192:14 | 134:11 136:18 |
| favorite 29:16 | 96:14 108:3 | financially | 137:17 140:12 |
| 43:20 165:6 | 113:20 115:18 | 238:15 239:11 | 151:18 152:4 |
| 167:8 169:8,20 | 116:3,8 136:22 | find $47: 4,8$ | 158:1,3 164:2 |
| 170:16 171:12 | 147:13,18 | 60:20 95:9,19 | 170:4 181:2 |
| 181:12 182:8 | 148:16 173:19 | 104:11 115:20 | 195:18 201:11 |
| 182:13 184:13 | 175:2,6 181:4 | 117:18,20 | 206:6 207:13 |
| 184:21 185:1 | 181:15 198:22 | 119:5 160:1,1 | 210:12 214:13 |
| 186:10 | 207:14 215:7 | 178:19 190:4 | 219:5,7 221:8 |
| favorites 169:7 | feeling 180:18 | 190:13 191:8 | fist 23:22 $24: 10$ |
| 181:1 | 180:22 | 191:17 192:1 | 38:9 43:22 |
| fdr 13:21 | feelings 40:6 | 192:11 193:5 | 189:20 |
| feathers 109:19 | 48:22 | 224:21 | fistful 192:8,10 |
| 185:18,22 | feels 57:15 | findings 40:10 | fists 41:14 |
| 225:12 | 70:18 175:8 | fine 28:2 $29: 18$ | fit 174:10 |
| feature $23: 18$ | fellow 80:22 | 73:22 103:17 | fits 178:8 |
| 85:11 151:12 | felt 67:9 69:3,4 | 151:16 152:21 | fitting 46:2 |
| featured | 115:17 188:7 | 163:17,17 | five 16:9 27:16 |
| 165:16 | 189:17 | 196:3 220:7 | 35:14,20 39:17 |
| features 23:10 | fence 167: | 222:2 | 63:6 79:3,4,6 |
| 53:9 | ferries 190:19 | finished 28:22 | 79:13 94:5 |
| featuring | ferry 190:18 | first 5:6 11:8 | 128:16 129:18 |
| 188:22 | field 26:10 | 17:15 18:4,6,7 | 129:18 156:3 |
| february $4: 5$ | fields 25:19 | 19:4,13 22:5 | 203:12 205:15 |
| 7:11 11:11 | 26:4 | 27:20 39:1,20 | 205:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { federal } \\ \\ \hline 1 \cdot 6 \end{gathered}$ | fight $24: 135: 1$ | $43: 3,1045: 7$ | fix 77:20 |


| ed 231:14 | forefront 123:7 | forth 47:2 | framed 15:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g 178:1 | foregoing | 84:17 | 34 |
| flagging 107:21 | 238:3,4 239:4 | fortunate 22:2 | framing 118:19 |
| flavor 188:1,2 | foreground | 81:17 217:12 | frankly 118:17 |
| flipping 163:18 | 86:13 109:4 | forward 11:2 | 119:12 |
| floor 217:22 | 120:7 | 18:14 23:13 | free 16:3 |
| flourishing | forge 17:19 | 27:6 73:4 | freedom 171:3 |
| 162:12 | 80:11,16 85:17 | 91:12 99:1 | 188:6 200:18 |
| flower 213:12 | 86:3,16 87:4 | 104:15 121:9 | 200:20 |
| flowing 188:19 | 87:16 88:3 | 130:19 142:12 | french 164:18 |
| floyd 19:2 | 91:2,5,9 95:11 | 145:21 178:5 | fresh 161:18 |
| flying 185:10 | 96:1,15 99:11 | 184:10 231:5 | 162:4 164:19 |
| focus 34:9 | 99:12 101:2 | fought 33:22 | 179:3 |
| 2:18 98:10,15 | 103:8 109:8 | 181:18 | friendly 141:6 |
| 106:16 111:3 | 110:4,10 113:5 | foul $42: 19$ | 144:3,6,19 |
| 113:2 119:2 | 113:8,14 | found $32: 1,15$ | 219:17,22 |
| 140:7 | 115:21,21 | 34:17 101:22 | 229:22 230:2 |
| focused 16:22 | 124:6 125:20 | 122:7 137:11 | front 63:1 |
| 97:19 172:6 | 128:2 129:5 | 157:16 187:21 | 111:17 128:11 |
| focuses 42:8 | forget 174:21 | 189:5,5,22 | 141:2 203:7 |
| follow 39:12 | forgive 89:2 | 191:11 192: | 230:13 |
| :10 71:7 | forgot 57:16 | foun | frost's 166:12 |
| followed 40:9 | form 40:5 42: | 194:9 | fruition 77:6 |
| 137:9 | 180:6 184:20 | founder 8:4 | frustrated |
| following 8:9 | formal 72:17 | 21:4 154:14 | 109:13 |
| :8 43:20 | 81:12 | founding 81:5 | frustrating |
| 19 | format 111:9 | four 13:22 | 63:13 |
| follows 95:7 | formation | 49:16 50:5 | fulbright 13:22 |
| fond $34: 2$ | 138:14 225:12 | 53:10 64:1,3 | full $14: 1216: 5$ |
| font's 186:11 | formed 84:18 | 129:19 135:3 | 53:22 54:1 |
| fonts 231:15 | 84:21 | 135:13 145:16 | 56:11,14,15,19 |
| food 82:10,17 | former 2:21 | 171:22 204:17 | 68:9 110:9 |
| 85:15 100:13 | 7:12 | 204:22 205:14 | 137:1 189:20 |
| 101:10,17,18 | formidable | 205:20,21,22 | fuller $2: 86: 1,3$ |
| 113:5 115:14 | 19: | 221:15,18 | 23:15 30:3,4,6 |
| foot $80: 16$ | forming 90:6 | fractions 22:13 | 31:8 39 |
| 144:15,15 | forms 99:6 | frame 179:1 | 54:5,7 58:5,8 |
|  | 194:22 |  | 58:10 106:2,4 |


| 106:5 132:4,5 | g | germ | glad 38:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132:12 136:18 | $$ | 169:4 171:20 | glance 103:21 |
| 147:3,4 170:1 |  | 171:22 172:1 | glide 184:8 |
| 170:2,3 172:1 |  | getter 129:21 | globally 194:22 |
| 172:11 193:12 |  | 130:8 205:18 | go $25: 1430: 1$ |
| 193:14,15 |  | 210:21 | 30:17,22 31:1 |
| 194:14 196:15 |  | getters 70:15 | 37:18,22 47:19 |
| 197:5 206:10 |  | 74:21 143:18 | 52:16 53:3 |
| 206:10 217:5 |  | getting 12:20 | 55:1 56:8 |
| 226:19 235:15 |  | 49:15 56:1 | 58:20 59:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fully } 96: 15 \\ & \text { fun } 199: 18,18 \end{aligned}$ | 6:21 7:2 10:20 | 201:2 216:14 | 70:19 72:13 |
|  | 25:3 43:1 75:4 | 216:20 | 77:12 90:15 |
| funeral 20:10 | 1:21 85:22 | giant 115:14 | 100:7 106:6,13 |
| 20:13 | 86:21 92:9 | 120:4 | 106:22 108:16 |
| further 22:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 4 \text { 113:8 } \\ & \text { 187:11,12 } \end{aligned}$ | giants 116:20 | 108:21 109:10 |
| 44:3 58:1 |  | gibson 18:5,6 | 114:22 116:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:16,21 65:16 } \\ & \text { 66:1 69:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $222: 2,12$ | gift 87:2 | 127:12 130:19 |
|  |  | gilded 182:19 | 133:21 134:1 |
| 71:4,17 72:4 | generally | gilkes 8:3 | 136:18 140:13 |
| 72:13 74:18,20 | 172:21 | give 25:20 30:9 | 146:8 156:2,16 |
| 75:10 76:5 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { generation } \\ 182: 10 \end{array}$ | 51:4,22 61:15 | 160:2,17 171:8 |
| 98:11 124:14 | generations | 70:22 110:9 | 171:14,19 |
| 128:7 136:3 | $31: 19 \text { 181:17 }$ | 134:17 135:7 | 179:22 180:3 |
| 142:3 143:1 |  | 136:8 154:6 | 184:17 185:13 |
| 145:17 148:22 | genre 112:12 <br> gentlemen | 157:17,22 | 185:21 194:5 |
| 193:9 201:20 | $15: 20$ | 161:2 186:21 | 194:19,19 |
| 224:5 238:13 | george 19:2 | 196:14 216:16 | 200:1 201:2,10 |
| 239:9 |  | given 62:1 | 204:7,12 206:5 |
| furthermore | 98:18 103:6 | 66:17 70:4 | 207:4,6 209:20 |
|  | 104:9 106:9,15 | 71:20 75:2 | 214:10 217:10 |
|  | 109:5 118:2 | 124:4 132:13 | 217:11 218:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { future } 143: 4,6 \\ 179: 7 \text { 202:13 } \end{gathered}$ | 119:4,16 120:2 | 165:2 206:21 | 220:17 222:8 |
| $\begin{gathered} 230: 11 \\ \text { fuzzy } 93: 14 \end{gathered}$ | 122:4 123:7 | gives 30:15 | 226:11 228:2 |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc} 130: 9 & 144: 15 \\ \text { german } & 168: 22 \\ 179: 3 & \end{array}$ | 40:16 101:1 | 230:14 232:1 |
| fy $14: 10$ |  | 115:4 125:6 | 232:12 |
|  |  | giving 68:11 | god 49:1 |
|  |  | 110:10 154:2 | 114:19 151:19 |
|  |  | 154:10 190:7 |  |


| goes 44:8 | gold 4:11,15 | good 5:2 8:2 | graffiti's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 227:22 228:1 | 7:15,19 10:12 | 13:1 22:7 25:8 | 196:16 |
| going 28:1 $35: 3$ | 15:2,10 19:6 | 32:3 38:22 | grain 92:14 |
| 35:13 37:15 | 19:15,15,20 | 43:6,11,15 | grains 92:16 |
| 38:18 39:17 | 25:2 26:4 | 48:13 52:4 | granite 185:6 |
| 46:12 50:9 | 28:12 36:6,8 | 53:1 55:2 | grateful 49:4 |
| 51:20 53:10 | 49:7,8 54:11 | 74:11 82:6 | 55:22 |
| 62:8 63:21 | 56:3 62:22 | 90:22 96:16 | gratitude 84:8 |
| 66:10 68:8 | 77:5 150:13,20 | 108:9,22 | gravestone |
| 71:19 73:20 | 151:8,11,15,19 | 109:21 122:13 | 29:12 |
| 77:21 85:4 | 152:2,19,21 | 129:10 136:12 | great 13:2,5 |
| 98:4 101:22 | 153:3,11 | 162:8 171:7 | 18:12,15 20:3 |
| 103:22 105:3 | 156:15 158:15 | 185:7 186:11 | 43:11 61:16 |
| 109:17 111:16 | 158:15 159:2,9 | 187:8 199:18 | 62:17 82:8 |
| 112:7,10 113:9 | 159:15 161:11 | 203:20 209:6 | 83:11 85:4 |
| 113:15 114:6,7 | 165:8,11 | 218:10,16,17 | 103:16 104:16 |
| 114:16 117:3 | 166:13,15 | 218:18 231:19 | 110:15 111:10 |
| 136:22 140:17 | 174:3,4,13 | 231:19,22 | 113:14 120:15 |
| 145:8,21 | 176:3 183:17 | 236:20 | 121:6 122:9 |
| 146:15,17,18 | 195:10,22 | gordon 49:21 | 154:17 157:15 |
| 150:8 158:18 | 198:12 200:2 | 50:1 53:8 | 170:4,8,12 |
| 167:12 168:10 | 200:22 203:6 | gotten 202:21 | 177:7 186:12 |
| 168:17,19 | 207:1,3,6 | government | 186:14 194:10 |
| 169:1 172:3 | 208:20 209:4,7 | 3:11 10:3 85:6 | 201:15 206:11 |
| 174:1 176:2 | 209:17 210:9 | 85:6 | 206:12 213:12 |
| 177:4 179:21 | 210:14 211:4 | grab 73:20 | greater 17:15 |
| 183:14,16 | 211:16 213:8 | grace 154:12 | 230:5 |
| 184:1,3 185:8 | 213:11,12 | gradient 26:6,6 | greatest 12:19 |
| 186:14,14 | 214:1 216:16 | graffiti 161:19 | greg 3:9 9:21 |
| 189:15 193:16 | 217:11 218:2 | 166:19 167:14 | 61:6 63:4,9,15 |
| 195:10 196:14 | 218:11,16 | 170:12 173:11 | 63:18 64:16 |
| 198:22,22 | 219:5,6,19 | 180:2,9 183:14 | 128:14 129:2 |
| 204:12 208:11 | 220:9,14 | 187:7,10,13,15 | 129:12 130:4 |
| 209:2 212:4 | 233:12 234:12 | 190:8 191:4 | 134:13 136:6 |
| 213:14 216:21 | 235:3,7 | 193:19 194:5 | 154:13 203:10 |
| 220:18 231:2 | golden 54:11 | 194:18 197:1 | 204:3,5 205:4 |
| 235:20 | 80:2,9 213:12 | 197:14 | 206:4 |


| grief 19:8 | 66:16 67:19 | happens 31:22 | hear 19:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:17 41:21 | 69:17 71:18 | 43:7 | 27:21 32:6 |
| 70:7 | 73:18 74:14 | happy 16:14 | 50:18,22 51:1 |
| ground 169:2 | 92:10 104:2 | 67:12,15 121:1 | 52:6,10,12 |
| groundbreaki... | 111:22 113:17 | 151:3 202:20 | 53:7 55:2,7 |
| 207:15 213:17 | 124:16 125:2,4 | 235:20 | 74:2 79:20 |
| grounded | 125:12 133:14 | harcourt 2:8 | 88:19 100:4,6 |
| 34:21 | 134:19 144:14 | 6:1 30:3,6 39:8 | 108:13,17 |
| group 8:5 | 145:11,12 | 42:14 43:21 | 134:2,4 183:5 |
| 14:10 77:17 | 147:18,20 | 58:3,10 59:10 | 183:7 205:4 |
| 80:13 155:5 | 150:8 178:4 | 106:2,5 132:3 | 212:16,22 |
| 202:11 | 184:10 196:6 | 132:5 136:17 | 213:1 |
| groups 17:1 | 197:22 203:9 | 147:4 170:1,3 | heard 53:7 |
| growing 97:7 | 208:15 210:16 | 193:11,14 | 76:14 114:8 |
| guess 83:21 | 210:18 225:1 | 195:5 206:9,10 | 117:7 148:13 |
| 105:3 109:10 | 228:13,16 | 217:1,3,4 | 153:16 |
| 132:10 156:12 | 229:8,10 231:7 | 226:18 235:14 | hearing 11:2 |
| 173:15 | 236:1 | hard 17:3 | 11:13 12:9 |
| guests | handful 146:16 | 7:21 77:4 | 50:18 61:3 |
| guises 192:13 | 212:7 | 178:10 | 65:18 116:2 |
| guns 171:16 | hand | harlem 60:5 | 128:8 145:1 |
| guys 45:3 | 28:20 | harsh 85:16 | 200:3 201:22 |
| :14 105:3 | handled 148:5 | 99:10,12 102:2 | hearkened |
| 10 121:9 | han | 67: | 88:18 |
| 212:22 223:16 | 161:21 | hat 43:5 | hearkening |
| h | hands | hate 19:5 21:11 | 182:17 |
| habitat 181:8 | 46:10 | hats 43:7,8 | arkens |
| hair 110:5 | 69:9 | hauled | 164:17 |
| 88:19 | :3 85:22 | he | hearts 178 |
| alf 202:12 | 167:8 | head | heft 95: |
|  | hanging 22:10 | 4:5 169: | height 144:17 |
| $222: 4$ | happen 114:17 | 5:17 186 | heights 125:1 |
| amilton | happened | 18:14 225:13 | held 24:12 |
| hanchock | :17,18 5 | 231:1 | helicopt |
| 9:5 | 99.17 | headquarte | 48:18 49:1 |
| hand 25:4 39:8 | happening | 197:10 | hello |
| 59:16,19 65:1 | 111:7 | healing 44:2,4 | help 21:15 32:7 |


| 1:13 64:21 | highlights | 222:21 223:3 | hope 22:7 42:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:2 99:1 | 107:8 | 226:8 227:1,3 | 59:3 87:2 |
| 134:20 | highly 15:18 | 227:6,15,20 | 108:7 149:18 |
| helped 29:14 | 159:5 | 229:18,18 | 193:5 |
| 49:7,21 50:1 | hill 100:4,5 | 232:20 233:22 | hopefully 16:2 |
| 82:16 101:8 | hip 194:9,20 | 234:15 | 22:15 43:22 |
| 127:8 | historic 31:18 | hold 18:4 51:21 | 140:15 |
| helpers 9 | historical | 54:19 57:16 | hoping 22:14 |
| helpful 48:4 | 13:18 95:8 | 82:22 212:19 | 137:1 |
| 71:12 123:22 | 100:19 101:15 | 223:16 | horrific 19:2 |
| 218:9 | 106:7 | holding 23:10 | 34:21 |
| helping 18 | historically | 46:9 85:14 | horror 41:21 |
| 82:9 154:15,22 | 93:1 | 86:20,22 98:8 | 42:7 |
| helps 38:22,22 | history 2:11 | 104:2 105:10 | horrors 21:1 |
| 39:1 | 6:6 13:2,15,16 | 167:20 169:13 | horse 98:22 |
| hereto 238:15 | 13:17 18:17 | 178:4 | horseback 86:1 |
| 239:11 | 31:2 36:10 | home 44:16 | hour 180:16 |
| hesitation | 69:5 80:5 | 80:22 162:18 | house 2:9,12 |
| 162:2 | 94:21 107:10 | 190:12 | 6:2,9 81:14 |
| hey 100:5 | 116:6 119: | homelan | 86:18 88:6 |
| hi $42: 1266$ | hit 100:18 | 89 | housekeeper |
| 83:7 92:13 | hitting 158 | honest 230:20 | 127:21 |
| 107:16 193:14 | hmm 92:3 | honestly 12:21 | hued 80:2 |
| hidden 26:13 | hoard 2:19 | 91:6 | hugely 169:9 |
| high 64:2,8,12 | 6:20,22 11:18 | honor | hugging 40:19 |
| 68:1,2 70:14 | 12:1,1 44:13 | 40:2 80:2 98:1 | human 40:18 |
| 74:21 129:21 | 44:14 67:21,22 | 147:18 | 184:20 |
| 130:8 151:8 | 69:14 71:19 | honorab | humbled 22:17 |
| 158:16 204:15 | 72:9,12,17 | 14:21 | 22:18 |
| 205:18,18 | 114:13,14 | honored 16:16 | hundreds 69:3 |
| 222:9 | 136:20 144:3,8 | 102:19 137:5 | 80:15 92:15 |
| highest 143:18 | 172:12,13 | honoring 14:14 | 190:17 |
| 222:5 | 198:2 206:20 | 7:1,14 18:3 | hunger 113:10 |
| highlight 32:19 | 206:20 211:8,8 | 102:8 | hyperrealism |
| 58:15 85:4 | 212:10,14 | hook 41:2 | 175:14 |
| 90:3 156:4 | 213:6,21 218:6 | 70:10 | hyphen 27:8 |
| highlighting | 21 | hop 194:9,20 | hyphenated |
| 60:19,22 | 220:5 222:1,15 |  | 27:4,6 |



| injustices 44:2 | intent 97:22 | invited 102:20 | jet 20:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inner 196:19 | interest 14:21 | inviting 171:3 | 171:16 |
| innocence | 97:16,18 182:5 | 193:18 | jim 127:1 |
| 21:10 | interested 33:5 | invoke 40:7 | job 1:17 176:20 |
| input 15:16 | 82:9 93:1 | involved | 181:15 |
| 21:15 33:11 | 238:15 239:12 | 132:1 | joe 25:17 26:1 |
| 36:10 71:9 | interesting | involve | 26:18 39:1,1,5 |
| 74:19 81:7,11 | 36:7 117:12,19 | 40:2 | 42:2 61:2 |
| 137:18 139:2 | 158:7 161:17 | isaac 180:15 | 65:11 109:18 |
| inscription | 168:19 190:1,4 | island 190:1 | 112:9 118:7,12 |
| 23:19 24:2,14 | interference | 190:20 | 118:14 119:14 |
| 86:3,15 87:3 | 51:10 | issuance | 120:16 124:16 |
| 87:15 88:2 | intergenerati... | 230:19 | 124:18,20 |
| 151:22 153:1 | 202:18 207:8 | issue 51:15 | 125:10 127:6 |
| inscriptions | 211:12 | 115:1 127:17 | 136:9 140:5 |
| 23:5 85:11 | intergovernm... | 151:11,15 | 144:12,13 |
| 10:4 151:18 | 2:4 3:12 9:18 | 182:2 | 149:8 154:1 |
| 152:19 | 10:4 | issued 80:2 | 155:14 157:13 |
| inscrutab | inter | 59:4 | 157:19,19 |
| 34.3 | 159:5 165:1 | issue | 196:5,8,10,11 |
| insert 65:11 | internet 49:9 | it'd 103:16 | 196:12 197:21 |
| inside 22:13 | interpret | 8:7 | 198:6 199:2 |
| 197:9 | 115:13 | item 150:10 | john 2:12 6:8 |
| insight 15:19 | interpretation | itinerary 236:9 | 16:5,7 42:11 |
| 4:13 155:15 |  | j | 42:12 44:10 |
| insights 13:2 | interrupt 50:16 |  | 66:2,5,7 69:20 |
| inspirational | introduce 5:17 | january 15:12 | 74:1,14,16 |
| 32:13 | 199 | jarring 215:1 | 75:13 76:12,20 |
| inspire 101:3 | intu | 225:15 | 92:10,13 |
| inspired 15:16 |  | $\text { jaw } 167: 15,$ | 102:13,15 |
| 32:12 87:2 | invalida | jen 83:2 | 105:14 106:11 |
| instance 37:1 | 197:1 | jennifer | 116:18 130:6 |
| instilled 69:9 | inventing | $7: 21 \text { 8:3 9:1 }$ | 136:4 142:5 |
| institute 124:9 | 200:13 | $1: 2173: 17$ | 149:1 167:1,2 |
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| 167:22 168:1,3 | 168:4,5,5,13 | 111:21,21 | 173:5 184:19 |
| 168:7,16 | 169:5 170:18 | 116:1 118:9 | 186:14 188:18 |
| 170:17 171:1,5 | 170:22 175:14 | 120:9,14 | 194:7,8,9,16 |
| 171:9 172:22 | 177:12,14,18 | 121:21 130:19 | 202:13 213:11 |
| 173:3,4,6,8 | 184:11 185:14 | 134:17 135:7 | 215:11 |
| 174:17 177:10 | 186:22 188:21 | 137:21 138:9 | looked 50:6,13 |
| 179:18 180:4,5 | 189:6,6,8 | 154:7 159:15 | 53:9 56:2 57:5 |
| 180:17 181:17 | 192:4 206:16 | 160:3 178:6 | 66:22 67:2 |
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| 185:2,5 187:18 | liking 76:15 | 196:14 210:4 | 105:9 115:18 |
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| manufacturing | 12:18 13:8,9 | 72:8 77:5 | megan 3:3 8:18 |
| 230:22 | 13:10 | 150:13,19,21 | 19:17 50:20 |
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| margin 68:3 | mean 36:3 37 | 153:12 158:12 | 6:5,18 7:5,13 |
| marine 18:11 | 47:20 52:11 | 159:9,10 | 13:14 14:7,20 |
| marines 18:14 | 67:12 113:1 | 161:11,21 | 21:22 134:22 |
| mark 158:9 | 142:14 146:20 | 162:1,14 163:1 | 152:10 187:10 |
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| marshal | 215:1 234:1,22 | 224:3 229:21 | 135:13,14 |
| 22 | meaning | 232:7 233:14 | 148:3 151:4 |
| match 1 | 2:16 | 234:4,13 | memoir 21:10 |
| 231:19 | 157:22 | medallic 40:4 | memorial |
| matched | mean | medals 15:2,3 | 69:22 |
| 214:18 215:6 | 32:15 38:14 | 15:5,18 18:3 | men 43:7 |
| matches 41:9 | means 62:3 | 26:4 36:6,8 | 111:21 |
| 180:6 215:9 | 179:18 193:20 | 151:12 178:20 | menna 3:8 9:14 |
| matching | 196:21 217:8 | 185:12 209:15 | 9:16 25:18 |
| 163:19 21 | meant 188:13 | media 8:5 | 26:2,2,12 39:1 |
| material 156:7 | meat | 161:17 | 61:2,4 112:9 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| menna's 65:11 | 83:4 | minor 120:15 | 94:5 156:4 |
| mention | microphones | minority $2: 12$ | 224:21 |
| 150:16 165:3 | 41:15 77:20 | 2:16 6:9,15 | miracle 118:16 |
| mentioned | middle 14:5 | 187:6,6,16 | mirror 98:4 |
| 56:13 59:1,3 | 56:21 182:16 | mint 1:9 2:4 | 188:13 |
| 64:18 88:17 | midst 19:8 | 3:19 7:21 8:9 | missed 13:4 |
| 134:16 188:11 | mike 8:4 27:18 | 8:13 11:5 | missing 27:7 |
| 188:12 211:10 | 28:15 29:3 | 13:10 14:15 | mississippi |
| mentor 60:8 | 51:14 61:2 | 15:3 18:5,7 | 20:2,18 28:12 |
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| 173:22 181:20 | 128:12 133:14 | 76:14 80:1 | 7:15 10:11 |
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| met 13:11 | 210:17,19 | 172:15 207:19 | 33:3 34:10,14 |
| metal 208:18 | 211:7 212:16 | 225:8 226:11 | 40:16 41:18 |
| metaphorically | 214:4,7,8 | 227:3,4 229:19 | 58:16 62:21 |
| 69:8 | 224:14 229:3 | 230:8 233:13 | mobley's 23:21 |
| mexican | 231:7 232:3 | 236:2 | 41:13 |
| 169:13 | 236:20 | mint's 127:4 | modeled |
| mexico 96:9 | mike's 149:3 | 151:10 218:9 | 184:14 |
| 169:12 | miles 80:15 | minted 80:1 | moderated 1:4 |
| mic 48:3 54:22 | 92:15 | minute 51:4 | modern 151:13 |
| 193:12 212:19 | milestone | 63:678:16 | 157:11 158:2 |
| michael 2:14 | 18:15 | 79:6,13 89:3 | 164:19 173:1,8 |
| 6:119:11 | miley 166:12 | 128:16 203:12 | 173:12,19 |
| michelangelo | military 166:8 | 203:14,16 | 174:1 177:11 |
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| 59:21,21 65:6 | mindful 130:16 | 12:10 16:5 | modest 155:13 |
| 94:9 210:10 |  | 27:16 35:14,20 | 157:13 |


| modification | $145: 6,14,19$ | $131: 3134: 13$ | movement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 144:16 | $146: 7,9160: 13$ | $135: 17136: 21$ | $20: 21148: 17$ |  |
| modifications | $183: 3,4,7,10$ | $139: 16,17$ | $178: 3$ |  |
| $140: 5143: 3,6$ | $210: 19,19$ | $140: 11141: 1,7$ | movie $115: 12$ |  |
| 144:4,9,13 | $211: 6212: 13$ | $141: 14,15,18$ | moving $23: 17$ |  |
| modified | $212: 20213: 1$ | $142: 2,6,22$ | $64: 6120: 13$ |  |
| 106:19 127:7 | $214: 4,4,7$ | $143: 20145: 2$ | $152: 13,17$ |  |
| modify 149:9 | $224: 13,16$ | $145: 16163: 5$ | $210: 13$ |  |
| 165:8 | $228: 8229: 2,5$ | $164: 9184: 8$ | multiple $12: 6$ |  |
| modifying | $229: 11231: 9$ | $195: 13207: 9$ | $54: 1556: 17$ |  |
| $125: 1$ | $232: 5$ | $212: 11213: 7$ | $65: 2076: 8$ |  |
| molly $239: 2,17$ | morning $5: 3$ | $213: 19214: 6$ | $141: 20142: 1$ |  |
| mom 191:21 | $8: 213: 1222: 8$ | $217: 22218: 14$ | $145: 3,5175: 5$ |  |
| moment $16: 20$ | $82: 690: 22$ | $219: 1,3,5,8$ | $200: 6220: 11$ |  |
| $50: 1966: 6$ | $235: 18236: 8$ | $220: 2,6,9,13$ | $222: 22224: 9$ |  |
| $77: 292: 1$ | mosquito | $220: 19221: 2,4$ | $227: 11228: 6$ |  |
| $96: 15126: 18$ | $111: 15$ | $223: 6,10224: 2$ | $232: 14,16$ |  |
| $147: 5149: 19$ | mother $19: 7$ | $224: 12226: 9$ | murder $19: 2$ |  |
| $153: 17208: 8$ | $20: 830: 14,18$ | $226: 13,16,21$ | $21: 540: 8,14$ |  |
| $208: 13219: 10$ | $33: 1740: 7,19$ | $227: 10,13$ | $42: 770: 12$ |  |
| momentarily | $41: 1143: 16,17$ | $229: 16230: 8$ | murdered |  |
| $51: 11$ | $44: 16,1949: 22$ | $232: 3,17233: 2$ | $42: 19$ |  |
| moments $77: 14$ | mother's $24: 13$ | $233: 17$ | murderers |  |
| money $20: 2$ | $35: 1$ | motions $64: 22$ | $20: 6,19$ |  |
| months $19: 6$ | mothers $45: 13$ | $130: 5142: 3$ | murders $21: 8$ |  |
| $212: 8$ | $69: 4$ | $206: 5,8218: 1$ | murray $100: 3$ |  |
| monumental | motif $115: 20$ | motivated $21: 7$ | $100: 5$ |  |
| $124: 8$ | $175: 2182: 14$ | move $11: 15$ | museum |  |
| moon $178: 9$ | motifs $161: 20$ | $19: 1369: 11$ | $124: 10$ |  |
| moral $41: 18$ | $164: 17$ | $72: 173: 485: 8$ | mustang |  |
| moran $2: 14$ | motion $11: 14$ | $130: 7134: 7$ | $165: 15$ |  |
| $6: 11,1327: 18$ | $12: 865: 5,10$ | $142: 4,5151: 17$ | mute $51: 5,9,17$ |  |
| $27: 1928: 17$ | $65: 19,2268: 4$ | $152: 8222: 15$ | $54: 17108: 21$ |  |
| $29: 1108: 6,7$ | $69: 1372: 7,15$ | $223: 3229: 19$ | $146: 5$ |  |
| $108: 10,15,17$ | $72: 2173: 3$ | $231: 5232: 6$ | muted $54: 21$ |  |
| $108: 19,22$ | $74: 1575: 8,13$ | moved $23: 13$ | $108: 14$ |  |
| $133: 14,20$ | $75: 1676: 6,10$ | $27: 6$ | muting $51: 18$ |  |
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| 20:5 82:10 | 235:2 | 233:22 | r4 57:5 |
| 91:5 101:19 | put 32:22 | questions | r6 55:15 |
| 139:1 | 37:20 51:20 | 24:22 25:6 | racially $21: 7$ |
| provided 15:16 | 53:13 59:22 | 26:20 27:12 | racism 21:2 |
| 90:10 | 66:9 77:5 | 55:10,13 56:5 | $24: 1$ |
| provides 30:16 | 103:20 119:2 | 58:1 92:7 94:1 | radio 41:14 |
| 198:14 | 125:3 155:16 | 118:6 119:17 | raise $26: 7$ |
| providing | 178:19 181:19 | 124:15 126:13 | 120:5,8 174:6 |
| 33:11 82:10 | 201:17 209:11 | 153:10 155:17 | 176:9 206:21 |
| 113:5 118:20 | 211:2,15 | 193:10 201:21 | 228:15 229:8 |
| proving 82:17 | 225:18 226:13 | quick 27:2 | 229:10 |
| public 1:1 2:7 | 226:15 231:10 | 73:21 126:18 |  |
| 2:19,20 4:6,7 | puts 128:1 | 140:21 167:10 | $24: 1025: 4$ |
| 5:6,13,15,21 | 131:11 |  |  |


| 39:9 41:14 | ready 53:4 | 169:11 170:4,7 | receive 71:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:22 47:15 | 78:14 79:18 | 170:7,12,18,19 | received 63:1 |
| 65:2 66:16 | 204:6,6 205:11 | 171:1,5,8,11 | 64:1,1,2,3,4,4 |
| 67:19 69:17 | real 17:7 73:20 | 172:14,17,18 | 64:5,5,7,7,8,9 |
| 71:18 74:14 | 167:10 172:3 | 173:15,18,19 | 64:9,10,11,11 |
| 92:10 118:6 | 184:13 | 174:7 175:13 | 81:13 129:16 |
| 120:18 125:13 | realistic 184:11 | 177:13 178:17 | 129:17,17,17 |
| 133:15 144:5 | reality $122: 1$ | 178:22 180:11 | 129:18,18,19 |
| 198:1 208:15 | really $12: 21$ | 184:5 186:1,7 | 129:20,20,21 |
| 225:1 231:7 | 13:4,5 31:4 | 186:14 188:2 | 129:22,22 |
| raising 145:12 | 32:8 34:18 | 190:1,4,15 | 130:1,1 139:2 |
| range $155: 7,15$ | 36:4,12 37:3 | 191:2,6,11,18 | receiving 99:1 |
| 156:7 | 38:4,4,6,8,20 | 192:3,4,7,10 | recent 161:20 |
| ranger 60:7 | 39:6 44:15 | 192:15,22 | recess 5:9 |
| rate 195:8,10 | 45:2,5,22 46:1 | 193:5 200:11 | 77:13 79:6,13 |
| rather 44:2 | 46:18 47:3,4,6 | 206:12,16 | 150:5,10 236:7 |
| 64:21 75:4 | 47:9 57:20 | 207:14 208:10 | recessed 25:19 |
| 92:17 104:3 | 60:1,19,20 | 208:12 211:13 | 26:5,14 |
| 105:10,18 | 67:10,15 70:5 | 214:17 235:20 | recipient 15:8 |
| 146:21 147:16 | 75:2 77:1 | 235:21 236:1 | recognition |
| 156:6 167:12 | 83:20,22 84:4 | rear 55:14 | 12:14 33:18 |
| 190:1 208:21 | 84:4,10,16,20 | reason 53:12 | 36:1 |
| 209:22 | 91:12 92:21 | 54:7 68:10,21 | recognize |
| raw 82:15 | 98:16 99:10,19 | 68:22 171:15 | 63:15 66:22 |
| rays 87:1 | 101:13 106:18 | 172:20 213:4 | 67:7 95:3 |
| reach 155:2,2,3 | 110:21 111:3 | 213:10 218:13 | 106:9 129:2,12 |
| 155:4 180:5 | 111:11,22 | reasonable | 187:15 204:3 |
| reaches 86:1 | 112:5,15,17,17 | 104:15 | recognized |
| reaching | 112:19 114:22 | reasons 42:17 | 32:15 |
| 180:11 181:7 | 117:10,19 | 45:4 46:22 | recognizes |
| read 39:17 | 118:1 121:4,6 | 138:2 175:1 | 14:20 |
| 40:11 67:1 | 121:11,12 | 181:3 | recognizing |
| 163:13 | 122:7,9 127:10 | rebellious | 31:18 39:20 |
| reading 179:14 | 128:1 131:11 | 194:6 | recommend |
| 181:13 | 131:13 153:21 | recall 188:16 | 57:6 68:7 |
| reads 24:2 86:3 | 157:12,17,20 | 202:12 | 70:13,14 74:17 |
| 86:15 87:3,15 | 161:9 167:5 | recast 130:20 | 75:9 130:8,13 |
| 88:2 | 168:5,11,20 |  | 130:13,17 |


| $135: 18136: 7$ | recorded $5: 12$ | reflective $54: 11$ | relief $25: 726: 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $139: 20,21$ | $238: 6$ | reflects $54: 2,2$ | $42: 2151: 8$ |
| $150: 18195: 18$ | recording | $54: 8$ | $158: 16$ |
| $210: 6213: 10$ | $238: 8239: 4$ | reforming | reliefs $34: 13$ |
| $222: 16224: 3$ | red $165: 16$ | $185: 4$ | religion $32: 5$ |
| $227: 17$ | redesign $66: 15$ | regard $29: 6,15$ | $47: 16$ |
| recommenda... | $81: 6$ | $120: 4$ | religious $32: 4$ |
| $34: 664: 20$ | redesigned | regarded $15: 18$ | $36: 1548: 20$ |
| $68: 971: 16,22$ | $66: 1975: 1$ | $159: 6$ | $54: 858: 14$ |
| $72: 3,1875: 10$ | $130: 10147: 2$ | regarding | remain $41: 12$ |
| $122: 9137: 19$ | redesigns | $91: 19230: 18$ | remained |
| $141: 2165: 10$ | $230: 4$ | regardless | $80: 22$ |
| $207: 21212: 20$ | redoing $76: 18$ | $222: 6$ | remains $35: 15$ |
| $218: 10225: 7$ | reduced $118: 21$ | reinventing | $125: 19$ |
| $231: 3233: 2$ | $238: 7$ | $200: 13$ | remarkable |
| $234: 10$ | reemphasize | reinvestigation | $17: 22178: 18$ |
| recommenda... | $58: 12$ | $21: 6$ | remarks $163: 3$ |
| $14: 1121: 17$ | refer $93: 9$ | reiterating | remedied |
| $48: 1571: 7$ | $152: 9163: 11$ | $123: 20$ | $120: 13$ |
| $73: 14137: 22$ | $189: 15$ | rejected $226: 5$ | remember |
| $147: 1198: 9$ | reference $90: 10$ | related $29: 14$ | $77: 17$ |
| recommended | $164: 2192: 18$ | $115: 20238: 11$ | remembered |
| $2: 8,12,14,16$ | referential | $239: 7$ | $23: 22$ |
| $6: 2,9,12,15$ | $109: 10$ | relating $15: 1$ | remembering |
| $21: 1856: 18$ | referred $10: 17$ | $25: 13$ | $29: 20$ |
| $132: 8190: 6$ | $81: 1990: 9$ | relationship | remind $5: 14$ |
| $211: 22215: 11$ | $116: 19$ | $33: 2044: 22$ | $27: 1464: 17$ |
| recommending | referring $177: 4$ | $84: 1785: 6$ | $94: 4234: 21$ |
| $131: 9$ | reflect $16: 20$ | $89: 2290: 5$ | reminded |
| reconsider | $33: 3$ | $122: 6,12$ | $121: 21$ |
| $136: 9142: 22$ | reflected $55: 19$ | relationships | reminder $35: 2$ |
| $225: 9$ | $55: 2056: 4$ | $84: 21$ | reminding |
| record $8: 810: 9$ | $57: 8$ | relative $238: 13$ | $181: 16$ |
| $63: 776: 1$ | reflection | $239: 10$ | reminds |
| $128: 18150: 6$ | $55: 16$ | relevant $37: 4$ | $162: 21165: 13$ |
| $180: 3201: 17$ | reflections | $68: 4156: 20$ | $171: 16$ |
| $203: 22238: 9$ | $48: 2094: 22$ | $173: 7$ | remote $27: 22$ |
| $239: 5$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| remotely 8:1 | representing | respected | 64:11,13 65:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:4 | 2:7,19,20 5:20 | 15:19 194:22 | 66:8 67:12,16 |
| removal 24:10 | 6:21 7:2 23:20 | 195:2 | 69:20 70:6,8 |
| remove 120:10 | 35:7 84:6 | respond 5:18 | 70:15 71:4,21 |
| removing | 137:10 181:22 | 26:1 | 72:2,2 74:19 |
| 37:16 | 187:11 | response 40:6 | 75:3,3,10 |
| reopening 21:5 | represents | rest 57:20 | 76:15 78:10 |
| repeat 117:7 | 87:13 89:21 | 148:11 150:2 | 80:10 86:20 |
| 146:2,4 176:20 | 117:14 | 179:7 | 128:9 135:18 |
| 213:20 225:4 | republic | restrict 74:20 | 139:18 142:16 |
| repeated 216:2 | 202:14 | 75:4 | 142:17 150:11 |
| repetition 42:2 | request 50:4 | resulting 35:2 | 150:19 151:14 |
| repetitive | 136:14 | results 63:5,16 | 152:4,10,17 |
| 43:18 | requested 15:9 | 128:15 129:13 | 153:4 159:22 |
| replace 58:22 | 24:8 54:5 | 203:11 204:4 | 160:2,6,19 |
| report 133:19 | requesting | retain 80:7 | 162:17,20,21 |
| reported 1:16 | 53:21 54:4 | 234:13 | 166:5,16 |
| reporter 59:20 | required 85:11 | return 63:3 | 169:10 175:12 |
| 65:677:19 | 151:18 152:19 | 128:13 150:2 | 182:7,13 189:4 |
| 117:15 133:21 | 156:1 | returned 80:22 | 189:17 191:11 |
| 201:16 210:10 | requires 37:14 | reveals 155:9 | 192:22 193:5 |
| 223:17 | 37:15 157:12 | reverb 83:14 | 199:12 200:16 |
| represent 22:9 | research 10:18 | 83:17 | 200:16 203:5 |
| 22:20 68:17 | 81:20 101:4 | reverse 19:18 | 205:11 206:13 |
| 87:1 173:8 | researching | 23:18,19 24:11 | 207:2,19 208:2 |
| representation | 113:6 | 24:14 25:16,17 | 208:2 209:6,6 |
| 24:12 84:16 | resemble 34:14 | 29:15,18 31:1 | 209:21,21 |
| 180:13 | reside 89:13 | 32:16,18 34:11 | 210:9,14 211:1 |
| representations | resilience | 35:9 37:4,9,10 | 211:3 213:8,10 |
| 81:10 191:17 | 32:21 59:2 | 37:10,12,13,19 | 213:18,22 |
| 202:18 | resolve 41:18 | 38:1,1,5,6,9,11 | 214:2 215:8,16 |
| representative | 63:14 147:21 | 38:12,12 41:8 | 215:20 217:14 |
| 3:14 10:17 | resonate 18:21 | 41:8,10 42:6 | 220:22,22 |
| 71:9 81:18 | resonates | 43:13,16 45:9 | 221:5,13 |
| 84:11,19 89:17 | 96:11 190:21 | 46:17 47:7,9 | 224:22 225:9 |
| representatives | respect 18:12 | 58:20,20 59:12 | 225:18,19 |
| 81:15 86:19 | 137:4 197:12 | 62:20 64:6,7,8 | 226:5,5,9,16 |
| 88:7 |  | 64:9,9,10,10 | 226:21 227:19 |


| 229:20 230:15 | 82:9 94:18 | 129:12 130:3 | 227:9 228:3,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231:10,16 | reworked | 131:4 132:2 | 228:18,22 |
| 233:8,12,14 | 180:4 | 133:11 135:15 | 229:9,13,15,16 |
| 234:2,12 | richard 13:19 | 135:22 137:17 | 229:17 232:2 |
| reversed 95:19 | richest 95:9 | 137:18 138:8 | 232:19 233:4,9 |
| reverses 28:16 | right 5:2 8:6 | 138:10,13,15 | 233:19 235:2,4 |
| 29:15 30:22 | 10:6 11:8,13 | 139:5,11 140:8 | 235:10,13,16 |
| 47:3 64:6 68:5 | 11:16 12:2,9 | 140:16,19 | 236:20 |
| 68:9 87:10 | 15:20 16:11,19 | 141:16,17 | righteousness |
| 152:18 155:22 | 22:7 26:17 | 142:21 143:16 | 40:8 |
| 166:2 168:17 | 27:11,13 28:6 | 144:11,18,21 | rights 13:20 |
| 171:14 178:15 | 28:20 29:2 | 145:1,15,16,18 | 19:10 20:21 |
| 178:16 185:14 | 31:11 44:5 | 145:22 146:7 | 21:8 40:9 |
| 189:4,9 192:21 | 46:19 57:18 | 146:13,14 | rigidness |
| 195:19 222:3 | 60:17 61:3,12 | 148:18 149:15 | 170:14 |
| 223:1 225:5 | 61:18 62:19 | 149:17 150:9 | ring 29:14 |
| 226:12 234:18 | 64:15 65:18,22 | 153:7 155:19 | 188:6 |
| review 4:4,9,12 | 67:17 69:16 | 156:14 157:12 | risk 35:4 |
| 4:14 7:14,16 | 71:10,13 72:11 | 158:14,15,19 | risque 183:21 |
| 7:17 11:9 | 73:15 75:20 | 159:5 161:2,5 | river 20:5 |
| 38:19 64:18 | 76:3,7,10,20 | 166:21 169:21 | road 111:9 |
| 86:8 87:8,20 | 78:7 79:12,17 | 171:11 172:8 | 216:22 |
| 88:9 141:4 | 79:21 84:11,15 | 172:16 176:17 | robe 164:7 |
| 150:11 | 85:20 88:12 | 177:4 183:1 | rock 171:10 |
| reviewed 15:7 | 91:14 92:4,5 | 189:13 193:18 | 185:6 194:7,20 |
| 19:13 | 93:22 94:3,10 | 194:14 198:10 | roger 3:4 8:21 |
| reviewing | 102:11 104:10 | 198:15 199:7 | 83:21 93:8 |
| 10:10 17:6,13 | 104:20,22 | 201:22 203:20 | 150:15 |
| 18:2,9 23:3 | 105:22 106:1 | 204:2 206:3 | role 62:8 84:18 |
| revise 72:1 | 110:3 111:1,5 | 207:10 211:5 | 85:4 100:13,20 |
| 141:3 142:8 | 112:21,21 | 212:5 214:3 | 102:7,17 107:8 |
| revised 143:13 | 114:1 117:6 | 215:22 216:4 | 107:9 115:21 |
| revisiting | 119:13 120:16 | 216:14 217:16 | 124:6 |
| 146:15 | 121:17,19 | 218:21 220:2,3 | roll 4:3 145:11 |
| revolution | 124:13 125:5 | 220:8,13 221:9 | 194:8,20 |
| 94:22 | 125:14 126:11 | 222:22 223:2,8 | rome 196:16 |
| revolutionary | 126:15 128:8 | 224:4,7,18,20 | room 108:21 |
| 17:18 80:15 | 128:22 129:10 | 226:2,7,17,20 | 121:2 179:16 |


| roommate | 135:10 136:5 | 65:7 110:14 | 202:9 204:4,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 175:20 | 142:4,5,19 | 116:13,16 | 206:22 |
| round 70:21,21 | 143:8,12 144:7 | 146:13 153:14 | scratch 79:11 |
| 70:22 201:11 | 144:11,20 | 153:16,18,19 | screaming |
| rounder 23:14 | 149:2 167:2,2 | 155:13 156:10 | 215:3 |
| rowing 157:21 | 171:21 195:8 | 160:15 198:18 | screen 80:10 |
| run 5:7 109:17 | 196:2 208:16 | 200:8 201:8 | 216:5 219:10 |
| running 51:11 | 208:16 209:14 | 207:11 219:14 | scroll 166:4 |
| russ 150:15 | 214:11 216:13 | 219:16 220:7 | sculpt 25:13 |
| russell 3:59:2 | 220:18 221:3 | 221:17,21 | sculpted 25:19 |
| $\mathbf{s}$ | 221:12,19 | 223:21 233:18 | sculpting |
| ( 2:1 3:1 4:1 | 227:13 232:9 | 233:20 234:7 | 159:19 171:9 |
| 5:1 | save 182:15 | 234:20 | sculpture |
| sacagawea | saw 13:5 39:8 | scary 189:6 | 124:8 |
| 80:8,9 102:22 | 44:16,20 46:3 | scenes 180:19 | sec 51:21 |
| 103:4 105:18 | 121:15 211:19 | schedule 77:12 | second 11:17 |
| sacagawea's | saying 12:5 | 79:1 | 1:18 12:1 |
| 17:19 | 38:7 40:4,22 | scheduled 5:7,9 | 54:1,16,19 |
| sacrifices 17:3 | 44:5 56:11 | scholar 13:22 | 60:16 65:14 |
| sad 18:20 | 65:19 73:2 | school 97:6 | 66:20 75:15,18 |
| 104:13 115:18 | 118:18 119:9 | scolding 187:8 | 82:22 91:19 |
| sadly 102:18 | 137:4 138:10 | score 59:12 | 94:18 105:2,13 |
| sadness 42:7 | 145:2 149:9 | 61:5,9,15 | 135:19,21 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { alisbury } & 13: 17\end{array}$ | 194:16,18 | 62:11,11,20,22 | 136:1 143:19 |
| salvation 40:21 | 200:4 210:3 | 68:2 128:9,11 | 143:22 146:5 |
| satisfies 97:22 | 213:5 228:4 | 135:1,4 190:7 | 149:8 152:5 |
| saturated | says 56:16 61:9 | 195:18 198:7 | 182:13 185:1 |
| 157:5 | 175:21 236:10 | 199:8 202:1,3 | 214:5 216:17 |
| saunders 2:12 | 236:12 | 203:4,7 222:9 | 219:12,13,14 |
| 6:8,10 16:5 | scale 118:22 | scored 135:8 | 221:6,11 223:5 |
| 42:11,12,13 | 119:17 120:2 | scores 62:7,7 | 223:7,12,14,17 |
| 66:3,7,8,14 | 122:4 127:7 | 63:5 68:1 | 223:19,22 |
| 74:11,15,16 | scales 125:1 | 128:15 129:3 | 226:17,18,19 |
| 75:14 76:13 | scared 127:9 | 203:10 | 232:8,9 |
| 92:11,13 | scarf 184:9 | scoring 61:6 | seconded 65:15 |
| 102:13,14,15 | scarinci 2:16 | 63:17 64:2,8 | 76:2 214:8 |
| 130:7,15,21 | 6:14,16 35:12 | 64:12 129:14 | 232:10 |
| 130.7,15,21 | 35:13,18 65:3 | 134:16 195:20 |  |


| secondly | 121:4 127:3 | 167:22 222:11 | 183:20 196:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102:19 | 131:1,19,21,22 | seen 20:15 | senses 132:1 |
| seconds 214:7 | 132:6 147:20 | 61:16 161:19 | sent 173:14 |
| secretary 4:5 | 152:6,10 | 164:14,21,22 | separate |
| 7:11 11:10 | 160:11 162:16 | 166:8 174:8,10 | 167:17 195:10 |
| 14:22 15:6 | 163:1 164:3,11 | 175:22 176:5,7 | 210:8 218:1 |
| 18:3 21:17 | 169:6 170:12 | 176:10 189:19 | 220:2,6 |
| 23:14 64:20 | 171:1,5,15,17 | 192:7,13,17 | sequence 152:6 |
| 70:16 71:16 | 171:17 172:3 | 193:4 | sergeant 60:14 |
| 73:11 147:1 | 173:17 174:12 | selected 55:11 | 60:14 |
| 151:9 212:1 | 180:12 181:2,6 | 111:18 234:10 | series 36:7 |
| 233:3,15 | 182:20 187:12 | selecting | 38:20 103:8 |
| 234:10 | 187:13,14 | 172:15 | 111:3,5,11 |
| section 38:21 | 190:20 195:19 | selection | 113:13,19,22 |
| see 20:12 $23: 15$ | 196:12 199:4 | 183:22 | 114:4 116:21 |
| 23:19 24:5,13 | 199:10 200:9 | selects 212:1 | 156:13,14 |
| 25:4 26:13 | 207:16,20,22 | self 174:17 | 158:16,21,22 |
| 28:3 30:13,18 | 210:3,5 211:21 | sell 158:17,19 | 159:2,4 177:22 |
| 31:5 34:4,11 | 214:19 216:2,5 | semiquincent... | 234:22 235:1,6 |
| 37:3,14,16 | 216:17 219:9 | 81:4 202:11,13 | serious 60:10 |
| 39:5 40:13 | 224:20 227:21 | 211:11 | seriously 61:13 |
| 41:16 42:1 | 228:1 231:13 | senate 2:14,16 | 62:12 155:12 |
| 46:8,12 48:20 | 231:18 235:20 | 6:12,15 81:13 | served 13:14 |
| 53:15 55:17 | 236:20 | 84:2 86:5 87:5 | 13:17 14:9,21 |
| 56:16 57:16 | seeds 184:16 | 88:5 97:14,16 | 18:12,14 21:3 |
| 59:13,19 62:15 | seeing 112:8 | 137:8 | serves 116:9 |
| 65:1 68:16 | 167:16 189:18 | send 48:16,17 | service 4:7 7:12 |
| 70:6,9,20,21 | 197:15 212:6 | sending 27:21 | 12:13,15 14:14 |
| 71:474:21 | 214:18,22 | 137:18 | 14:17,19 15:14 |
| 76:12 80:9 | 216:20 | sends 174:20 | 15:22 16:17 |
| 92:10,22 99:16 | seeking 127:15 | senior 3:3,4,9 | 189:10 |
| 99:16 102:5 | seem 118:8 | 3:11,17 8:3,18 | servicemen |
| 103:20 109:13 | 119:3 210:7 | 8:21 9:21 10:3 | 18:13 |
| 110:18,19 | seemed 67:11 | 10:21 40:1 | serving 12:19 |
| 111:8,10,16,17 | 68:2 120:12 | 82:1 | sesquicenten... |
| 111:21 112:8 | seems 12:21 | sense 40:17 | 94:20 |
| 112:10 113:16 | 41:3 50:9 | 41:10 101:21 | session 5:7,9 |
| 117:11,21 | 117:13 119:22 | 154:3 172:2 | 7:10 |


| sessions 235:18 | shoot 108:15 | side 18:17 | 219:19 220:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| set 33:2 145:21 | 178:8 212:11 | 152:1,1,11,11 | 220:19 222:13 |
| 146:9 149:12 | 232:5 | 160:2 175:15 | 222:16 224:3 |
| sets 146:22 | short 37:8 | 179:21 185:8 | 229:20 232:6 |
| setting 191:3 | shortest 16:8 | 222:7 | 233:6,8,14 |
| seven 64:4,7 | shortly 19:10 | sides 17: | 234:2,4,12 |
| 205:1 | shot 20:2 52:1 | 162:14 | 235:3,8 |
| several 17:6,20 | should've 63:1 | sign 24:1 | similar 26:13 |
| 2:2 133:3 | shoulder 26:7 | signature | 69:5 188:9 |
| 177:8 221:13 | shoulders 86:2 | 238:18 239 | similarity |
| shaped 67:5 | 185:17 | signed 19:4 | 175:7 |
| share 20:20 | show 45:5,17 | significant 17:2 | simple 109:21 |
| 68:8 85:9 90:9 | 46:4,15 59:5 | 38:14 62:8 | 113:13 175:17 |
| 154:6 177:19 | 68:19,20 69:8 | signify 12:4 | 188:8 |
| 202:12 | 84:7 180:4 | 145:2,12 228:4 | simplicity 29:8 |
| shared 81:11 | showed 14:3 | silence 153:13 | 47:9 117:22 |
| 87:1 | 44:21 45:16 | silhouette | 123:14 |
| sharing 190:17 | 82 | 28:18 42:2 | simply 16:6 |
| shed 125:22 | showing 45:20 | silver 4:16 7:19 | 111:14 117:4 |
| sheer 101:6 | 45:21 49:18 | 15:6 103:9 | 120:13 179:22 |
| sheet 61:9 | 53:18 91:4,9 | 150:13,19 | 230:4 |
| 204:4 | 92:20 | 151:9,11,16,20 | single 133:2 |
| sheets 61:15 | shown 41:21 | 152:2,22 153:3 | sir 27:574:4 |
| 62:22 63:17 | 179:19 | 153:12 158:12 | sisters 40:1 |
| 128:11 129:14 | shows 40:21 | 159:8,9 160:12 | sit 74:6179:16 |
| 203:7 | 42:17,18 43:6 | 160:16 161:11 | sitting 190:14 |
| sheila 3:13 | 43:16,16 68:19 | 166:17 167:16 | situation 149:6 |
| 10:13 21:21 | 86:10 87:22 | 174:3,13 176:3 | 149:14 |
| 22:6 77:2 | 89:7,18,19 | 183:17 195:11 | six 64:5,9 |
| sheltering 99:6 | 101:16 107:4 | 195:22 198:12 | 129:20 135:1,2 |
| shenandoah | 180:17 | 199:12 203:6 | 145:15 204:16 |
| 84:12 85:18,22 | shuffling | 207:1,3,4 | 204:17,18,21 |
| 89:7 98:21,22 | 120:14 | 208:20 209:12 | 205:19 229:12 |
| 138:7 | shy 115:22 | 210:11,12 | size 95:15,19 |
| shield 157: | sick 82:16 | 211:2,16 213:7 | 103:4 111:17 |
| shining 180:16 | sickening | 213:10,13,22 | 112:21 127:16 |
| shirt 50:8 | 18:19 | 216:16 217:11 | 144:12 150:22 |
|  |  | 218:1,11,17 | 186:13 |

[skies - spiritual]

| skies 40:2 | solidarity 24:1 | sovereign 95:4 | speakers 12:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| skills 238:10 | solution 148:1 | sovereignty | 54:15 56:17 |
| 239:6 | somebody | 95:6 96:1 | 65:20 76:8 |
| skin 109:18 | 161:2 | sower 164:18 | 77:22 123:1 |
| slide 91:7 | somewhat | space 37:21 | 141:20 142:1 |
| slightly 23:15 | 104:21 176:12 | 109:16 110:1 | 145:3,5 200:6 |
| 136:8 | son 20:10 | 113:2 123:13 | 220:11 224:9 |
| slipped 65:7 | 30:14,19 33:18 | 127:2 | 227:11 228:6 |
| slow 201:2 | 34:10 40:19 | spacing 180:8 | 228:11 232:14 |
| 215:11 | 44:20 80:8 | 182:16 | 232:16 |
| small 127:9,16 | son's 20:19,20 | spare 177:3 | speaking 5:15 |
| smaller 98:13 | 23:21 | speak 16:14 | 20:20 27:17 |
| 98:19 111:15 | sorely 13:4 | 35:17,18 41:2 | 46:7 217:22 |
| smithsonian | sorry 48:2 | 47:21 52:11,17 | speaks 107:7 |
| 22:18 124:8,9 | 50:16 59:14,17 | 52:19 53:8 | 199:19 |
| smooth 109:18 | 65:6,15 72:22 | 63:21 67:22 | special 83:20 |
| snake 105:9 | 73:16 79:8,14 | 71:872:13 | 84:4 102:16 |
| 169:14 186:6 | 82:13 114:6 | 94:6 136:22 | 149:6,14 |
| snow 85:15 | 132:12 133:22 | 193:22 | 154:21 |
| 86:15 99:13,14 | 140:20 142:17 | speaker 2:8 6:2 | specialist 3:3 |
| 99:16 110:2,5 | 144:7 146:11 | 51:20 52:3 | 3:11 8:19 10:3 |
| 115:9 118:20 | 148:1,7 153:18 | 60:13 66:10 | 13:15 |
| snowflakes | 163:6 196:7,11 | 83:5,10 108:12 | specially $2: 5,10$ |
| 123:3 | 197:18 212:14 | 108:18 134:5 | 2:18 6:5,18 7:5 |
| soaring 169:8 | 212:18 214:14 | 135:11 141:13 | 13:14 |
| 169:10 186:4 | 216:12 217:20 | 143:3,17 145:8 | specific 85:10 |
| society 42:22 | 218:7 | 183:9 194:12 | specifically |
| 102:18 | sort 95:3,11 | 196:8,10 200:7 | 14:9 25:15 |
| soft 55:18 56:4 | 96:2 189:5 | 204:10 209:13 | spectacular |
| softer 56:4 | sought 81:7 | 216:11 217:3 | 235:21 |
| soldier 86:1 | sound 52:6 | 220:15 221:7 | speech 66:16 |
| soldiers 80:19 | 54:17 71:15 | 221:10 222:17 | spelled 140:6 |
| 87:3 94:18 | 188:7 | 223:7,9,11,15 | spend 110:16 |
| 101:9 | sounds 108:9 | 224:11 226:13 | spending 12:19 |
| sole 49:14 | 203:20 | 227:21 228:21 | spirit 50:11 |
| solemn 19:12 | sources 125:21 | 233:5 236:9,12 | 90:12 |
| solicit 225:7 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { southwest } \quad 1: 10 \\ 96: 9 \end{array}$ | 236:17 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { spiritual } 40: 21 \\ 58: 12,14 \end{array}$ |


| spiritually | standing 86:21 | 98:2,17 138:14 | 24:4 131:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:12 | 119:17 176:7 | 151:7 152:20 | 37:1,6 138:5 |
| split 219:2 | standpoin | 153:1 160:19 | 38:12 162:13 |
| spoke 47:3 | 106:7 | 194:10 196:20 | 176:6 208:11 |
| spoken 108: | stands 98:21 | statu | storytelling |
| 132:7,14 | tar | 157:2 158:6 | 131:22 |
| spot 16:3 | starburst | 162:4 173:2,6 | straight 98:21 |
| spotlighting | starry 42:3 | 188:13 190:9,9 | 109:6 127:12 |
| 17:7 | start 11:7 23:3 | 190:15,20,20 | 169:2 |
| spring 44:1 | 23:9 30:13 | 191:1 215:8 | strange 105:5 |
| sprout 184:17 | 33:10 39:19 | 234:3,7 | straw 104:4 |
| staff 8:9 127:4 | 40:4 53:2 79: | statutory | street 1:10 |
| 140:22 207:22 | 82:5 85:13 | 151:11 | 155:2 191:4 |
| stafford 2:22 | :12 121:1 | stay 62:15 | 193:19 197:3,5 |
| 8:15,17 9:13 | 137:3 146:15 | stays 62:5 | 197:9,14,15 |
| :17,22 23:1 | 152:14 153:22 | steered 140:15 | 199:14,15,16 |
| 27:5 56:20 | 154:7 156:11 | stepped 50:1 | 215:5,8 |
| 57:1 71:6 | 162:15 170:10 | steps 21:16 | strength 20:11 |
| 78:11 79:2,8 | 183:11,12 | stole 42:15 | 40:15 41:14 |
| 79:15,21 82:18 | 194:17 206: | 116:11 167:4 | 42:8 45:17 |
| 85:8 90:7 93:5 | 216:19 | stomach 82:15 | 59:2 86:7 87:7 |
| 93:11,15,21 | started 49:6 | stomachs 80:21 | 87:15,19 88:8 |
| 132:21 136:14 | 194:6 | stone 159:18 | 07:7 131:21 |
| 138:21 140:20 | starting 204:8 | 159:20 | 166:8 |
| 151:6 163:6,16 | starving 80:17 | stood 35:10 | strengthen |
| 164:1,5 202:5 | state 77:17 | 175:12,13 | 140:7 |
| 202:8 211:19 | 185:11 | 179:22 201:17 | stress 162:12 |
| 212:6 215:19 | stated | stop 35:4 172:8 | stricken 70:7 |
| 216:1,8 224:17 | 111:12 | stopping 23:7 | strike 102:22 |
| 226:3 230:10 | statement | stories 121:12 | striking 46:5 |
| 234:5,9,16 | 8:15 41 | story | 100:12 102:21 |
| stage 210:7 | ten | 20:20 21:10 | strong 33:21 |
| staid 181:5 | states 3:19 15:3 | 23:21 33:17 | 38:13 45:12 |
| stand 44:18 | 15:11,17 18:11 | 90:12 94:17 | 55:19 67:10 |
| 80: | 39:21 80:1,6 | 101:1 103:7, | 81:10 95:11 |
| standalone | 81:7 84:11,18 | 104:9 105:7 | 117:20 131:15 |
| 222:17,19 | 84:22 85:7,11 | 110:1,9 115 | 131:15 162:5,7 |
|  | 90:2,6 91:11 | 121:10,11 | 209:3 215:7 |


| $\begin{array}{rr} \hline \text { 225:6,6 } \\ \text { strongest } & 99: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 162: 6 \\ \text { submitted } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 207:7 209:22 } \\ & 210: 22 \quad 211: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { survive } & 101: 9 \\ \text { suspect } & 112: 8 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128:3 164:10 | 100:16 | 213:9,11 214:2 | 208:12 |
| 166:5 | subservient | 215:1,2,10,15 | sustain 89:20 |
| strongly 54:2 | 120:12 | 216:2,5 219:11 | sustenance |
| 57:15 90:1,4 | subverting | 234:11 | 100:14,21 |
| 147:13 200:2,3 | 147:9 | sunflowers | 101:11,19 |
| struck 69:7 | successful 40:5 | 171:6 184:13 | swirling 162:20 |
| 121:15 | sucker 185:2 | sunken 42:2 | 175:16 192:22 |
| struggle 19:11 | suffer 41:20 | superfluous | 209:7 219:12 |
| 49:15 99:10 | suffered 41:20 | 61:17 | swirly 209:21 |
| stuck 177:7 | 55:22 | support 29:9 | switch 106:14 |
| study 105:12 | suffering 20:11 | 33:5 36:5 | swollen 80:21 |
| studying | 41:19 | 91:20 99:21 | sworn 238:5 |
| 127:15 | suggest 50:20 | 140:22 189:11 | sykes 49:6 |
| stuff 123:3 | 57:13 58:21 | supporting | symbol 87:14 |
| stunning 236:3 | 59:11 67:14 | 30:19 141:13 | 184:18 189:10 |
| style 28:9 | 200:3 216:15 | 141:15 | symbolically |
| 112:7 161:13 | suggested 24:9 | supposed 79:1 | 125:7 182:9 |
| 161:15,19,22 | 136:15 227:7 | 197:10 | symbolism |
| 162:3 165:13 | suggestion | sure 18:12 | 131:21,21 |
| 166:19 180:9 | 119:6 131:2 | 25:14 53:18 | 165:2,19 |
| 200:22 215:9 | suggestions | 57:20 61:13 | 166:12 184:15 |
| 231:17 | 21:18 75:1 | 63:12 67:6 | 189:21 191:15 |
| styles 161:10 | sukrita 3:7 9:8 | 77:12,15 85:19 | symbolize |
| 215:4 | sullivan 3:3 | 119:19 133:21 | 165:22 166:7 |
| stylistic 209:1 | 8:18,20 19:17 | 137:16 144:4,9 | symbolized |
| 231:15 | 50:20 51:12,17 | 158:20 163:18 | 86:12 |
| stylistically | 51:19 52:5,10 | 168:2,6 173:22 | symbolizes |
| 225:6,14 | 52:14,19,22 | 180:19 182:1 | 168:3,6 |
| stylized 184:2 | 53:2,14,17 | 183:6 191:19 | symbols 157:1 |
| subcommittees | 55:778:11 | 213:21 | 182:15 |
| 14:10 | summarize | surprise | symmetry |
| subject 10:19 | 166:1 | 183:18 | 107:5 |
| 21:19 28:10 | sumner 57:10 | surrealist | sync 137:21 |
| 41:2 81:10,20 | sunflower | 155:3 | system 146:21 |
| 86:8 87:9,19 | 162:16 168:2 | survival 100:14 |  |
| 87:20 88:8,9 | 171:2 191:12 | 101:11 |  |


| t | $\begin{gathered} \text { talked } 136: 9 \\ 144: 12,12 \end{gathered}$ | technology | testify 20:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t 4:1,1 50:8 |  | 94:12 | testifying 238:5 |
| table 13:1 33:1 | 2:3 177:8 | teenager 60:6 | testimony 20:6 |
| 1:18 219:9 | 87:8 | tell 28:21 103:7 | 20:22 |
| 226:14,16 | talking 37:6 | $11110:$ | text |
| tackling 176:19 | 49:2 77:18 | 15:10 131:13 | 118:21 131:12 |
| tagged 211:20 | 23:2 153:22 | 37:1,6 138:12 | 131:12 188:1 |
| tagging 197:2 | 154:8 175 | 198:19 207:17 | textures |
| take 16:20 |  | te | 164 |
| 18:20 37:21 | tallahatchi | 105:7 120:1 | thank 8:6 10:6 |
| 38:8 39:2 | 20:5 | 121:10 162:13 | 11:1,19 12:2 |
| :12 62:12 | tallest | 208:11 | 13:6 16:10,11 |
| 63:5 76:19 | tally | ell | 16:17 19:22 |
| 77:1,10 78:15 | 128:14 203:10 | 04:8 138 | 22:16,16 23: |
| 79:5,13 92:16 | tap | temperamen | 24:18,19 25:22 |
| 126:18 128:16 | task | 215 | 26:16,17,19 |
| 141:5 145:9,11 | tate 3:11 10:3, | temperatu | 7:8,10,11,19 |
| 149:18 150:1 | tattoo 155:4 | 39:2 | 28:15,20 29:2 |
| 154:20 163:9 | 193:18 | ten 64:778 | 29:22 30:1,4 |
| 175:11 188:20 | taught | 203:14,1 | 31:7,8 33:6,7 |
| 190:8,19 191:1 | 168:15 | end 209:15 | 35:10,11 39:7 |
| 191:5 199:15 | teaching 80:19 | ends 180:10 | 39:15 40:3 |
| 202:14 203:12 | team 49:16 | ents 86:14 | 42:9,10 44:10 |
| 208:7,10,10 | 50:2,6 63:1 | enure 15:8 | 44:13 46:18,20 |
| 215:11 | 5:11 157:14 | erm 147:19 | 8:10,14 50:7 |
| taken 20 | 230:20 | terms 33:15,17 | 51:2,7 53:20 |
| 148:15 236:4 | tears 76:15 | 34:11 42:14 | 54:20 55:9,22 |
| 238:3,12 239:9 | 104:14 | 43:13 55:14 | 56:9,22 57:19 |
| takes 48:22 | technical 24:21 | 74:5 95:8 | 59:8,9 60:13 |
|  | 25:6,10,12 | 103:2 119:11 | 60:21 61:4,7 |
| $56: 1$ | 27:2 63:11 | 144:16 163:22 | 62:16,17 63:6 |
| ent | 77:9 92:1,6,12 | 168:17 180:8 | 64:15 67:17 |
| talk 25 | 92:14 153:9 | 80:14 182:7 | 69:18 71:13 |
| 13 41:2 | technically | 187:14 209:4, | 74:12 76:3,20 |
| 137:12 160 | 6:14 | 225:17 | 77:7 78:5,8 |
| 161:1,12 | technique | terrible 27:21 | 79:15 82:18 |
| 167:12 | 177:12 | 44:1,1 | 83:12,18,19 |
|  |  |  | 88:12,14,21 |


| $90: 7$ 91:13,14 | 186:17 189:12 | 216:19 <br> $91: 21 ~ 92: 5 ~$ | $189: 13195: 3,5$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| things $44: 1$ | $84: 1585: 3$ |  |  |
| $93: 21,2294: 10$ | $197: 20198: 15$ | $101: 19103: 22$ | $94: 1295: 12$ |
| $94: 1396: 17,19$ | $201: 15,19$ | $110: 15,19$ | $96: 198: 3,5$ |
| $96: 2297: 1$ | $203: 1,2,21$ | $111: 7112: 10$ | $99: 11,13,13$ |
| $99: 21,21,22$ | $205: 9206: 3,17$ | $112: 11116: 6$ | $100: 15,18,22$ |
| $100: 3,9102: 10$ | $206: 18208: 14$ | $120: 13,14$ | $101: 12102: 6$ |
| $102: 11,14$ | $210: 20211: 7$ | $121: 14127: 5$ | $102: 18103: 3,5$ |
| $105: 14106: 1,4$ | $215: 12216: 9$ | $136: 10149: 7$ | $103: 12,17$ |
| $106: 6107: 10$ | $218: 21222: 10$ | $157: 3161: 9$ | $104: 8,20105: 3$ |
| $107: 11108: 5$ | $224: 18225: 2$ | $170: 9189: 18$ | $105: 7106: 10$ |
| $110: 12114: 13$ | $232: 2235: 10$ | $193: 16,21$ | $106: 15,18$ |
| $116: 22117: 6$ | $235: 17236: 8$ | $194: 6195: 9$ | $107: 3,8,22$ |
| $119: 13120: 15$ | $237: 1$ | $207: 11,11$ | $110: 8,16,22$ |
| $120: 16121: 3,8$ | thanking $15: 21$ | $210: 3211: 14$ | $111: 8,11112: 4$ |
| $122: 17,20$ | $31: 1333: 10$ | $225: 13$ | $112: 4,4,14,16$ |
| $123: 15,16,19$ | $39: 19$ | think $22: 9,20$ | $114: 4,21,22$ |
| $123: 21124: 13$ | thanks $58: 8$ | $28: 8,9,1929: 9$ | $115: 1,6118: 1$ |
| $124: 19125: 9$ | $97: 9100: 10$ | $29: 1830: 12,14$ | $118: 16,18$ |
| $125: 10126: 11$ | $106: 5161: 8$ | $30: 1931: 16,17$ | $119: 4,10$ |
| $126: 17128: 3,5$ | $176: 21197: 19$ | $32: 1833: 16,18$ | $121: 18,22$ |
| $128: 22129: 11$ | $217: 5$ | $34: 2135: 15$ | $122: 10,11,11$ |
| $130: 3131: 6$ | that'd $207: 8$ | $37: 738: 2,2,9$ | $122: 13,14$ |
| $132: 2,4135: 15$ | theme $14: 11,22$ | $38: 11,12,13,17$ | $124: 12125: 16$ |
| $138: 15139: 5,8$ | $80: 1281: 2,3$ | $38: 1943: 5,6$ | $127: 11,16$ |
| $139: 11140: 18$ | $81: 1086: 8$ | $43: 1445: 4,6$ | $128: 3130: 18$ |
| $145: 16,22$ | $87: 7,1988: 8$ | $45: 13,1546: 11$ | $131: 1,1,11,22$ |
| $146: 12148: 2,6$ | $162: 4$ | $46: 13,1447: 11$ | $134: 20135: 6$ |
| $149: 15,20$ | themes $40: 13$ | $47: 1252: 15$ | $137: 20138: 1,2$ |
| $150: 3151: 6$ | thing $45: 6$ | $54: 1855: 1,12$ | $138: 5,11140: 6$ |
| $153: 7154: 11$ | $55: 1857: 12$ | $57: 1758: 12,13$ | $143: 13145: 10$ |
| $155: 14161: 5,7$ | $66: 20100: 12$ | $58: 14,1759: 4$ | $147: 3,5,7,7$ |
| $164: 5166: 22$ | $103: 18123: 9$ | $62: 13,1465: 3$ | $148: 12149: 5,5$ |
| $169: 21170: 2$ | $124: 21125: 18$ | $65: 3,867: 11$ | $149: 6,13155: 8$ |
| $172: 10,13$ | $149: 8157: 17$ | $68: 1,1969: 6$ | $156: 2,5,6,16$ |
| $176: 14,17$ | $159: 18167: 20$ | $69: 2070: 1,3$ | $157: 19,19,20$ |
| $179: 8,11$ | $174: 17178: 11$ | $74: 19,2076: 16$ | $158: 8,9159: 3$ |
| $182: 22183: 1$ | $198: 3199: 14$ | $83: 5,1684: 7$ | $159: 3,20161: 8$ |


| $162: 10163: 12$ | $216: 21217: 1,6$ | thousands $69: 4$ | $23: 10,11,16,21$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $164: 10,14,21$ | $217: 10,10,11$ | thr $59: 20$ | $24: 7,1425: 1,2$ |
| $164: 22167: 6,7$ | $217: 12218: 10$ | three $15: 5,6,9$ | $27: 329: 12,17$ |
| $169: 8,9,17$ | $220: 1,22$ | $16: 518: 22$ | $29: 2032: 5,6$ |
| $170: 7,8,11,19$ | $221: 21222: 8$ | $20: 449: 17$ | $32: 1333: 3$ |
| $171: 10,21,21$ | $223: 21225: 5$ | $53: 1064: 11$ | $34: 10,1440: 7$ |
| $172: 18,19$ | $228: 9,12$ | $72: 12,19$ | $40: 1641: 10,13$ |
| $173: 6,9,15,16$ | $231: 19,22,22$ | $129: 21133: 6$ | $41: 1854: 1$ |
| $173: 20174: 1,4$ | $232: 1,11233: 1$ | $135: 3,5,13$ | $56: 1658: 16,16$ |
| $174: 7,8,9,15$ | $233: 1235: 21$ | $136: 10138: 22$ | $62: 21,21$ |
| $174: 19175: 3$ | thinking $31: 17$ | $142: 10,12$ | till's $21: 523: 14$ |
| $175: 16176: 11$ | $44: 1998: 6$ | $143: 14,17$ | $24: 1240: 14$ |
| $177: 18,19$ | $112: 19120: 1$ | $147: 15188: 21$ | $56: 1170: 12$ |
| $178: 8,15,20,21$ | $163: 21178: 13$ | $189: 2191: 7,14$ | time $5: 512: 20$ |
| $179: 6180: 10$ | $179: 15$ | $204: 17,19,20$ | $12: 2214: 2,5$ |
| $181: 1,10,14$ | third $49: 7$ | $204: 21205: 13$ | $19: 1022: 16$ |
| $182: 2,16$ | $216: 17$ | $205: 13,20$ | $25: 842: 22$ |
| $184: 18,21$ | thoroughly | $206: 1,1216: 15$ | $43: 644: 2,3$ |
| $185: 7186: 13$ | $53: 11$ | $216: 16220: 20$ | $49: 1451: 9$ |
| $187: 6,11188: 3$ | thorpe $127: 1$ | $220: 20221: 14$ | $54: 661: 11,22$ |
| $188: 11189: 7$ | thought $29: 11$ | $221: 18228: 20$ | $66: 2270: 20$ |
| $190: 5191: 2,16$ | $32: 243: 15$ | $228: 20,21,22$ | $75: 983: 12$ |
| $193: 3,15,20,21$ | $46: 1,761: 16$ | $229: 9,9$ | $84: 12,1488: 20$ |
| $194: 8,16,21$ | $68: 676: 14$ | throwing | $94: 1595: 5$ |
| $195: 8,11,13$ | $92: 15104: 1$ | $160: 21$ | $99: 18108: 8$ |
| $196: 15197: 10$ | $105: 5121: 5$ | thunder $42: 15$ | $110: 16111: 10$ |
| $198: 7,13,20,22$ | $122: 9,14123: 9$ | $116: 11167: 4$ | $111: 19120: 8$ |
| $199: 3,4200: 21$ | $141: 6168: 1$ | tie $189: 7$ | $120: 22125: 20$ |
| $201: 4,5,6,8$ | $173: 6178: 17$ | tied $41: 7$ | $126: 6128: 20$ |
| $202: 9206: 12$ | $178: 20183: 11$ | ties $113: 14$ | $129: 5130: 18$ |
| $206: 14,14,16$ | $185: 3187: 2,17$ | till $3: 134: 10,10$ | $134: 6,18$ |
| $206: 16207: 14$ | $187: 19188: 15$ | $7: 15,1510: 11$ | $137: 12142: 7$ |
| $207: 20208: 6,9$ | $188: 17,20$ | $10: 11,1318: 19$ | $143: 15156: 1$ |
| $208: 17209: 6$ | $189: 1223: 9$ | $19: 3,7,7,14,14$ | $163: 8170: 11$ |
| $210: 2211: 15$ | thoughtful | $19: 19,1920: 1$ | $173: 5174: 9$ |
| $213: 11,14,18$ | $15: 16$ | $20: 8,14,18$ | $175: 17177: 3$ |
| $214: 19,21,22$ | thoughts $11: 3$ | $21: 3,4,9,22$ | $177: 17179: 7$ |
| $215: 1,6216: 1$ | $59: 7110: 17$ | $22: 1,123: 5,6$ | $181: 2,12$ |


| 192:14 196:6 | told 197:11 | traditional | trees 86:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208:11 225:8 | tomorrow 5:12 | 41:6 89:19 | trial 20:19 24:8 |
| 230:4,9 234:3 | 16:21 18:8 | 155:6 160:6 | 57:11 |
| 235:4 | 112:14 236:8 | 164:17 168:12 | tribes 80:4 |
| timeline 231:4 | tomorrow's 5:9 | 169:7 177:17 | 137:10,17 |
| times 14:1 | tone 56:4 | 178:5 186:5 | tricorn 86:12 |
| 188:19 | took 45:11,16 | 187:3 188:18 | tried 156:18,19 |
| timing 136:11 | 53:10 68:14 | 189:18 193:17 | tries 109:3 |
| tin 170:15,15 | 69:2 | 199:22 200:2 | tristan 197:9 |
| 170:15 | tool 134:17,18 | 200:22 207:7 | troops 17:18 |
| tired 162:4 | 146:21 | traditions | 80:17 86:12 |
| today 5:118:10 | top 104:4 124:2 | 107:19 125:22 | 89:21 |
| 10:11 11:2 | 182:8 195:20 | tragedies 69:5 | trouble 29:19 |
| 12:16 13:5 | topic 30:7 | tragedy 45:12 | true 21:1 238:9 |
| 14:14 15:13 | 207:9 | 45:14 | 239:5 |
| 16:21 17:12 | torch 158:5 | trained 60:4 | truly 17:22 |
| 19:13 21:15 | 184:7 188:9 | transcend | 178:18 215:9,9 |
| 22:2 24:19 | torn 162:5 | 173:8 | trust 49:2 |
| 37:4 59:2 | totality 215:12 | transcends | 151:20 |
| 63:12 64:20 | totally 115:16 | 173:12 | try 30:535:13 |
| 71:16 77:3,8 | 197:12 | transcriber | 36:13 48:5,11 |
| 81:18,22 83:20 | touch 114:6 | 239:1 | 52:8 63:14 |
| 84:6 88:16 | 164:20 | transcript 5:15 | 79:19 90:13 |
| 90:2 102:9 | touched 174:7 | 239:3,5 | 97:12 114:7 |
| 110:20 112:9 | touches 181:9 | transcriptionist | 132:21 156:3,4 |
| 132:17 147:15 | touching 45:1 | 238:8 | 176:20 178:9 |
| 148:14 149:20 | 192:11 | transport | 178:10 195:21 |
| 156:21 173:7 | tour 20:20 | 101:18 | 210:12 231:2 |
| 197:6 198:21 | tourists 190:18 | transported | trying 31:19 |
| 199:7,9 | towards 26:7 | 93:7,16 | 77:22 78:16 |
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